COMMUNITY CARE

QUARTER 3 - October to December 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears September 2014 – November 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages						
as a percentage of all complaints closed						
Total No (%) No (%) No (%) Received Closed Closed Closed						
	Received	Frontline	Closed Escalated	Investigative		
Quarter 3 13/14	5	2 (22%)		7 (78%)		
Quarter 4 13/14	4	2 (40%)		3 (60%)		
Quarter 1 14/15	4			3 (75%)		
Quarter 2 14/15	4	2 (50%)		2 (50%)		
Quarter 3 14/15	7	1 (14%)		6 (86%)		

Comment:

In quarter 3, 1 Frontline and 7 Investigative complaints were received.

Notes: There was 1 additional MSP/MP enquiries.

<u>Indicator – Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld</u>

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage						
No (%) No (%) No (%) Upheld Part Upheld Not Upheld						
Quarter 3 13/14	2		1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
Quarter 4 13/14	2	1 (50%)		1 (50%)		
Quarter 1 14/15	3	1 (33%)		2 (67%)		
Quarter 2 14/15	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)			
Quarter 3 14/15	1	1 (100%)				

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of					
complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (%)					
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld		
Quarter 3 13/14					
Quarter 4 13/14					
Quarter 1 14/15					
Quarter 2 14/15					
Quarter 3 14/15					
Comment:					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage No (%) No (%) No (%) Part Upheld Not Upheld Upheld **Quarter 3 13/14** 4 (57%) 3 (43%) **Quarter 4 13/14** 3 1 (33%) 2 (67%) **Quarter 1 14/15** 2 **Quarter 2 14/15** 1 (50%) 1 (50%) 2 (33%) 4 (67%) **Quarter 3 14/15** 6

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage				
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative	
Quarter 3 13/14	3 days		24 days	
Quarter 4 13/14	2 days		19 days	
Quarter 1 14/15			29 days	
Quarter 2 14/15	1 day		2 days	
Quarter 3 14/15	1 day		16 days	

Comment:

In quarter 3, 6 investigative and 1 frontline complaint stages were fully responded to.

Notes: Calculation based on not counting date of receipt but counting actual response date.

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
Quarter 3 13/14	2 (100%)	-	2 (29%)
Quarter 4 13/14	2 (100%)		3 (100%)
Quarter 1 14/15			2 (66%)
Quarter 2 14/15	2 (100%)		2 (100%)
Quarter 3 14/15	1 (100%)		5 (83%)

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

Quarter 4 13/14 Quarter 1 14/15 Quarter 2 14/15	Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
Quarter 4 13/14 Quarter 1 14/15 Quarter 2 14/15	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	` ,		
Quarter 1 14/15 Quarter 2 14/15	4 (80%)		Quarter 3 13/14	
Quarter 2 14/15	, ,		Quarter 4 13/14	
			Quarter 1 14/15	
			Quarter 2 14/15	
Quarter 3 14/15	1 (100%)		Quarter 3 14/15	

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
Frontline Complaint - Staff	Upheld	Corporate Policy	Reinforcement: Manager will arrange for all staff to complete Equality and Diversity Awareness Training		

CORPORATE SERVICES

QUARTER 3 October to December 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears September 2014 – November 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Social Work Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints					
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative	
Quarter 3 2013/14	10	7 (70%)	1 (10%)	2 (30%)	
Quarter 4 2013/14	9	8 (89%)		1 (11%)	
Quarter 1 2014/15	19	18 (95%)		1 (5%)	
Quarter 2 2014/15	8	6 (75%)		2 (25%)	
Quarter 3 2014/15	9	5 (63%)	1 (13%)	2 (25%)	

Comment:

Notes: Excluded MP/MSP and out with the complaints procedure

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (%) Upheld Part Upheld Not Uphe					
Quarter 3 2013/14	4 (57%)	т опт о регота	3 (43%)		
Quarter 4 2013/14	2 (25%)		6 (75%)		
Quarter 1 2014/15	5 (28%)	1 (5%)	12 (67%)		
Quarter 2 2014/15	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)		
Quarter 3 2014/15		1 (20%)	4 (80%)		

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

complaints closed in full at each stage No (%) No (%)				
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld	
Quarter 3 2013/14			1 (100%)	
Quarter 4 2013/14				
Quarter 1 2014/15				
Quarter 2 2014/15				
Quarter 3 2014/15			1(100%)	

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 3 2013/14			2 (100%)
Quarter 4 2013/14		1 (100%)	
Quarter 1 2014/15			1 (100%)
Quarter 2 2014/15	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	,
Quarter 3 2014/15			2 (100%)

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage				
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative	
Quarter 3 2013/14	4 days	15 days	9 days	
Quarter 4 2013/14	7 days		22 days	
Quarter 1 2014/15	4 days		9 days	
Quarter 2 2014/15	5 days		17 days	
Quarter 3 2014/15	2 days	29 days	16 days	

Comment:

Note: figure is number of days complaints answered within, day complaint received is day 1

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 3 2013/14	7 (100%)		3 (100%)	
Quarter 4 2013/14	4 (50%)			
Quarter 1 2014/15	18 (100%)		1 (100%)	
Quarter 2 2014/15	5 (83%)		2 (100%)	
Quarter 3 2014/15	5 (100%)		2 (100%)	

Comment:

The Escalated complaint was responded to in 29 working days, out with target timescales by 9 working days.

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised		
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
Quarter 3 2013/14		, ,
Quarter 4 2013/14		
Quarter 1 2014/15		
Quarter 2 2014/15		
Quarter 3 2014/15		

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints			
Type of Complaint Outcome Responsible Officer Action taken			
Frontline – Council Tax	Part Upheld	Taxation Manager	Review/Revise: Service to review bills to ensure opening hours are added.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

QUARTER 3 2014/15 October - December 2014

Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears (September – November 2014)

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 3	8	2(25%)	6(75%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	4	2(50%)		2(50%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	14	5(36%)		9(64%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	14	8(57%)		6(43%)
2014/15 Quarter 3	16	8 (50%)		8 (50%)
Comment:	•			

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2013/14 Quarter 3	1(50%)		1(50%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4		1(50%)	1(50%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1	1(20%)		4(80%	
2014/15 Quarter 2	1(12%)	2(25%)	5(62%)	
2014/15 Quarter 3		2(25%)	6(75%)	
Comment:				

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 3		1(17%)	5(83%)
2013/14 Quarter 4			,
2014/15 Quarter 1			
2014/15 Quarter 2			
2014/15 Quarter 3			
Comment:		•	

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 3		-	
2013/14 Quarter 4			2(100%)
2014/15 Quarter 1		2(22%)	7(77%)
2014/15 Quarter 2		2(33%)	4(67%)
2014/15 Quarter 3		1(12%)	7(87%)

Indicator - Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 3	2.5	19.3	
2013/14 Quarter 4	4.5		14.5
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.8		14.0
2014/15 Quarter 2	4.6		18.5
2014/15 Quarter 3	3.5		20.5

Comment:

7303 the complaint was responded to 13 days late. This was due to the complicated nature of the complaint with the head of Development Services, the complaint officer and the chief executive being involved in meetings to deal with the complaint.

6853 The complaint was responded to 8 days late. This was another complicated complaint which involved two services (ICT and Development Services). Further information was received when the complaint was being considered. There was then an administrative error which gave an extension to the due date.

6874 The complaint was one day late. A system error gave the wrong due date and staff scheduled work to suit.

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the
set timescales of 5 and 20 working days

	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2013/14 Quarter 3	2(100%)	5(83%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	2(100%)		2 (100%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	5(100%)		9(100%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	7(87%)		4(67%)
2014/15 Quarter 3	8(100%)		5(62%)

Comment:

One frontline complaint id 7021 was one day late due to workload.

Two frontline and one investigative complaint had authorised extensions.

One investigative complaint was three days late. The delay was because the complaint was complex involving Development Services, Legal, and Community Engagement and took longer than anticipated.

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at working day timeline has been authorised		No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2013/14 Quarter 3		, , ,
2013/14 Quarter 4		
2014/15 Quarter 1		
2014/15 Quarter 2	2 (25%)	1
2014/15 Quarter 3		
Comment:	·	

Indicator - Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints			
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
7182 Process/Procedure	Part upheld	Development Management Manager	Reinforcement The importance of early indication of application recommendation was reinforced.
7284 Process/Procedure	Part upheld	Development Management Manager	reinforcement Staff were reminded to double check on neighbour notification procedures using web map.
7301 Process/Procedure	Part upheld	Development Management Manager	review/revise Neighbour consultation procedures were not followed correctly in 2009. Since then procedures have been tightened.

DIRECT SERVICES

QUARTER 3 October – December 2014

Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears (September 2014 – November 2014)

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 3	26	21 (81%)	4 (15%)	1 (4%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	25	23 (92%)	2 (8%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1	49	44 (90%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	45	39 (87%)	2 (4%)	4 (9%)
2014/15 Quarter 3	25	21 (84%)	4 (16%)	
Comment:				

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2013/14 Quarter 3	3(14%)	1 (5%)	16 (76%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	1 (4%)		22 (96%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1	4 (9%)	2 (4%)	38 (86%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2	5 (13%)	6 (15%)	28 (72%)	
2014/15 Quarter 3	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	19 (90%)	
Comment:				

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
•	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 3			4 (100%)
2013/14 Quarter 4		1 (50%)	1 (50%)
2014/15 Quarter 1			4 (100%)
2014/15 Quarter 2		1 (50%)	1 (50%)
2014/15 Quarter 3			4 (100%)
Comment:	·	<u>.</u>	

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2013/14 Quarter 3			1 (100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4				
2014/15 Quarter 1			1 (100%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2			4 (100%)	

2014/15 Quarter 3		
Comment:		

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 3	4.4	19	26
2013/14 Quarter 4	3.0	11.5	
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.8	16.2	19.0
2014/15 Quarter 2	7.9	16.5	26
2014/15 Quarter 3	4.7	12.7	
Comment:			

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
2013/14 Quarter 3	11 (52%)	3 (75%)		
2013/14 Quarter 4	21 (91%)	2 (100%)		
2014/15 Quarter 1	42 (95%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2	28 (72%)	2 (100%)	1 (25%)	
2014/15 Quarter 3	18 (86%)	4 (100 %)		

Comment:

7203 One complaint was 9 days late. Because of work pressures the service was already 3 days overdue when they attempted to visit the claimant at his home and it took a further six days before a response was sent. However the work on the wall was done and the claimant was pleased with the result.

7340 One complaint was 3 days late for the original due date but an extension was agreed 7214 One complaint was 2 days late due to workload

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
2013/14 Quarter 3		1 (20%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4			
2014/15 Quarter 1			
2014/15 Quarter 2	2 (5%)	1 (17%)	
2014/15 Quarter 3	1 (5%)	. ,	
Comment:			

Indicator - Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
ID 7247 Complaint against staff	Upheld	Assistant Lands & Parks Officer	Reinforcement Staff were reminded of importance of customer care.		
ID 7293 Footpaths/pavements	Part upheld	Roads Maintenance Manager	Review/Revise The lighting level is to be reviewed in that area.		

EDUCATION & SOCIAL CARE

(Schools & Curriculum Development and Lifelong Learning, Culture and Sport)

QUARTER 3 October 2014 - December 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears September 2014 – November 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
Quarter 3	6	2 (33.3%)		4 (66.7%)
Quarter 2	13	2 (15%)		11 (85%)
Quarter 1	15	9 (60%)		6 (40%)
Quarter 4	15	6 (40%)		9 (60%)
Quarter 3	20	5 (25%)		14 (70%)

Comment:

In quarter 3, all 6 complaints were resolved within the quarter.

Notes: Excluded MP/MSP and out with the complaints procedure

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 3			2 (100%)
Quarter 2			2 (100%)
Quarter 1	1 (11%)	1 (11%)	7 (83%)
Quarter 4		1 (17%)	5 (83%)
Quarter 3	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)
Comment:		, , ,	, ,

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
-	No (%) No (%) No			
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld	
Quarter 3	-	-		
Quarter 2				
Quarter 1				
Quarter 4				
Quarter 3				
Comment:				

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage

or complaints closed in run at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
Quarter 3		3 (75%)	1 (25%)	
Quarter 2	1 (9%)	3 (27%)	7 (64%)	
Quarter 1	2 (33%)		4 (67%)	
Quarter 4	6 (67%)	1 (11%)	2 (22%)	
Quarter 3	5 (36%)	7 (50%)	2 (14%)	

Comment:

In quarter 3, one of the four investigative stage complaints was not upheld, the other three were part upheld.

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
Quarter 3	1.5		17
Quarter 2	2.5		15.5
Quarter 1	2.3		15.7
Quarter 4	2		22.6
Quarter 3	0.6		14.4

Comment:

In quarter 3, the two frontline resolution complaints were responded to in a total of 3 days, an average of 1.5 days per complaint. The 4 investigative complaints were responded to in a total of 68 days, an average of 17 days per complaint.

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
No (%) No (%) No Frontline Escalated Inves (5 days) (20 days) (20				
Quarter 3	2 (100%)		4 (100%)	
Quarter 2	2 (100%)		9 (82%)	
Quarter 1	9 (100%)		4 (67%)	
Quarter 4	6 (100%)		5 (56%)	
Quarter 3	5 (100%)		13 (93%)	

Comment:

In quarter 3, both frontline resolution complaints were closed within the 5 day timescale and all of the investigative complaints were closed within the 20 day timescale.

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2		0 (0%)	
Quarter 1		2 (33%)	
Quarter 4		1 (25%)	
Quarter 3		1 (50%)	

Comment:

In quarter 3 there were no complaints responded to out with timescales so no extensions were required.

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

<u>Indicator – Learning from complaints</u>

Outline	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the					
Type of	Type of Outcome Responsible Action taken					
Complaint		Officer				
Complaint	Part	Head of Schools &	Reinforcement:			
against staff	upheld	Curriculum				
		Development				
Complaint	Part	Quality	Review/Review: HT to liaise with teacher re			
against staff	upheld	Improvement Officer	strategies to use with disruptive pupils & to			
			monitor situation.			
Bullying	Part	Head of Schools &	Redress: Acknowledged complainants phone			
	upheld	Curriculum	call should have remained confidential			
		Development	procedures reinforced; second part of			
			complaint regards bullying not upheld.			

INTEGRATED CHILDREN SERVICES

QUARTER 3 October 2014 - December 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears September 2014 – November 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
Quarter 3	6			5 (83.3%)
Quarter 2	7			7 (100%)
Quarter 1	9			7 (78%)
Quarter 4	9			8 (89%)
Quarter 3	6			5 (83%)

Comment:

In quarter 3, a total of six complaints were received, all stage 1. Five were resolved within the quarter.

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage o complaints closed in full at each stage				
No (%) No (%) I Upheld Part Upheld No				
Quarter 3				
Quarter 2				
Quarter 1				
Quarter 4				
Quarter 3				
Comment:				

Number of Escalated Complaint compl	s upneid / partially upneid aints closed in full at eacl		ercentage of
·	No (Uph	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 3		•	-
Quarter 2			
Quarter 1			
Quarter 4			
Quarter 3			
Comment:	,		

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (%) Upheld Part Upheld Not Uphe					
Quarter 3	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)		
Quarter 2		3 (50%)	4 (50%)		
Quarter 1	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	5 (71%)		
Quarter 4		4 (50%)	4 (50%)		
Quarter 3	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)		
Comment:					
Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed					

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
Quarter 3			16
Quarter 2			11
Quarter 1			23
Quarter 4			27
Quarter 3			15

Comment:

In quarter 3, five complaints were fully responded to in a total of 81 days; an average of 16 days per complaint.

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the				
set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
No (%) No (%) No (%) Frontline Escalated Investi (5 days) (20 days) (20 d				
Quarter 3			4 (80%)	
Quarter 2			6 (86%)	
Quarter 1			5 (56%)	
Quarter 4			4 (50%)	
Quarter 3			5 (83%)	

Comment:

In quarter 3, four of the five complaints closed within the quarter were responded to within response timescale targets. One complaint was 2 days beyond the timescale.

Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised				
<u> </u>	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative		
Quarter 3		0 (0%)		
Quarter 2		1(14%)		
Quarter 1		3 (33%)		
Quarter 4		2 (50%)		
Quarter 3		1 (100%)		
Comment:	·	·		
In quarter 3 no timescale extensions were au	uthorised.			

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the						
	consideration of complaints					
Type of	Outcome	Responsible	Action taken			
Complaint		Officer				
Complaint against staff	Part upheld	Intake & Assessment Team Manager	Review/revise: Apology made for lack of response to calls/emails; case was awaiting allocation, with no allocated worker and			
			therefore no-one to keep in contact with client. Steps being taken to identify reasons and avoid any repeat in future cases.			
Complaint against staff	Upheld	Head of Integrated Children's Services	Reinforcement: Late submission of SW report for Hearing raised with relevant SW Team Manager who is investigating the matter. Head of Service to monitor situation and be advised of outcome. Assurance that required Child's plan in place and being implemented.			
Complaint against staff	Part upheld	Children's Wellbeing Manager	Reinforcement: Apology made for lack of communication and support. Referral of case to alternative officer better positioned to deal with needs of client not communicated to complainant. Client's named person absent due to ill health and subsequent retirement, no replacement until recently.			

HOUSING AND PROPERTY SERVICES

QUARTER 3 2014/15 October - December 2014

Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears (October 2014 – November 2014). This change means that, for this period only, only two months of complaints are described. In future three months of complaints will be described.

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 3	31	10 (32%)	21 (68%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	46	12 (26%)		33 (72%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	48	13 (27%)		35 (73%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	39	8 (21%)	1 (3%)	30 (77%)
2014/15 Quarter 3	27	4 (15%)		23 (85%)
Comment:				·

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
•	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 3	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	3 (30%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	3 (25%)	2 (17%)	7 (58%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	2 (15%)	1 (8%)	10 (77%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	1 (12%)	2 (25%)	5 (62%)
2014/15 Quarter 3	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)
Comment:			

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
No (%) No (%) No (Upheld Part Upheld Not Up			
2013/14 Quarter 3	4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)
2013/14 Quarter 4			
2014/15 Quarter 1			
2014/15 Quarter 2	1 (100%)		
2014/15 Quarter 3			
Comment:	·		

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
•	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 3	_		•

2013/14 Quarter 4	9(27%)	6(18%)	18(55%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	11 (31%)	2 (6%)	22 (63%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	5 (17%)	3 (10%)	22 (73%)
2014/15 Quarter 3	5 (22%)	7 (30%)	11 (48%)
Comment:	. , , ,		

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working day	The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage No of days			
2013/14 Quarter 3	2.7	17.1		
2013/14 Quarter 4	2.6		16.7	
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.2		17.5	
2014/15 Quarter 2	2.9	19	17.3	
2014/15 Quarter 3	3.2		17.3	
Comment:				

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the				
set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
2013/14 Quarter 3	10 (100%)	19 (90%)		
2013/14 Quarter 4	12 (100%)		28(85%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1	13 (100%)		31 (89%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2	10 (100%)	2 (100%)	21 (91%)	
2014/15 Quarter 3	4 (100%)		21 (91%)	

Comment:

7248 was 5 days late. This was a complicated complaint which was considered by Direct Services before being passed to Housing. There were issues around flooding and housing which needed different sections to investigate and which caused the delay.
7249 was 5 days late for the original due date but had a holding letter

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
2013/14 Quarter 3		2 (10%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4		2 (6%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1		3 (9%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2		2 (6%)	
2014/15 Quarter 3		1 (4%)	
Comment:		•	
ID 7249 had a holding letter			

Indicator - Learning from complaints

Out	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
7286	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Senior Building Maintenance Manager	Redress Tenant phoned and arrangements made to start work after 2pm in the afternoon.		
7268	Other	Upheld	Building Services Manager	Reinforcement Apology. Contractor was told to request access from householders.		
7248	Other	Part upheld	Head of Service	Reinforcement Apology and measures taken to prevent recurrence.		
7252	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Service	Redress An apology was given and repairs were completed. The Building Services Manager is to seek to improve the repairs processes in relation to maintaining contact with tenants.		
7255	Allocations	Part upheld	Head of Service	Review Apology given for the site not being secured earlier. Service managers are to review procedures.		
7305	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Service	Reinforcement Apology given. The Building Services Manager is to ensure his staff notify tenants if there is going to be a delay in the repairs		
7308	Other	Part upheld	Head of Service	Reinforcement Staff reminded of customer care standards on calling tenants back.		
7311	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Service	Reinforcement Apology given. Communication of delays is to be improved.		
7319	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Service	Reinforcement Apology given for the miscommunication.		
7271	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	Redress An apology was given for length of time taken to complete the work.		
7321	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Head of Service	Reinforcement The Area Housing Manager reinforced to staff that correct procedures should be followed.		
7329	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Head of Service	Reinforcement Apology and measures taken to prevent recurrence.		
7330	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	Redress Arrangements were made to correct the issues created by the contractor.		
7334	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	Redress Apology given and follow on works completed.		