

		Representative Comments	Response
1	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I am against the proposed site for the new Academy for a number of reasons, one of which is the loss of Common Good Land (for Bus drop offs). There are already significant safety issues at peak times of the day when parents/guardians are dropping off/picking up children from Applegrove. Parking restrictions are regularly ignored and I have witnessed a number of "near misses" at these peak times . Siting the new Academy at the proposed location will result in major traffic management issues.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A transport assessment will be necessary as part of any future planning application. This will involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		I do not see any benefits to the community of the proposals. I believe the proposed site for the Academy is far too constrained.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		See above.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I would like the Council to reconsider their decision on the proposed site. In my opinion the Lochyhill Site is a much better location.	
2	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
	Q1	I disagree with what it being proposed. Initially, the level of disruption that will cause the local area while the new school is being built will have a negative effect to the residents and young people accessing the school. Having such a huge building in front of the residential houses could have a detrimental effect on future selling prices due to the views that are now impacted. The traffic that it will cause in front of the houses, mixed with potential traffic from the primary school in the morning will be horrendous and cause gridlock. Having the new senior school in this place will continue to place a burden the local streets. It will impact future expansion of the school.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A transport assessment will be necessary as part of any future planning application. This will involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As mentioned above	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The site outside of the town is a better option. It allows for expansion in the future and traffic directed away from the town centre. The proposed site's drainage issues should have been dealt with previously due to the close proximity to the primary school, and yet, it still hasn't been addressed. Using the proposed site, removes training facilities for many clubs and more pressure on the spaces within Grant Park and other green areas	As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, there will be ground improvement works to improve the drainage and condition of Roysvale Park with the proposal developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public.
3	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Very upsetting and angry. To see any green space used other than green multipurpose space lost is great shame as we will never gain anymore green space. Also the new school should be built there as no one wants it there	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Not really, as the current green space does everything	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider

		Traffic, noise pollution, litter during and after construction and the loss of green space that gets used everyday for active and non active activities. Not being able to use the space during construction or after as it will be tarmac.	the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public.
		I live within 100m of the development, this will seriously contribute negatively to me mentally and physically	
4	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, there will be ground improvement works to improve the drainage and condition of Roysvale Park with the proposal developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public.
		I think the proposals have been done on a cost saving benefit rather than for the benefit of the town. The space is too small for school fit for an ever expanding town, it imposes on the primary children's learning and it takes away green space. The park was for the community and I think it's shocking it can be taken away. Green spaces are incredibly important and this creates a dangerous precedent	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I cannot see any benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Common Good land is owned and administered by the Moray Council but administered separately from other local authority property. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, there will be ground improvement works to improve the drainage and condition of Roysvale Park with the proposal developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public.
		Many as mentioned above. Similarly, the park floods regularly despite many attempts to fix this problem. Building on this land creates concern for further flooding to the area and the local houses nearby.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I would urge you to reconsider this proposal	
5	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Common Good land is owned and administered by the Moray Council but administered separately from other local authority property. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, there will be ground improvement works to improve the drainage and condition of Roysvale Park with the proposal developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public.
		I think the removal of large parts of this facility to the public for 32 months is wholly unacceptable. I do not believe that parts of it will safely remain accessible to the public or indeed to the school pupils of the Academy or Applegrove, as there will be many traffic movements, dust, noise. Hence, the people of Forres will lose valuable green space. I do not agree with the proposal to permanently create bus lanes on part of the existing park. This was not the intended purpose of the space when it was gifted to the people of Forres and it does not benefit the people of Forres in any way.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No. Forres should have a secondary school complex at least equal to those in other towns across Scotland. The vast majority of these are built on the edge of town where construction can take place more easily and without massive disruption to the centre of town. The pupils of Forres Academy and Applegrove Primary deserve better than this proposal. The people of Forres and the surrounding areas deserve better than this proposal.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I see green space being taken away from Forres. I see play spaces being taken away from the young people of Forres. I envisage noise, dust and potential flooding which will impact those people living in Roysvale. I envisage a higher number of vehicles using roads around the park and as a consequence, a higher risk of children and young people being involved in road accidents. This is not an appropriate site for a construction compound. This was not the intended purpose of the park when it was gifted to Forres.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please re-think this proposal, and identify a better site for our new school.	

6	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition there will be ground improvement works to improve the drainage and condition of Roysvale Park.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		The fact that Moray Council are even considering this is a disgrace. 32 months and the trees may be saved or replaced. There should be no maybes about any of this. The information should be clear not maybe this or maybe that	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are none.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, the flooding that regularly occurs around this area, the park is often waterlogged even after a few hours of rain. The park is a necessary soak away for all the rain and there's been plenty of it even over the summer. A clear danger of flooding if this goes ahead which could affect the surrounding houses particularly the ones that run alongside the park. The roads particularly the junction at Burdsyard regularly becomes waterlogged for days if there is heavy rained we get plenty of that. The traffic around this area at school times when children are being dropped off or picked up, the traffic to and from the gym/swimming pool already compromises the roads and now you want to allow the buses to have a designated parking area on what is the common good land when there is a huge car park behind the academy, beggars belief.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Yes plenty but it has been previously shown that Moray Council does lots of surveys, people respond and then their views are ignored as has been proven during the whole debacle about a new school.	
7	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p>
		I think it is a real shame that the town is going to lose this much loved and used green space. It's also a massive lose for the children of Applegrove primary.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The only benefit I see clearly is that there will be a new much needed high school.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I'm concerned that this isn't the right site for the new school	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
8	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.</p> <p>No new common good assets can be created.</p>
		Very understandable suggestion	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Can the old Sanquhar playing fields (never used by the school) not be put into common good land ?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
9	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		The location for the new academy building itself is OK but the school buses and teacher parking are going to cause problems	use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Loss of amenity of Roysvale Park. The park is very well used by locals and also for several football teams. The amount of space that will be lost to planned school bus waiting areas is going to significantly reduce the size of the park. Loss of use (to public) of Orchard Road car park. Being the nearest car park to the proposed new academy it will be filled with teachers' cars every school day, given the number of cars parked in the existing academy car park. The proposed new car park for teachers on the site of the existing academy is too far away, anyone who has to carry items in to the school will not park there. Also when the weather is wet or icy they won't want to walk all the way down to the new school.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Although the other proposed site is currently on the edge of Forres it won't be long before the town spreads out in that direction. I really hope that the new school has sufficient capacity to allow for future population growth. So often you hear of schools roll increasing so that any new school is already at capacity by the time it is actually ready for use.	
10	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I do not want any part of Roysvale Park or Applegrove to be used either permanently for the new school, or during construction. I think this is the wrong site for the school and is too small and will not allow space for future expansion. I think it will cause an unacceptable level of disruption to the local area and will permanently reduce and damage green space in the centre of the town. I think splitting the site and having parking located elsewhere will not work in the longer term. I am worried that the council plans to occupy, degrade and then utilise common good land in future.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		I do not see any benefits to the local community - this will result in the loss of and damage to valuable green space which is in very regular use for a range of sporting activities.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As stated, permanent reduction to green space, permanent damage to green space and common good land, damage to or destruction of well established and beautiful trees, permanent damage to the views and vistas across Forres, flooding concerns, noise and traffic disruption, volume of traffic affecting road safety, permanent congestion problems affecting Grantown Rd, Orchard Rd, Sanquhar Rd.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please see above.	
11	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Roysvale Park is a valuable town centre green space which should not be reduced in size for any reason. Likewise the Applegrove School field should not be used as a building site.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		I do not see any benefits of a town centre site for the new school.	Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A transport assessment will be necessary as part of any future planning application. This will involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		Loss of valuable town centre green space. Loss of Applegrove School playing field. Added traffic congestion.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The site selection process was flawed and was not transparent. It appears that the site selection was cost driven.	
12	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		It's absolutely appalling, grabbing the limited green space that is used continuously from the centre of town, for a new academy that would be better placed in the other proposed site.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I can see no benefits.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I have major concerns, that when the flood defences were designed, at a cost of £21 million have to date, avoided damages of around £20 million, with 900 residential and 50 business properties protected. The factoring of this new academy would not have been anticipated in this flood design, and the Victorian drainage cannot cope at this present moment, without the increase of the new build.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		My concern basically is that drawings are not dimensioned and therefore, no one can determine size of permanent proposed structures above and below ground or area of land to be used for construction. I believe without accurate dimensioned or coordinated drawings this proposal is flawed, and you cannot determine how much of Roysvale will be lost permanently. No accuracy in your project to inform the public of the actual proposal. You are trying to establish loss of common good land before adding on the academy, therefore not being transparent.	
13	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and
		Roysvale should not be the site for the new Academy. Our common good land must be protected. Forres will end up a concrete city, squeezing in buildings that don't need to be 'squeezed' in.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Building on the natural soak away, will have a detrimental effect on the surrounding properties. Scottish Water have offered flood doors to some locals instead of sorting the drainage. It is criminal if the build goes ahead there when there is another more suitable and less disruptive choice.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, the loss of green space, the school will be three stories high which will overshadow the bungalows and create havoc during construction in an already busy area. It will be an accident waiting to happen. The roads are not good enough for 48 tonne vehicles. The water table shows that water is 2ft from the surface therefore the school will have pulons drilled into the ground causing untold damage to surrounding properties.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		Save our common good land!!!! This proposed build will go over budget and money will not be saved trying to squeeze a school on this.	SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
14	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee. Direct access would be maintained during the construction phase from Applegrove Primary School to Roysvale Park.
		Happy. Fingers crossed you can get things moving ASAP	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		We are in desperate need of a new school and this is the best site	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Looking at plans during construction phase it is not clear if there is direct access from Applegrove school to the playing field - it appears that you would have to walk the long way round via sanquhar road. This would present another barrier to school using outdoor space. I would suggest maintaining path access directly from school.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		You will inevitably get more negative feedback and complaints in this consultation process than you will positive. This does NOT represent the true feelings of the community but more the feeling of people living in the vicinity AKA NIMBYs	
15	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
		The park is common good, and is for all the people of Forres to use. It's a lovely bit of green in town that is used and enjoyed by individuals and clubs. The ground at the current Academy site is wet and not a suitable alternative. Green areas in towns need to be preserved for the mental and physical health of all. With a view to current thinking on reducing emissions in towns how can bringing busses and cars through here daily possibly be a positive move?.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No. Each proposed site has positive and negative arguments, but fundamentally this goes against the proposed use of this land.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Traffic Pollution Ongoing building works reducing access to the park This site is not easily accessed	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The consultations already undertaken show an overall consensus that people do not want this build to take place here. This really should be further taken into account. Money should not be the bottom line.	
16	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to
		This would be a huge loss of a fantastic green space which is used regularly.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Applegrove pupils will suffer greatly as they have a lovely green space to play in which will be lost. Orchard Road is already a huge problem area with traffic congestion all day every day. This is much worse at the beginning and end of the day with parents parking on both sides of Orchard Road	

		and one side of Sanquhar Road. The new Academy car park is planned to be much to far away from the school. Parents dropping off and picking up from the Academy (there are a lot) will add to the dangerous traffic issues on Orchard Road.	enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
17	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I think it's a very poor choice!	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		It IS central, certainly, and moray council already own the land that Applegrove primary and the current academy is on.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		It takes away a huge field from the primary children, it will be incredibly disruptive to them and the neighbours, many of which are elderly or vulnerable. It takes away tge roysvale field that is currently used by several public groups including the forres harriers, the football teams and a toddler football group. I've seen a few members of public running on the tracks.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Very bad decision!	
18	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Temporary disruption for the better longer term good. No problem with proposal	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Improved drainage system would be welcome as frequently surface water issues . Should enhance green space for sport rather than use chemically harmful synthetic pitches	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The nimby brigade preventing progress!	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Just get it done. New Academy well overdue	
19	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Small price to pay for giving Forres a better school. This is a real common good benefit for generations Short term pain for long term gain.	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Drainage system will prevent sport being cancelled from water logging of pitches. Improved transport movement around area if buses given room.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes. Folk living around the proposed site whipping up division as they can't see past their own short term inconvenience to the longer term benefit. The silent majority want a new school in a central location.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Just seems a sensible proposition to allow progress.	
20	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Why put such a large building in a small place. The roads are conjested at school times with just a primary school . Whats	

		happening to the town when green spaces are becoming built up areas	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? none.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? yes traffic congestion. Pupils out and about at lunch times in a residential area	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? The area has a history of use by Forres people going back over a hundred years	
21	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? Do not agree with the proposal.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	Negative response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
22	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I don't believe the ground should be taken away from the public of Forres. Especially when there is other perfectly good areas to build the school. The far majority in the area have cars to take children to school even if it's further out. The roads round about the proposed area is no way good enough to have the size of footfall coming into the area.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? No benefits	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? A lot of issues as said above, the road infrastructure isn't great, the flooding fields are just a few to mention!	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
23	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? Good place to build a new Academy Then when the existing one is demolished it could become a huge park	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? Yes the area is central	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? At present the school is old and not fit for purpose	
24	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I believe it is a terrible idea to site a new school here, green spaces in towns need to be preserves. This is disgraceful that the council thinks they can use the land in this way	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		There are no benefits to this site except an initial cost , however I do not believe it will be on budget therefore cost should not be an important factor	regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
25	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
		I think the location is preferable option. If Scottish Government and Moray Council wish to increase number of journeys made via Active travel having a central location is key. The access to swimming pool and outdoor gym are also advantageous at the proposed site.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The location is preferable for cycling to school, walking to school, access to swimming pool and outdoor gym.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Consideration should be given to orchard road capacity for both Applegrove primary school and the secondary school. The new proposed secondary school could present a challenge for motorists dropping and collecting pupils.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
26	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
		It should be kept as a green space.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits I can see.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Many concerns. Loss of green space used by the community and schools. Disruption due to major building works.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The space should not be used to build on and should be left as is.	
27	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
		Shouldn't be in Roysvale. Taking up a green space that is used by all. It should be on land that is more accessible and that can be adapted and built on further if needed. It shouldn't just be squeezed on land for the sake of it. The population of Forres is creeping higher and higher, so planning should be put in place to build a brand new school fit for purpose. Not just a replica of what is there now.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		It should be located elsewhere and not at roysvale.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Parking. Common good land being taken away. Space. Not fit for purpose. Will be grown out of. Disruption whilst the building work is going on. No option for further upgrades later on. Squished in. Taking up a primary schools option to build additional buildings if needed.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		The council should have listened to those who voted and realised that we will be the ones using the new school so should have a voice and be listened too. Money is everything and saving it can and should come in different ways, and none that become a detriment to our children - who will be the ones around longer than those who have made the decision	
28	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Roysvale is a well used space by community and school. The surrounding homes will be badly affected by losing their view and disruption by construction The principle of taking 'common good land' away is wrong	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None. I am very dismayed that a large primary school will lose their playing field	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Dislike the idea of this site; No scope for expansion if the roll increases Not convinced about the 5 - 18 campus idea Applegrove with a sizeable number of ASN pupils loses its big green space - such an important resource. Increase traffic concentrated around the two schools	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment.
		It was never called the Applegrove option. Always the Roysvale option. A big and nasty surprise to find the new school will take over Applegroves playing field.	
29	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		It is a community space, and a buffer between the academy and applegrove however still prone to flooding. Building the school on the opposite side of the road due to sheer convenience is idiotic and hypocritical by the council for offering a survey in school sight and going against the majority decision.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Zero benefits and only negatives of the current decision in the proposed site.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
30	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Wrong space to use, it should be kept as it is, green space in town for all.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Benefits?!	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Flooding is the very obvious issue and appears to be being ignored by council	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		It would be a mistake to site new school here, out of town location allows for future growth much better too	the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
31	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A transport assessment will be necessary as part of any future planning application. This will involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		Do not take away the space for a school. It is land that will never be reclaimed. The building will disrupt Applegrove School and cause chaos with traffic.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I don't seen any benefits. During the building, the roads around the build will be chaotic.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, the taking away of free space in the heart of the community.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		It is no doubt a foregone conclusion that the park will be build on	
32	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		I don't feel that there is enough space for the academy and the primary school to access the sport facilities proposed. The loss of common good land is not wort the proposal to squeeze the new academy into an unsuitable space.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are no benefits at all in my view.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, where will all the children go at break times/lunch time from both schools? This is a very short sighted project and one which I'm certain will throw out more problems than benefits. There will not be enough lesson time to get to the 3d pitch for sports for the primary or the academy.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		You are not making the best choice for the children of forres. This is going to impact terribly on the primary children and there is no room for growth with the academy. Taking away the green space of the common good land and the primary schools large grass space is so detrimental to health and wellbeing.	
33	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		I don't think it is good to lose beautiful green space in our town when there is another space on the edge of town that could be used for it	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No are there any?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
34	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		I think it is the perfect location, central, not too far from the existing site	use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		The benefits of a 5-18 campus will support those young people who have issues with transition and create greater links between primary and secondary pupils	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No, as long as the design is appropriate I feel this is an excellent proposal	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Roysvale will be returned to its original state with the benefit of a synthetic pitch, additional car parking and better public transport layout. I cant see any issues	
35	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The new school should be built elsewhere	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No as I can't see any only that's it's cheaper for the council	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Damaged to surrounding buildings	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Yet again more failings by moray council. Education is in a poor state within Moray and they will be providing a new school that will not be big enough for the future growth of the area	
36	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee. A transport assessment will be necessary as part of any future planning application. This will involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		Excellent choice	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Central location Amenities, old and new, located together Would fix issues regarding drainage etc	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No, none	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I live in the Sanquhar area of Forres, and fully expect traffic disruption etc. This is not an issue for me because the location for the build is ideal. Best of luck with it all	
37	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Sensible option for site of new Keep the school central and accessible for all Forres Academy building	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Keeps the school central and accessible for all areas of the town catchment.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		None	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		A central situation is important and costs will be less great.	
38	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		I am unhappy that there is a need to utilise a community park to facilitate the placing a new secondary school into a space that doesn't allow for future expansion and adds further congestion to an old part of old part of town. It is unbelievable that there is an appetite to remove green space (of historic interest) from the centre of town when the benefits are widely known.	<p>use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I have safety concerns about the increased traffic that will result of the proposals and drainage concerns due to the fragility of the network. I am also concerned about the potential loss of mature trees in the area. I do not believe that the contractors compound is large enough and will overspill into the football pitch.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
39	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p>
		Roysvale park is a valuable and well used green space in the heart of the town, it's important that as much of the park area as possible is retained for public use after the new school is constructed. It's regrettable that a large part of the park will be unusable during the construction phase, it would be good if as large an area as possible is retained for public use at this time.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The plans for a cycle way and footpaths are welcome and improving the drainage throughout the park are would be of real benefit to users of the park and the surrounding area.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The veteran holly trees at the roadside where the bus drop off is planned are of high biodiversity value due to their age, providing many ecological niches. It would be preferable for some or all of the veteran trees to be retained as part of the bus drop off strip. I appreciate that trees can be felled and replaced but it would take decades for newly planted trees to provide the same level of biodiversity benefit.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The pavillion in Roysvale is looking dated and if a new, modern, facility could be included in the plans, for public use, it would help negate the issues arising from the permanent change of use of some of the park area.	
40	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p>
		Against as goes against numerous policies within the development plan. Loss of green space for all is against all	

		forward thinking planning. It is common good land for the common good.	As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are none, building on Roysvale goes against the development plan regarding green spaces.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, numerous. Due to a green space being covered in concrete and the existing school site being covered in concrete. A no win situation depleting the towns green areas and working against any net zero policies. What would the carbon offset be, destroying more mature trees and pretending planting whips would compensate???	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		This is Moray Councils Planning at its worst, does not take into account the heritage and attractiveness of the existing town and basically goes back to the bad old days of the 60's when our towns were destroyed by over zealous planners and councillors. Let's learn from that not repeat.	
41	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		This green space should be left as it is. Given the drainage issues, this site should never have been considered for the new secondary school.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The central location is a huge benefit, allowing most children living within the town the opportunity to walk or cycle to school. The children are made to feel part of the community with the school placed centrally. The school is close to local shops, the swimming pool & fitness centre, community centre, GP/dental practices. Close to the towns 3 primary schools.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Building the new school in the playground of Applegrove school. This will take most of the primary schools playing field which is a key feature of this school. The disruption to the primary school children, staff, parents of the ongoing building works of new secondary. Traffic congestion surrounding the building site and then centrally once the school is located there.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Although the option of keeping the secondary school central within the town sounds good, logistically it does not make sense. Providing transportation will be made available to children having to travel 2miles or more, I feel the Lochyhill site is the more sensible option. Space is not a concern, no known issues with draining at this site, better infrastructure for cars, buses etc getting to/from the site, no beautiful green space it to be built upon and we can preserve our Roysvale part and Applegrove playground.	
42	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		In favour of the proposal.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views
		Good use of land that is currently only used for sports. With better sporting facilities proposed as part of the development.	

	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? That the proposed astro pitches, that will replace the current labs use, will not be built at the same time as the new school.	regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
43	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? Should not be built on	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? No benefits	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Poor drainage and loss of public space,	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
44	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? It is less than ideal that a primary school will loose their green space. However I did vote in favour of it as it is more accessible than the alternative site for my own children who live near to Dyke, and it will ensure the high street does not loose custom from the high school students. I would note that my preferred option was the site on the Grantown road.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? Pleased that my children will be able to safely cycle to school if they wish. They will have easy access to their after school clubs and the leisure centre. Children who wish to go home for lunch or are carers for family members will more easily be able to get home with time to spare. I believe children should be a visible part of a community and not shunted to the outskirts of the town.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment .Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Flood risks are of concern. I would like there to be a transfer of some of the old academy site to common good land in place of what is being taken away.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? I am highly concerned that plans are still only in the discussion phase when our kids need a new school yesterday. The school environment is woven into the psyche of our young people and it will have damaging and limiting effects the longer they have to attend the current high school.	
45	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		I honestly think it is a stupid idea to build the new high school over Roysvale Park. To me, it does not seem like a good long term solution. As many others have said, Roysvale floods very, very often and I can't see how erecting a big building on that ground is beneficial to that. I also feel that as Applegrove is the resourced school for the area, there will be a number of children who cannot cope with the disruption that this will cause for quite a long time. their schooling has already been hampered by Covid and its implications, this is just another thing that will mean our children are not supported in a nurturing way. I live out the other side of town and would welcome the Lochy hills development.	use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No. I cannot think of a single benefit that will come from siting the Academy on Rosyvale.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Many. See above. I feel like it will end up costing more trying to take the common good land than just outright purchasing the alternative where designs can be made from scratch. I can also foresee extra problems in the future with things like drainage, and expansion, much like Forres Academy has at the moment where remedial works have had to be done at a huge cost!	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Don't build on Roysvale, it is a terrible idea!	
46	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		In general, I'm happy with the proposals. I'm just concerned about the tree with the rabbit warren. Also as Roysvale is used for the builders, Applegrove Primary will have reduced playing space.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The benefits are long term. The short term may be tough for children having reduced playing areas, especially for Applegrove pupils.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The rabbit burrow in one of the trees. Loss of play/ sport area for the duration of the build. Disruption for Applegrove pupils and loss of school play area. Possible mitigations could be considered- written in next section.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		1. An adventure play area installed within Applegrove Primary. 2. The grassy area opposite Forres Legion fenced off, fully grassed and swings installed as a new playing area. 3. Free mixed sport sessions re-established in Forres community centre for Applegrove Families. 4. Free fit life cards for a year for Applegrove families. 5. Professional work done to develop the woodland/ sensory garden in Applegrove.	As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
47	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Awful place for an academy, there is not enough room for future expansion if needed. The congestion during pick up and drop off would be awful for residents who live in the area.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

48	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.</p> <p>A transport assessment will be necessary as part of any future planning application. This will involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		Yes it's a good place to build it	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes I live in cumming street, and with apple grove that street is a nightmare and a disaster waiting to happen during drop off and collect time, something needs to be done about this as with the academy as well it will be even more of a nightmare	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
49	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		don't think that is the best area. It will have a huge knock on affect on Applegrove and I dread to think about the congestion and traffic in that area if building there goes ahead.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I can see no benefits of building there.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		That area has serious drainage issues and also it will affect the local primary.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
50	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		Roysvale Park is not a suitable location for a new school. The traffic management in Forres has been worsened in recent years with the poorly planning traffic lights on St Catherine Road which causes unbelievably traffic building up for such a small town. If there is the opportunity to build the school elsewhere which would help ease the existing traffic issues this should be the priority. This would aid in reducing pollution within the town. Page 128-129 of the National Planning Framework 4 states for the north east: "The area's growth strategy includes commitment to building with nature by creating multi-functional blue and green networks and improving green spaces in and around settlements, connecting with the national long distance cycling and walking network and facilitating active travel." The proposals do not improve green spaces but reduces and limits them.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I cannot see any benefits from the information provided by the council.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		Yes - the location of the proposed school is removing the green space areas of the current playground of Applegrove Primary. This will result in the children at Applegrove primarily having concrete to play on which limits the activities and play they can carry out. Orchard Road cannot handle traffic presently and there is no way that it could handle further traffic upon completion of the school or for the duration of the construction. During the construction of the school, the noise and disturbance would have a large impact on the learning of the children at Applegrove which is already a poorly scoring school and I expect that this would have a further detrimental impact on this.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I am a Chartered Surveyor - I fully expect that if this proposal had been submitted by a private landowner that it would be declined and development would not be permitted. The spatial principles within National Planning Framework 4 are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Just transition. We will empower people to shape their places and ensure the transition to net zero is fair and inclusive. • Conserving and recycling assets. We will make productive use of existing buildings, places, infrastructure and services, locking in carbon, minimising waste, and building a circular economy. • Local living. We will support local liveability and improve community health and wellbeing by ensuring people can easily access services, greenspace, learning, work and leisure locally. • Compact urban growth. We will limit urban expansion so we can optimise the use of land to provide services and resources, including carbon storage, flood risk management, blue and green infrastructure and biodiversity. • Rebalanced development. We will target development to create opportunities for communities and investment in areas of past decline, and manage development sustainably in areas of high demand. • Rural revitalisation. We will encourage sustainable development in rural areas, recognising the need to grow and support urban and rural communities together. I do not see how the proposed development supports any of these principles. Each point of the spatial principles is not met. In order: the vast majority of the local community disagree with the location proposed, the proposal does not empower people to be part of this. It does not conserve or recycle existing assets and will emit significant carbon. It does not limit urban growth but expands it in a town which cannot handle additional infrastructure without extreme further upgrades which from precedent set by the council and other developments within the town, will not be done. It does not rebalance development, it leaves land that has been set aside for development at the enterprise park vacant and unused. Finally, it does not support rural revitalisation. If planning is permitted for this, I will have serious questions on how the planners think that it could be approved due to the limitations set out in the local plan and the national planning framework.	
51	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The school should not be built there. Not only are they going to use Rosevale (which belongs to the people of Forres and is well used by clubs and locals) it is cheap land for the taking in comparison to the other site. There is no mention about about taking land from Applegrove PS which is well used by pupils- mental wellbeing space and football groups(young children)	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been
		No. You are taking away a green space which has been used by locals for 1000+ years. It is a cheap piece of land to take away from the people of Forres. The other site is a field, good	

		roads and only a line of houses on one side. Rosevale is beside pensioner houses, private house and removal of healthy mature trees.	developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		More disruption for this quiet part of Forres. It is bad enough for people living there with traffic, the road parking and congestion. Okay it isn't 24/7 but it is still an issue. It is a cheap piece of land to take away from the people of Forres. (Common Good Land) Rosevale has pensioner houses down one side, private houses on the opposite, mature trees. THE OTHER SITE is a field, good roads, houses down one side.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Disappointed on the proposition of this site. When the present school was built it was vacant land apart from the gate house to the Sanquhar estate. The estate was purchased and houses built. There is a field above the school grounds that has never been mentioned in any plans yet it has been used by the school for sports.	
52	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Would cause complete havoc and congestion in the area. Major loss of green space for Applegrove. Far too close proximity of older secondary pupils to young vulnerable primary pupils. Parents will always seek shortcuts when dropping off pupils. Orchard Road and Sanquhar Road will be a nightmare. Loss of Park Space for buss pull ins. Major drainage issues not yet fully investigated or resolved. Impact on all houses near the new school.. Drop in house prices. Increased risk of flooding.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I don't see any benefits to this site. Pupils may like it because easier access to their unhealthy diets of cheese and chips for lunch.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Flooding Congestion Bullying- proximity to primary school Drop in house prices Loss of much valued green space. General destruction of a very pleasant quiet residential area	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please listen to what people are saying and do not take the short sighted cheaper option which is likely to cost far more in the longer term	
53	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning
		If they can create an all weather pitch I think it would be a good addition although I do think they need to consider the effect of construction noise on Applegrove	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Forres desperately needs an all weather pitch for sports clubs. Good central location with opportunities to improve active travel	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Drainage concerns, noise pollution, disruption to primary children	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

			applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
54	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Agree to use roysvale and return after	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		An inconvenience for a short while but academy remaining central is important	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Once work complete the whole area including where skatepark was should be rejuvenated for sports and leisure	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
55	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		It's the best location as its central	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	Ideally should be 2 high schools as Forres seems to be growing,with no additional facilities
56	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I think it is unacceptable putting the new school on park land/applegrove playing field. It will cause even more congestion to the road. The residents of that area are not happy at all. The primary school children at applegrove already get bullied terribly by the academy kids when passing the school field. Lots of the wee kids are terrified of them. Is it worth building a new school on low ground in a already bad area for flooding when you could of had lochyhill which is on high ground and has plenty of room for future expansion and more space for school socialisation. It may be further for the academy kids to travel but it is the best and more sensible location by far	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Other than being closer to the shops , I see no benifit whatsoever	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Just because the site at roysvale is cheaper, doesn't mean it's better. The applegrove kids are already terrified of the academy students due to bullying on their lunch breaks, why should they also loose their playing field and park for a school that is smack bang in the middle of an flood zone. Its absolutely ridiculous	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Build the academy in lochyhill. It has far more space and is never going to flood. There's enough room for further development in the future. Build a small shop with the school for the children so they have lunch options, a shop would hugely benefit the forreshill residents too. It may cost more but it is a far better decision longterm by far	
57	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		I 100% disagree with it. It may be the cheaper proposal but it's not the best proposal.	use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		The only benefit apart from being cheaper is that it would be more central but that would be outweighed by the traffic chaos.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Ha! Ha! Just that,like the first poll that was taken, the majority will be totally ignored. I think it's a disgrace that the majority have already been ignored.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I would hope that the majority will be listened to.	
58	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Will keep the Academy in the Town making it easier for pupils to access the public transport system. It will also make it safer when accessing the Town during their lunch breaks.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		See 1 above.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		None, other than losing a green space in the Town. This would be solved with the demolition of the old Academy. The old site could then be turned in to green space.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		A much needed building which I hope will be built big enough to take in the extra students caused by the expansion of housing in the area.	
59	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Think it's the perfect site for the new school	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		We live cross the road from it & is easy walking distance for our boys when they are older	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Only concern is the amount of traffic from the working will cause	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
60	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Although a better location (geographically) this is a poor decision as it's too close to the primary school (Applegrove)	

		and has horrendous flooding/boggy issues due to the water table. Also not a big enough piece of land and the town grows the school needs to be bigger and better with better facilities.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? None		
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Being too close to Applegrove and lack of space for expansion and good facilities.		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? Picking Roysvale was the cheaper of the 2 sites and of course the council had picked it before the people of Forres were asked for their opinion. The last "consultation" was a box ticking exercise by Moray Council. This location is the lazy choice. Moving the Academy away from the centre of town would help with traffic congestion around the grantown road/ orchard road areas and drop off and pick up times. Their has to be a more suitable place for the new school that's not too out the way but also not taking away green space or in a boggy marshy area		
61	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? Xxx		
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? Xxx		
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Xxx		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? Xxx		
62	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I think the park should be kept as a green space for the community to use. A new academy should be built on the outskirts of Forres. Roysvale has limited scope for extending the school in the future.		Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? None		
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? The affect of getting rid of a green park area, limited scope for extending in future, increase in traffic on already busy local roads.		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? Respondent skipped this question		
63	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? Yes good idea		
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good	

		Keeps it central	Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No problem as long as the site of existing school is turned into public playing grounds	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Councillors for the local area should engage with all the different communities not only the ones who shout the loudest	
64	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		It's the best place for it.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		It's central for everyone, not having to dish out millions to a money hungry farmer for land	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		None	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Just get it built	
65	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		This is the wrong decision by Moray Council regarding the location of the future Forres Academy. Previous consultation with the people of Forres voted in favour of the Lochyhill site. Agree that in the ideal world, a central location for the new school would be the preferred option however this will result in the lose of the common good land and cause a lot of concerns from the residents living in close proximity to the new school due to drainage, sewage, noise levels, volume of traffic, etc, etc.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Agree that a central location for the school is the better option however the Roysvale Park has too many issues that will result in a lot of concern and additional cost for the Council compared to building the school at Lochyhill site.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		1. Loss of green space for the Forres residents 2. Drainage and flooding of this area 3. Disruptive traffic during the building of the new school. 4. Stress of pupils and teachers having build work sited right next to existing primary school 5. Loss of recreation area for Applegrove Primary School 6. New School building will completely dominate this site and affect all the housing situated around this area.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Forres is a rapidly expanding town and at some point in the future, the land around Lochyhill will be needed to build more houses. The housing developers and Moray council should discuss the benefits of sharing the cost for the new A96 road access to this area which will be required prior to any building work starting in this location.	
66	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I do not think the new school should be built on the Roysvale, I feel the current roads do not support the already busy school collections the congestion builds up past the go practice, it should be placed futher out of town to slow a better build	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.

		I don't see the any benefits to current or potential students the only benefit is a financial one to the council	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The proposed site Will seriously disrupt the current students of both Forres academy and Applegrove during the build, the noise and traffic will not be conducive to the safe learning environment of current students.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
67	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		A great central location, easy accessible for both ends of town	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Children will be able to walk/bike to school which will help to limit travel and encourage exercise. Great that it's next to the swimming pool and gym. It will allow the pupils the freedom and responsibility to go up the high-street for lunch and will benefit local businesses. Pupils who prefer to have their own space at lunch will have many options of places to go.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I think it's the only location that will work. When the traffics is bad on days such as a rainy day it can take us 25/30 minutes so get from the Balnageith area to Anderson's primary so I would imagine it would take a lot longer to get to the other side of town and there would be a much higher volume of traffic on the road as it's too far for pupils to walk to the other end of town.	
68	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		I think it's fine as it keeps things central for both ends of the town. Ideally there would be a bigger sight but that's not possible.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I think it will keep everything on one sight. Hopefully football pitches,skate park and obviously the swimming pool and general green space for all	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I think just the disruption during the build and obvious traffic flow.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Just get it started and get the kids into a modern bright, fit for purpose school.	
69	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use
		It's in the wrong place , Roysvale park is prone to flooding, also where are they going to play football and athletics etc ,	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		It's central that's about all , but the way Forres is going size wise , better build it on the outskirts either up at Dallas Dhu or out at Forbes hill	of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	<p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p>
		Parking will be a problem I think Also traffic concerns	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
70	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I don't think it's the best option. It will take away green space used by several sports clubs and from the academy during the building of the new site.It's a much used route for surrounding schools, building here will disrupt the the route for many children and parents.The site is often flooded during periods of rain, so why make it worse by building on there.	<p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Only benefit is that's in the middle of town.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The building time in this site will disrupt the education of the current academy and Applegrove Schools. It will also take away the green space currently used by the schools. It will congest the small roads even more, with building traffic going up and down, which makes it more dangerous for the younger children to take that route to school.	<p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p>
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		A cheap option isn't always the best option in the long run. With all the new houses being build, how long will the current proposal be big enough? There's no room to expand the academy in the future. Same happend with Elgin High, the new build is already to small just couple years after completion.	
71	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I don't think Roysvale park is the best option as by the time the land is purchased and made suitable for such a big build with water upgrades and flooding issues the money could be spent on building a school in an area suitable and room for expansion.	<p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Wrong location	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		Water issues, flooding of the area when heavy rain, close to houses and taking away playing fields for applegrove primary school.	area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
72	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Overall I don't think it's the right place: it will change the Roysvale area completely, it leaves very little scope for expansion, it removes access to green space for general public and dog walkers, will dominate the small houses in the area, increase road pressure around applegrove, be a considerable pain when being built. On top of this we have no idea what the building will be like in terms of size and design. Forres is a green town and this is one of its major positives, this small but significant green space should be kept for the common good and not used as it's the cheapest option (at the moment)	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Close to pool good but the argument about the businesses on the high street is a nonsense - a school shouldn't be based around how much money the kids might spend plus they will still come up the street and some businesses i.e at the enterprise park would benefit. So few kids walk now I don't see that as a valid argument (the yearly hands up travel survey would have stats). Also the argument that it should be central to town is not reasonable - the enterprise area will be developed eventually and that area is part of the town with excellent road access and cycle routes.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		It concerns me given the financial situation that the council are in that they will Pick area based on cost rather than what's best for now and the future. Once this ground is lost that's it!	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		As a teacher who has worked in 2 schools when they had new buildings planned and built I have a fair bit of experience. Both of the schools (Earlston & Kelso) were built on the edge of the towns and have been very successful builds. Both had the same arguments about being too out of the way etc and yet the community use both very very well. Having a future proof school is also crucial. Elgin schools already at capacity!! Potential at the enterprise site far outweighs Roysvale- outdoor learning, sports facilities, future extension, Green spaces, healthy travelOptions etc	
73	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		It is barbaric to purposely lose green space and put our primary school children through the disruption of the building work and ultimately, have nowhere for them to play	The consultation relates specifically to the

	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? It should not be at Roysvale/Applegrove playing fields	<p>proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p>
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Yes	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
74	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I think that Roysvale is defiantly not the correct place for the proposed new academy, 1. The site is no where big enough & in 5 to 10 years you'll be looking to expand again 2. There a numerous ancient trees around Roysvale that shouldn't be destroyed 3. The park is used my many older folk to walk around & exercise there dogs, their are no other flat areas nearby to do this 4. The disturbance & disruption to residential properties opposite will be astronomical with coaches, cars & youths hanging outside some who are very intimidating!! There is much more scope at the other proposed site to build & extend the site in the future when the need arises, also the outdoor space is more ideal for an all weather football pitch, netball etc & although I understand that it is more difficult to get to I'm sure this can be easily sorted by putting on buses etc as it is for children outwith the area now	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? I see no potential benefits at all if the academy is built on Roysvale However I have already listed all the positive benefits for the 2nd proposed site - see above	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p>
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? I think that Roysvale is defiantly not the correct place for the proposed new academy, 1. The site is no where big enough & in 5 to 10 years you'll be looking to expand again 2. There a numerous ancient trees around Roysvale that shouldn't be destroyed 3. The park is used my many older folk to walk around & exercise there dogs, their are no other flat areas nearby to do this 4. The disturbance & disruption to residential properties	

		opposite will be astronomical with coaches, cars & youths hanging outside some who are very intimidating!!	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		You need to forward think with regards to these proposed sites especially with the rate Forres is growing, there is only 1 sensible solution & that isn't Roysvale otherwise in 10 years we will be in exactly the same place again with academy being too small !	
75	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Perfect location, despite general concerns regarding roads etc. Central location for all pupils, existing playing fields etc close by for use by the school. More children should be encouraged to walk to school, instead of every child being driven, that would cut congestion in a heart beat.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Location central for all pupils. Continued use of existing playing fields.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Nothing.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No further comments	
76	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Good location	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
77	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Fantastic - best site for the school	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Wonderful, as close to the existing school you could likely get	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Absolutely none at all	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No - fantastic job by everyone involved.	
78	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use
		Seems fine, it's central to the town. To maintain locals being able to walk to school and not increase buses. As a park in underused, in comparison to Grant Park, it would not be a loss to the town in my opinion.	

	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Just that we need to get on with building it, took long in consultation.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		We have enough park land with Grant Park and objections from locals are selfish, they do not use that land currently.	
79	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		The school should not be built there. The area is cramped prone to flooding and the common good land should be preserved The traffic congestion will not be relieved	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I find it hard to see any benefits.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
80	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		It feels like a short-sighted quick fix to put this school in Roysvale. There will be no room for further expansion, yet the town continues to grow.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		My only strong view is that a decision is made with some urgency. The school is literally falling down around the hard working school staff and pupils, and this decision needs to be made with as little delay as possible!	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
81	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		The worst choice would not have any affect on large houses but the small bungalows on same level as park will be overshadowed by size of academy. These houses are mainly for elderly people. Traffic is already a problem for primary schools Anderson's and Applegrove using that road it's ridiculous adding to an existing problem, has anyone ever spent time or surveyed traffic flow at the moment.... I think not. It makes no sense and a complete waste of money to build on ground that is not big enough ludicrous!	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		What benefits!	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Concernsdefinitely but they have been said and been ignored the site has been chosen, this is just another tick the box, we gave people a chance for opinions, shameful on	

		councillors for what they re about to do... not for best place but the cheapest.....	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
82	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p>
		Unworkable. Infrastructure inappropriate. Insufficient routes to the school in an already congested area. No future proofing available for expansion.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Little evidence of public views being considered. Space at Roysvale insufficient. Decision made on costs, not practicalities and quality of education feasible in the area.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I'd rather see a delayed decision than going ahead with an ill-conceived plan	
83	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		Not in favour. Completely wrong site due to flooding problems, using up limited green space within forres Town which is also common good land well used by the ordinary school and other groups, lack of infrastructure/roads and parking.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Increased traffic, congestion, noise pollution while building in a residential area, using up green space. Not big enough for long term	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Absolutely NOT in favour of this site	
84	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p>
		Best choice of location, not too far a distance for pupils to walk/cycle from all locations in town.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Just what I have said above.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		None	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
85	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the</p>
		It's a terrible decision and quite honestly the whole consultation process smells corrupt. The arguments for the location are weak and the multiple valid arguments against seem to be completely ignored	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		Can't really see any benefits other than the location is what people are used to.	disposal or change of use of Common Good assets. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the use of common good funds these should be submitted to the Council and will be considered through the complaints process.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Loss of green space. Pollution. Years of building works-associated dangers and destruction for schools and community. Traffic. Noise. Flooding.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		This form is far to short to list the reasons and reasoning. Is this another tickbox exercise that will be completely ignored? Curious about legality of using common good ground and also if the common good funds are already being dipped into and misused.	
86	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		I think the proposal is a great idea, the council already own the land and it is central for everyone.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Central for everyone, will cost the least amount of money and won't ruin the countryside.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I feel that with Moray Councils current financial situation it would be the most beneficial route to take.	
87	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. The width of the proposed bus drop off is inclusive of a shared footway/ cycleway and will be reviewed during the design process if the proposal is taken forward. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		I am very sad to loose the park for such a long time, but understand that there is no other sensible option.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		If the park could be left with excellent drainage and in better condition than it is now, it would help people accept the changes	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The space taken for the bus pull in area is too big. It would make Sanquhar Road look too wide and encourage cars to go faster. If it were only a drop off point it could be much smaller. Could the children walk to the same car park area as the teachers after school, and the buses wait there?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I have concerns about sewage overspill in Orchard Rd if the drainage to Roysvale is improved.	
88	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		As long as you make sure you learn from the mistakes learned at Lossiemouth there is no problem	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
89	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Town centre essential	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Cater first for walkers, wheelers, bus travel (pupils and staff!); discourage motor traffic bar blue badge	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		None except the baffling out of towners being shouty	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Get on with it asap, seeking to disrupt learning etc as little as possible	
90	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Crazy. Taking away the primary schools green land . It's not fair. Traffic will be mayhem and unsafe	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		No benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		Safety	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
91	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Think it's an absolute disgrace and very short sighted. Seems the Council is going for the easy option with no regard for the long term	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Can't see any benefit	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Loss of green space which shouldn't be allowed	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
92	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		After completing the last survey I'm concerned that although the majority of local residents prefer the Lochy hills site, the Roysvale option is going ahead. What's the point of consulting people? How can I be assured that the council is actually listening?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		If the proposal took account of future educational needs, the site was big enough and the transport /roads infrastructure could cope with it then there are many benefits. The site is too small. The roads barely cope with existing traffic during peak times. Applegrove Primary is struggling and Andersons Primary is nearly 200 years old. What is going to happen to these schools in the next 5 years?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The small size of the site crammed next to a poorly performing primary school. The new school seems very small - is there enough room for all educational needs? The percentage of learners the school is actually being built for? The capacity of the school to develop within the restraints of a small town centre site. The surrounding road infrastructure. The distance the main car park will be from the school.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		This should be a wonderful opportunity for the future education of our children. Come on Moray Council show some leadership, imagination and foresight. We don't need more of the same mediocre /poor education (see recent HMIe) for our children crammed into a small site next to a failing primary school. Our children deserve better than this.	
93	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		i don't think it a good thing as it not a large area as the area getting bigger we going to be needing a bigger school	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		no it only going to disrupt the young children at applgrove and the house around it	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		the district for the children trying to learn in the school were are they meant to run around and play sports	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		the children should be the most important part of this and not money like it being kids need space to run	
94	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Great site and glad it will be restored after use	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
95	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park,
		Not a suitable place	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

			footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Loss of green space	
96	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		It is 100% the wrong place for the new Forres Academy	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		There are no benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		1. Removing green space for children 2. Heightened anxiety for ASN children due to noise if building work 3. Parking issues 4. Impact on residents 5. Drainage	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Are councillors really listening to opinions? Have they ALL been out to visit proposed area.	
97	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I don't agree that this is the best site. The removal of common good land, the plan to site the staff carpark far away from the building, the disruption to local houses before and after the building work and the lack of ability to extend the site.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Fairly central location.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		See question 1. Issues around common good land, siting of staff carpark, problems with congestion, disruption to locals, lack of ability to extend site if needed (as per Elgin High) etc.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		None.	
98	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I feel that the proposal to use Roysvale Park is short sighted and does not take into account the ever expanding size of Forres. Nor does it consider the traffic congestion which is already dangerous not only at the proposed sight but all routes leading into the area. Finally the green areas in Forres should be respected and protected for future generations to enjoy.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		I see no benefits to the using Roysvale for this proposal.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		My concerns are that the views of the local people will not be heard and the decision has already been made.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Listen to the locals and show some forward thinking for the generations to come.	A planning application will need to be separately

			submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
99	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Best site available why purchase and develop unspoilt land	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Cost effective and central for pupils	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	None		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		None	
100	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		I think it's the correct decision to build.it there	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Traffic could be an issue during school run times		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
101	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Support its use	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Central to town and will allow continued strong links between academy and sports centre, tennis courts, working with local primaries	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	No		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Revenue for local shops would be negatively impacted by lochyhill site	
102	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		All good.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		All seems fine.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No.	

	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Anything that is part of the Academy new build should proceed asap.	
103	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		That it would be a short term sticking plaster solution.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		It would be less upheaval short term.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Flooding Disruptions to Applegrove. Space issues.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Please spend the money and do it properly.	
104	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Loss of field for Applegrove Noise and disruption during works Increased traffic Flooding There's already toilet paper and other unsanitary items floating in the field after heavy rain	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		The site is not suitable	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		All above	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Why is there a second consultation when no one wanted it on Roysvale	
105	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Think it is a great central location	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Easily accessible for all	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
106	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		This open space in the centre of Forres should be retained and not built on.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		The new Academy, if built there, will be cramped and insular. Find open space with room for future expansion.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, it will ruin a beautiful open space in the town. We should not be building on these open spaces.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The views of locals must be taken into account. Moray Councilkors, few of whom know Forres, do not know what is best for the town. They are too Elgin-centric.	

107	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		I think the proposed site at Roysvale is the wrong site . It is very short sighted to the needs of a town which is growing . Taking away green areas from within a town is detrimental to health and wellbeing of the younger and older members of our community who use this area.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None .	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<p>The proposed site is going to impact on the primary school children as you are taking away there safe play area. There are plans to remove some the common land on Roysvale for bus stops . Where are the teachers and visitors going to park their cars? Orchard rd is already a hazard for pedestrians due to parked cars and the amount of traffic . Parents who drop pupils at school will not use the proposed bus drop off but will use Orchard Road as it is a shorter distance for walking . Again making orchard Road busy and unsafe .How many stories is the proposed building - will this impact on the light quality for the primary school and the nearby bungalows . When building what will be the impact on the local Victorian buildings. Will vibrations cause damage to buildings and their foundations.What about the very high water level in this area of town . Roysvale already has a large puddle about 80% of the year.Will the extra building and concrete have an adverse affect on the water level or increasing it and increasing the area of flooding which already happens in this area. Will the old water and sewage pipes be able to cope with this . I understand that Alexandra terrace has had sewage bubbling through drains due piping systems breaking down and needing repair.What is being done to ensure that local residents are not adversely affected by this ! Surely the new site on the Elgin side of town would cause less problems in the long run! . And probably less money in the future.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>The consultation with local residents is very poor . Have letters been put through doors! Lots of the residents are elderly and will not necessarily have access to Facebook or be able to walk to get a newspaper. You are more than happy to spend money on putting flyers through doors but not for this . I watched the council discussion when this was initially proposed and I feel that local residents of Forres views were not considered . It looked like it was bulldozed through the chairperson . Local Forres councillors did not represent their community . The voting by residents was against Roysvale being used but this was ignored .</p>	
108	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and</p>
		It should be kept as it is just now	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are zero benefits of building forres academy at roysvale	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, lots. There is not enough room at roysvale to extend an academy in the future; losing green space / playing field; the area floods; the area / roads can't cope with the current amount of traffic - we should be trying to reduce traffic, not increase traffic; too near to primary school;	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		Forres academy should be built up beyond forbeshill where there is plenty of space	SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
109	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I think that the site chosen is not the right one. It provides no room for expansion and covers a beautiful green area in the centre of town.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I cannot see any benefits to the Roysvale site.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, loss of green site, lack of foresight to need for future expansion.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please listen to the local citizens and their thoughts, local knowledge and wishes.	
110	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I don't believe the council have made the right decision on where the new Forres academy is to be sited. I believe there will be too much disruption and already parents aren't wanting to put their children to Applegrove over building work.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Royalsvale is a natural soak away so building on it will be disastrous. The chaos the building work will cause on orchard road by Applegrove will be mammoth. It bad enough at the moment let alone building another school. The cost and time lost over building working having to stop at every funeral is ludicrous.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I think they need to reconsider their siting of Forres Academy.	
111	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Loss of green space for Primary a step back. Drainage. Loss of green space for community. Building dwarfing surrounding property.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Cannot see any benefits to proposal other than a new school building - much over due.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Loss of green space for Primary a step back. Car park too far away from new academy site. Congestion on Orchard road. Poor access for buses.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
112	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I object to the sale of the CGL for the purposes outlined. Mainly because I object to this site for the location of the new school.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		I guess if the new school has to go next to Applegrove it will mean that pupils won't need to walk so far between the car park (where the existing school is) and the new building.	regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		<p>I don't understand how two schools can share Roysvale park at breaks, lunch and for PE lessons? Currently the secondary pupils go out to play football and the primary children run all over their playing field.</p> <p>If the new school is built next to Applegrove the secondary pupils will need to walk all the way up to the other playing fields (beyond the existing school). Given that a lesson is only 50 minutes long and they need to use that time to get changed (start and end of lesson) and walk to the PE site, this would leave such a short amount of time for PE that it would hardly be worth going out for.</p> <p>Imagine the traffic jams which will occur at Burdsyard road - buses taking up the whole road in order to squeeze into the drop off lane.....The buses trying to pull out from the other end of the lane whilst other people drive along Sanquhar road...</p> <p>Where will the FA school garden go? The current garden is in the quadrangle in the centre of the school but despite many attempts to 'tame' it , the garden is unusable because when pupils are in there they disturb the classrooms around the outside. And seagulls and oyster catchers nest there. FA needs a school garden.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		If it is possible to sort out the drainage issues at Roysvale and Applegrove, why isn't it possible to sort them out at the current site and simply re-build there?	
113	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Rosyvale Park isn't big enough for the proposal. It will exacerbate congestion in the centre of town as well as causing massive disruption to local residents, Applegrove primary pupils & negatively effect property values.	<p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the consultation process these should be submitted to the Council and will be considered through the complaints process.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		<p>Not really other than i guess it's a central location & I suppose Applegrove & Andersons kids could benefit from shared resources.</p> <p>I hope the site of the old Academy could be redeveloped into a new community centre & library linked to the existing swimming pool.</p>	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As per question 1.	
		Rosyvale Park isn't big enough for the proposal. It will exacerbate congestion in the centre of town as well as causing massive disruption to local residents, Applegrove primary pupils & negatively effect property values.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>To my mind the council missed a huge opportunity to get a new school build on land beside Springfields recent Knockomie development. It was central with good access. Instead it was sold for housing with no long term thought given to the infrastructure of the town. As a result they're now scrambling to build a new secondary.</p> <p>The site at Lochyills is on "greenfield" with plenty of room for expansion & facilities but unfortunately futher away than the</p>	

		council are prepared to look. It never has a real prospect of being picked, the council having already made up its mind . As a resident the whole consultation process stinks of stich up & huge waste of taxpayers money.	
114	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		Temporary disruption for future benefit	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Improved football park	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		You need a representative opinion not just the neighbours	
115	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		I feel it would be a huge mistake to build the new school at Roysvale park. The site isn't big enough - the potential for future extension extremely limited and a 3 storey building in that area is hugely inappropriate. The site is currently used by Applegrove pupils in a much less invasive way. The disruption during construction will have a hugely negative impact on the lives of residents and also the pupils at Applegrove.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I see very little in the way of benefits other than a central location however that will come at a high cost with regard to access, space and the local environment.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The site at lochyhills is surely the best option with space for extension, access and to create a less condensed environment for future pupils.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I feel strongly that a decision had been made despite the consultation process and that the concerns and feelings of local residents are being ignored.	
116	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		I think it's short sighted and a ridiculous idea to build the academy on Roysvale.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Roysvale is common good lad that should NEVER be built on for a start, the fact that it only has to rain for a few hours and the majority of the green is flooded is another reason, the fact that you will be causing absolute mayhem with the traffic (which is already horrendous), with the construction vehicles is reason number three and reason number four, Roysvale is surrounded on three sides by older people and MILITARY VETERANS some, if not all have mental health issues including PTSD and people banging and digging and dropping things (as in construction) will no doubt cause suffering to those veterans. And lastly but by no means least with the finding of RAC in the already existing academy, there is potential that any, ANY major construction will cause the unstable concrete to collapse due to the vibrations. The Forbes Hill site is already bare, there is no ripping up of green space or destroying of perfectly fine trees, there is	

		plenty of access from the bypass so no problems with construction vehicles, and the site is big enough to add to it in the future. Also it is a straight walk to it for the students, and please don't try using the argument it further for some to walk when they are quiet happy to walk from the existing academy down to Tesco and back EVERY lunchtime, the council could always open a concession stand up near the new academy and make even more money from the people who rent it.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes my husband is one of the military veterans with PTSD and I also have mental health problems if this goes ahead outside my back gate I will be seeking legal assistance to sue for damages. Plus IT IS NOT SAFE have you even bothered to come and look at the traffic at school drop off or pick up especially along orchard road?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The SAFEST and logical place to build is the Forbes Hill site, take the blinkers off and listen to the majority of people especially those of us who will be stepping out of our back gates onto a carpark and think about it, yes it may cost more initially however in the long run it will save money, because you can expand if needed, there is no need to replace ALL the outdated sewer lines AND then lay new when you can just go in with new, there would be no need to have the students at the academy bused to another school because the vibrations from the building of the new academy is causing the RAC in the old one to crumble, the people of Forres can keep the COMMON GOOD green space and trees. And you may not get sued. It makes sense to build on the field at Forbes Hill, I know it's difficult as members of the council and government to actually listen to the people and to actually see the bigger picture, but please try.	
117	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		As I have said in the previous consultation, I do not think Roysvale Park is an appropriate site for the new school in Forres. Making the park a building site for however long is needed, will create huge disruption & congestion very near the centre of town & Grant Park. The small round nearby & roads around already get very congested especially at school drop off & pick up times. Many frail & elderly people live nearby & I think a building site could bring considerable safety risks for all the children who would be constantly needing to use adjacent pathways while heavy lorries & workers vans constantly go back & forth. The noise will also cause huge disruption. Forres is a thriving small town with a many community activities taking place all around the High Street, Grant Park, the swimming pool & Sanquhar Loch. Currently it offers an attractive town centre that draws many people from near & far. All of this will be undermined & put at risk by the ridiculous suggestion of building a new school at the picturesque & tranquil Roysvale Park site.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Please refer to previous summary of my views in my response to the initial question in this survey. I see absolutely no benefits in building the new Forres Academy building at Roysvale Park.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		I have many issues & concerns - all expressed in the first section of this questionnaire.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Ideally I would recommend that you build the new school on the Grantown Road, near to the huge Knockhomie Housing development & Pilmuir, where many of the school children will be living. Alternatively - as was previously suggested - it could be near the Horizon Busines Park. A school shuttle bus service along the bypass could easily be provided to transport children who need to get from one side of town to the other at the beginning & end of the day. If necessary, Tesco could set up a Tesco Metro or equivalent near the business park site to help children with their lunch time needs. If the new school was on the Grantown Road, children could access the new Co-op or walk back to the town &/or Tesco at lunchtime. I very strongly suggest that you don't ignore all the Forres residents, including myself, who object strongly to the Roysvale Park school build proposal.	
118	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		I am worried about the disruption to my children attending Applegrove Primary. Traffic is already extremely high before the proposal of the new Academy being built. We walk to and from school every day and notice the sheer volume of traffic.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		It is central in town.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No room for expansion on the Roysvale site. I know there are proposals for drainage which is very much needed as in bad weather, the area tends to flood and take ages to dry out after. All the disruption of construction vehicles. I hate the thought of Applegrove losing its large green space which is used daily.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
119	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Will busses enter the drop off lane at the Burdsyard road end and exit at the Applegrove primary end? Is there enough width in the road to do that u turn? Will there be a staff and visitor car park entrance/exit from Orchard road and can this be used for Applegrove primary as well. Vehicle parking on both sides of Orchard road between Applegrove primary and the Sanquhar road junction causes a bottleneck. This needs restrictions on one side.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Will construction traffic arrive from the Grantown road end of Orchard road and enter the site off Orchard road when possible.	
120	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		I am appalled nonethat Moray Council are making the same mistake which has led to many pupils down the years being taught in sub standard conditions	use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		None	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Many Common Good ground was given for the benefit of all Forresians and is used by many organisations, encouraging participants to exercise and enjoy the fresh air as is even more essential in today's use of phones by young people The site is I suspect the minimum space required and compared to other schools eg in Elgin, somewhat small Already the roads in that area cannot cope with the heavy weight of traffic It is too near the present Applegrove Primary and to confiscate their present green area goes against all that we are told is required for children's proper development	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Just to say how disappointed I am that once more the young people of Forres and District lose out	
121	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Several issues need to be addressed.The most important being Safety of the children.The impact of increased traffic on the older homes which align the route. There is very infrequent clearing of the drains along Orchard Road,will the erection of a large building cause extra pressure on an already struggling drainage system	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		None	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Is this site large enough to allow extending the building to accommodate an increase in pupil numbers?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
122	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. If the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.A planning application will need to be separately
		I strongly disagree and object to Moray Councils proposal to develop this land, especially the installation of a bus parking lane which will include the cutting down of several Holly Trees and not to mention the extra water run off it will create. The common good land in question (Roysvale Park) has been enjoyed by many generations of Forres Folk, including footballers, runners, people walking their pets, even children flying their kites, this is a green space and should not be developed. Once it is built upon that's it gone forever	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		As far as I can see there is no potential benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, the extra flooding it will cause	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		Yes, leave this site alone and build the Academy at Lochyhill	submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
123	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I am against the use of roysvale park ad it is a public amenity used by the townsfolk. The land is also surrounded by oaps and disabled veterans, some with ptsd.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I see no benefits in the use of the common good land	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		My issues are that the victoriana drainage system is already unable to cope with even a minor rain storm. The land is constantly in use by football teams, runners and children and dog walkers. The surrounding houses are predominantly oaps or disabled veterans with ptsd.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The surrounding roads will not be able to handle an influx of industrial vehicles, there is barely enough room at the moment. Once building starts the already inadequate drainage system will fail causing flooding to surrounding areas.	
124	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Awful idea	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Potential benefits being what?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No room for expansion + high water table will increase construction time and cost	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
125	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I am not happy about it	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		I think it would be fat better being built out of town	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I think it is a terrible idea	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I don't think the council will listen, they have already decided sadly	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.

126	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		It seems to be a good central location.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Travel arrangements won't need to change as the proposed site is so close to current location. High st businesses won't lose lunchtime trade from pupils.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	I think proximity to the bungalows by the field needs to be considered, to allow them continued privacy and easy access to their properties. I also think they need to be compensated for the noise of having a building site close by. Careful consideration for where building equipment, materials and vehicles will be parked needs to be made to avoid traffic congestion and problems for ambulances coming from st Leonard's road.		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
	I would like to think that the design of the new school will be in keeping with the town and allow space for more pupils as the numbers grow - the new builds in town and extra families guarantees this will happen. ie build the school to allow for more pupils than it currently has.		
127	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		I believe it would be a good use of the area. There will still be some green space along with the Academy & Applegrove Primary in close proximity to town and fitness establishments. And Grant Park is a great open area which is very close too.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		As above. A great benefit to everyone, in a central location.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	As I live nearby I will need to pass the area to reach/leave my home. I foresee some disruption to traffic, etc over the build period, but I believe this will be worth it for a central location fit for all in the future.		
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
	I believe the most important factor is for Forres to get a new secondary school, which fits the needs of students and staff, as quickly as possible.		
128	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets. If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the consultation process these should be submitted to the Council
		Moray councillors have failed to resist the pressure from council staff, who had made up their minds years ago that the new school would be built on Roysvale. A lack of blue sky thinking and a failure to support public opinion has resulted in the aims of the Community Empowerment Act being tossed aside. The results of the community wide survey on which site to choose, Roysvale or Lochyhill, have simply been ignored or manipulated to suit the predetermined view of council officers.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		If you are referring to the siting of the new school on Roysvale then there are clearly only two advantages, the fact that this site is central and of course, from the council's financial point of view, it is also cheaper so there lie the only two advantages. These are greatly outweighed by the disadvantages of the Roysvale siting and the advantages of the Lochyhill site. Even the council itself has the Lochyhill site earmarked for a Primary School in the future, how ironic that	

		it is seen fit to put primary school pupils to an edge of town site but not secondary school pupils.	and will be considered through the complaints process.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		One massive concern is the complete arrogance of councillors and officers that they can ignore the results of the earlier survey then assume that they can annex a slice of common good land in order to fulfil their aims.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I wish to make it quite clear that I am opposed to any loss of common good land to the proposed site of the new Forres Academy. I also hope that Moray Council will yet realise the folly of their choice of Roysvale as the preferred site and look closely at the lands they could sell to cover the extra cost of the Lochyhill site.	
129	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p> <p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets</p>
		As a resident of Forres and an educator of children with additional needs I strongly oppose the use of common good land and loss of Primary School green space.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The benefits are far outweighed by the losses to the community and extreme disruption to the education of children at Applegrove.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		My concerns are that the site is not sufficient in size to meet the future needs of Forres Academy. As a local resident I am totally aware of the severe drainage problems of the site. I am also very concerned about the disruption to the primary school and loss of green spaces. Andersons has immediate access to Grant Park. Applegrove will have insufficient green space to meet the needs of its children, many of whom have additional needs.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I am very concerned that local residents and members of the community have not been listened to in the consultation process.	
130	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any</p>
		I think it shows a lack of forethought and screams of penny pinching. This decision will affect not only my children but maybe even my great grandchildren. Forres ward is an expanding community and will only get bigger. Putting it in a location with a geographical restriction is a terrible idea. Both of my children attend Applegrove primary and the prospect of them being disrupted by construction noise, dust and traffic is very concerning.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No, I think it is a decision borne out of penny pinching and looking for a quick fix. It's a terrible choice.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I am very concerned that if this plan goes ahead, we will look back in 10-20 years and be full of regret for the way we have	

		put our children's welfare and education second to the council's bank balance. Our children are worth investigating in.	additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Lochyhill is the best choice. It allows for expansion and for the creation of an all weather sports pitch. It leaves our beautiful green space in Forres and allows room for applegrove to grow when that inevitably happens in the future. The right choice isn't always the cheapest. Please invest in our children, they are worth it.	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p> <p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development</p>
131	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		The new academy should not be built at Roysvale Park. It is too small, is a flood risk, no room for growth, takes away grass from applegrove school. Will be major disruption while being built to the current academy, applegrove primary school and local residents.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As above	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No said it all in first paragraph	
132	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		Too close to apple grove. Will the existing site of the academy been returned to common good land if the academy is built in roysvale? Will there be accommodation regarding increased infrastructure? Not happy it's being built in an already built up area.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Lack of infrastructure. Greater congestion in residential area. Other non residential, non greenfield site. Loss of public playing fields/important green site	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
133	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
134	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		Good to be central but need to ensure that drainage and traffic management accross the whole town is fit for purpose which it currently isn't.	use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Central location is great, ideal being beside the swimming pool and gym facility.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.
		The time that this is taking, the kids are in a school which is in a terrible state and the new school needs to be completed asap	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
135	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The destruction of the grass area at Roysvale must be avoided. The site is a beacon of green and the council's proposal to cover it with a school is preposterous.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		The alleged benefits are far outweighed by the loss of the Applegrove playing fields and the visual damage the new school building would cause to the small old homes surrounding the field.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		It is an error to remove access to grass playing ares for young children. The council have failed to properly asses the long term damage to future Applegrove school's pupil's happiness and thereby negatively affecting their primary scroll experiences. The visual impact of a large school building will, similarly, negatively affect the old traditional homes currently surrounding Roysvale.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The additional costs in locating the new school on the site to the eastern side of Forres would be money well spent in not destroying the beauty of the current site and its surroundings.	
136	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I would hate to see Roysvale park lose any of the grass or trees. The park is great place for any sporting activity or place to relax. In a time where we are always losing green open space, it would be a massive loss and would have a negative mental health impact on myself.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I don't see any real benefits as we are losing the green space and the traffic/ pollution and noise will still be there even if the bus drop off is built.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	If the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required.
		I have many. Losing green space that is free to use or any activity from sporting to just enjoying the space. The pollution of constructing the bus stop to its use. Traffic, litter and pollution. Also my mental health that will take a dive with the loss of public land stress.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		The people who live and work here don't want the academy to be here yet you going against there will.	the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
137	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
138	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Excellent choice	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Town centre location, no requirement for pupils to travel excessive distances to alternative location.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Give over existing land occupied by current academy to sports use	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
139	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Don't think this is the right site. The removal of playing field from Applegrove is a disaster. Reducing accesable green spaces is a disaster.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The only benefit is the central location.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Extra traffic in a congested area. Loss of outside green spaces for primary children and wider public. Short sited and not future proof.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
140	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Council have chosen this site purely on basis that it is cheaper not better for the community	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Too small to really see any benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider
		Car congestion in populated area, poor drainage, too close to the primary school.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		Please listen to the public feeling and resite the secondary to Lochyhill. Further expansion of Forres will mean this school will be not fit for purpose very quickly and further investment to expand will end up costing more than initial outlay.	the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
141	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		OK. Can see that there are compromises on each site.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		We need to get on with building this school ASAP. Central, so hopefully more kids can walk or cycle to school. Least expensive option. Fastest route to a new secondary, which is already desperately needed. The other two sites would result in more traffic in Forres, and cost more. There will be opposition to those sites as well and this is the most sensible outcome.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Safety of road crossings for walkers and cyclists for the site. Flooding. Expansion needs. Hopefully a well designed and run school will bring families to Forres so planning for that growth is important and would demonstrate ambition.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please use likert scales more for your surveys. https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/likert-scale/ With free text responses like this survey, you can't measure and report public sentiment.	
142	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I find it astonishing that the original consultation was ignored. Roysvale is a bad move for the future of the school, losing the green space, more congestion, drainage and sewage problems have been an issue for years. Using Apple Grove for extra space and then a new primary school will go Lochyhill I was told.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		None.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As above.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
143	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I am against it for many reasons. It is Common Good Land and belongs to the people of Forres. Moray Council have no right to take it over. It is a loss of a green space, at a time when rising levels of obesity mean we should all, particularly children, be outside more. Green spaces have a beneficial effect on mental health, in an age of rising anxiety levels. The site is not suitable for a school. It floods regularly after heavy rain (and climate change means more rainfall). It is too small. Elgin High are already having to expand their car park.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the

	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? No	area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Yes, flooding, drainage, loss of green space, site too small, loss of Common Good Land.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? Do not site the new Academy there. Moray Council are trying to avoid paying £4m for the Lochyhill site. I am angry that once more Forres is short changed by the Council, whilst Elgin has two new and newish Academies. Moray Council should hang their heads in shame at the neglect of the young people of Forres. The Academy should have been replaced 20 years ago.	
144	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I dont feel Roysvale would be appropriate for the the new school. It wouldn't allow for further extension. Traffic flow around there us highly unsafe already adding more would be ridiculous. Applegrove isnt the greatest of schools to add too they have alot of issues. I certainly wouldn't want my child anywhere to do with it.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? LOCHYHILL WOULD BE BEST SOMETHING COMPLETELY NEW FRESH NOT JUST A MAKE FO SQUEEZE IN.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? LOCHYHILL A BETTER AREA. TRAFFIC FLOW SAFER.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? councillor should not be aloud to purchase common land so easily. It's a disgrace.	
145	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? The site is not accessible enough for all the traffic. The town is already congested enough so building on the outskirts makes sense and there's opportunity for expansion if needed in years to come.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? It's not a big enough site.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Traffic, housing nearby will be affected, businesses will be affected. Do our children not deserve the best. Busier roads and therefore more dangerous for locals coming and going in the town.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? It would devalue the price of housing nearby. Noise for households, mess from children, access to housing as roads are busier. Not the correct site.	
146	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? My main view is Forres Common Good land is not there to bail out Moray Council. The cost of building the school at Roysvale is only lower because you don't have to buy the land.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		The benefits are minimal. People visiting Roysvale can already park along Sanquar Road and I'd suggest putting the ground back to it's original use after 4 years isn't a benefit.	Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	Common Good land is owned and administered by the Moray Council but administered separately from other local authority property.
		You're using land that doesn't belong to you. Forres is growing exponentially. This plan has virtually no room for expansion. Where is the all weather pitch we were promised when the new academy was built? As this is CGL, this proposal should not be passed without a local plebiscite similar to when Redco Milne wished to purchase land at Bogton.	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Public opinion since this proposal was mooted has been overwhelmingly for the Lochyhill option. The council needs to start listening to the voters and the people who live in the area.	
147	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Roysvale is an unsuitable location for the new secondary school. Loss of green space, surrounding roads unsuitable for construction/site traffic and then increased school traffic. No room for future expansion	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		As mentioned above.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Lochyhill site far better for secondary school expansion, better to invest in larger site with better access and room for future expansion. With new housing developments in Forres the Roysvale will surely be outgrown in the near future.	
148	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		I do not think common good land should be used for the building of the new academy.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		If common good land is allowed to be used what is there to stop other common good land being used in any future projects.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		It is my belief that we have allowed the town to expand way beyond the capabilities of its current infrastructure. Surely building such a large project in roysvale will have a detrimental effect on the current services (sewage etc).	
149	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I think the site isn't big enough, it should be left as a green space/park for all schools/children nearby.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
150	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The surrounding roads are chock a block at school going in and out times. The space seems small for a town like Forres that is expanding year on year. Roysvale is a well used , well loved space. Sanquhar Road, Burdsyard road and Orchard Road are chaos on school days.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Only proximity to shops on High Street for lunchtime	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Roysvale is too small a space. The school needs to go either out Knockomie direction or Lochyhill. Leave the playing fields for the kids	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		Time to consider the long suffering residents of neighbouring streets which my parents were for over 40 years. Speeding mums in a hurry, congestion, driveways blocked, chaotic at 9 and 340. Just crazy. I grew up in Roysvale, went to Applegrove and Academy. Once the green fields are gone they are gone. Out of town is the place for the Academy	
151	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		It should not be happening. We seem to be making decisions based purely on short term cost.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
		We are not taking the long term view on school sizes. The amount of new builds in Forres must be increasing catchment sizes and a bigger school should be being considered. Common good land should not be being used for any purposes. The fields should remain as a benefit for the entire property of Forres.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I sincerely hope this consultation is not just paying lip service and is a tick box exercise.	
152	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I think it is the total wrong decision to build the new school on roysvale these is not enough space and it is being rushed through as the cheaper option.	The consultation relates specifically to the

	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		There are no benefits to build the school on the land proposed as it is not fit for purpose for the plans that have been put forward	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The site is not fit for the proposed school that is currently being consider.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The school should be moved to the other option as there is more space to accommodate the school and better chance to future proof if it needs extended.	
153	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		I am devastated that Moray council are going to destroy Roysvale park purely to save money. This idea lacks in so many areas. It's going to make that area of Forres look disgusting. And destroying our historical nature is so unbelievably outrageous. Just ruin our beautiful town! After a few years the new school will eventually demand for an extension, and at roysvale, there will be nowhere to put it. It is sheer stupidity to think this is a good idea, especially when there is a perfectly good space at lochyhill to put the school AWAY FROM THE TOWN and with loads of SPACE to EXPAND in the future.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Absolutely none whatsoever Making Forres look disgusting Taking away treasured historical green spaces Making that area a complete nightmare to move through due to congestion from expecting hundreds of people to travel and move through a super tiny small space. Sheer IDIOCY!	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Having a school in such a tiny built up area is going to make living in that area a complete and utter nightmare. Not only from the building of the school but once it's completed and dealing with school runs on such TINY ROADS. It's insanity to think this is a good idea. Money may be saved but the quality of life for the people of Forres will be greatly diminished. The quality of Forres as whole will be greatly diminished by this proposal. It's actually horrible, horrific, nightmare of a plan that shouldn't even be on the drawing board. Do the people of Forres a tremendous favour and PUT THIS PROPOSAL TO DESTROY ROYSVALE IN THE BIN! PROTECT FORRES/ ITS HISTORY/ ITS LUSH TREASURED GREEN SPACES YOU MAY SAVE MONEY BUT YOU WILL DESTROY FORRES AND MAKE THIS TOWN HORRIBLE	

	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		If this goes ahead please know that you will be single handedly destroying our beautiful sleepy town. Trying to make it like an industrial built up city and IGNORING the FACT that forres is a SMALL TOWN. Our roads are not big enough, we do NOT NEED ANY EXTRA BUILDINGS over green spaces!	
154	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Wrong site. Nowhere to expand the school in the future. All these new houses being built will certainly increase the number of children in Forres. Shouldn't be using common ground to build on.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No long term benefits of building on roysvale park.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Council haven't listened to the villagers. Didn't they vote in favour of the out of town site ??	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		School should be built on the edge of town site, one that can be extended in the future & doesn't use the village common ground.	
155	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The new school would be much better at Lochyhill rather than on this restricted site. It will swallow up a lovely green space in Forres, whilst constricting unnecessarily the design and build of the new school. There is far more space at Lochyhill for the new building, plus any future expansion that might be needed. Traffic management would be far easier there too.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There aren't any benefits of having the new secondary squeezed into this site.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		It will disrupt that area of Forres intolerably for the whole build time, which will take years. It will deprive residents of this lovely green space plus the roads around are already crowded at peak times and totally inadequate for the construction traffic. Even once the construction is over this quiet residential area would be much better off without all the secondary school traffic.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		This is short termism at its best. It will apparently 'save' £3million vs the Lochyhill proposal, but the Lochyhill site is apparently earmarked for the new primary which will be needed as the Academy expands and takes over the Applegrove site. This makes no sense. Much better to have a primary in the middle of Forres so that the wee ones can easily walk to it. Conversely far better to have the secondary, with its far larger catchment area, on the outskirts - with plenty space for all the bus and car drop offs that are needed.	
156	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I believe that the proposal to build the new academy at Roysvale is wrong. The land is common good land and therefore may set a precedence in the future. The park is used for sports by many clubs and residents nearby. Having a green space in the centre of the town is such a benefit to us	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views

		all, especially for our young people. Encouraging our younger generations to spend time outdoors as opposed to indoors on online gaming. The land is also prone to flooding which could cause problems with a building in the future, and that could be costly. As our climate is changing so rapidly this is an issue that should be considered.	regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I don't see any benefits for the proposed site at Roysvale park.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Forres is growing and as there are several feeder schools attending the existing academy, it may be that the proposed building may not be big enough in the future, with no scope for extension at Roysvale. There are also concerns about traffic congestion and parking for school transport and school staff.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
157	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Wrong space, too small Takes away important green space in centre of town and reduces primary school space	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Concerns on how construction will add pressure to the current surrounding situation Concern over loss of common green space Concern over changing landscape to multistorey building on what is currently a lovely park Concern over proximity to primary school	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		The people of Forres seem to be in the majority not in favour of Roysvale being the site. Unsure why the voice of the people is being ignored. Schools in town already under pressure in size. Doesn't seem to make sense to add another large building to an already busy traffic area with narrow streets. They can't make this option fit for purpose	
158	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		I do not support this at all. Roysvale park is common good land and should be used as such not as a building site for the years it will take to build a school.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No there is no benefit to using this land to build a school in a location that a large proportion of people in the Forres community are against.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to
		Yes not only are you taking away Applegrove's only green space to put a school on it. You'll be taking away the green space behind the school to use as a building site. Disrupting	

		learning and my child's access to green space for the majority of the time she is at school	enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		DONT DO IT! Build the high school somewhere else!!!	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
159	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Really saddened to see this central and historic common good open green field area built on. The local amenity of this area could well be significantly degraded with this development. Why do we not have a building design to comment on at this stage. The height and visual 'presence' of the new building are factors which will very much inform how well it could fit in. Or not.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Not sure If I can think of any.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Would like to be assured that the as yet undesignated rainwater run off and flood mitigation measures that will be required in this high water table location have been sufficiently accounted for and budgeted for on this proposal.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
160	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		1. Area renowned for flooding. 2. Well used area by multiple sports organisations. 3. Proposed school position leaves Applegrove without suitable grasses area. 4. Elderly housing population in every direction of building. 5. Lack of ability to expand if the need arises	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Central location for most of the housing developments	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		People's view and opinions definitely not taken into consideration. Cost cutting in the short term will not be beneficial long term	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Listen to the people of Forres	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
161	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The decision has been rushed and the roysvale site was heavily favoured by moray council staff during their	

		presentations. I do not believe they were giving an objective view.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The sole benefit would be for easy access to facilities for the community.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes too many to detail here. Millions has been spent on expanding and making the academy safe in recent years. I believe the added the added expense of building on Lochyhill will save the council money in the long term. We need to ensure we give future generations the best possible facilities to raise the standards of secondary education in Forres. In the future they will not thank us for building the cheapest option they will thank us for building the best. Building a three storey building on the roysvale site will be an eyesore that will stick out like a sore thumb. The disruption caused to the present Forres academy and applegrove pupils will have a negative impact as it will on the surrounding residents. Let's do the right thing not the easiest thing.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Build a school that our children will be proud of, where they feel safe and comfortable. A school that our children will want to attend. A school that shows how much we value them and their education. Let's build a school where staff are willing and able to go above and beyond in order to help our children succeed. Build a school that our children know was the best possible option and not one where once again their education will be compromised once the building becomes too small. Prove to them how valued they are and how important to the future of Forres they are.	
162	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		I feel that it is taking away a playfield that is used daily by the local community and should not even be used. It is Forres common ground for the people of Forres for everyday life.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There is no benefits	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The site will not be fit for purpose in a few year and the common good ground will have be wasted. All to save a bit of money when we know that the secondary school will need expanded in future to accommodate all the new houses. It will be cheaper and more beneficial in future to have the academy at the other site.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Applegrove (the biggest school in Forres area) has to loose its playing field and potential its school should the academy need to expand. Traffic will be horrendous as all going to same area. The public voted and you are not listening to them!!!!!!!	
163	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		It should not be going here, keep Roysvale as it is. There's not many green spaces left. Why make a busy area already busier. Build Academy at Lochyhill, where the building of it will not affected everyone daily, day in day out and it will have space to grow at Lochyhill. The thought of primary 1s hearing the	

		language the older children use is not acceptable and what they do, let's let the young children enjoy their childhood.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? Do not build on Roysvale.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Yes build elsewhere. Let your children enjoy childhood not seeing and hearing who the young adults do. Do not bring congestion to an already busy part of town. Traffic lights are already a nightmare at orchard road without bringing in the large industrial vehicles needed to build this. Stop the nonsense, save the park and build at lochill	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? Build elsewhere not on roysavle.noise pollution while building is not acceptable and it needs built on land that there's room to grow, build at lochill	
164	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? Roysvale park is not the best place for the Academy to go. It needs to be a bigger site so the School can be expanded in future years if it needs to be. Applegrove primary school should definitely not lose any of its playing field.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? I definitely think there needs to be a new Academy built but it needs to be in the right place.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? My concerns would be the people of Forres don't get a say or listened to. This should not just be decided and go ahead without everyone getting a chance to actually have their opinions taken seriously.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? Roysvale is not suitable for the new Academy. If it goes ahead there will be many problems with it. Listen to local people and don't just walk all over them.	
165	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I think it is appalling that Moray Council are ignoring the previously shown overwhelming will of the people that are against this short-sighted cost-cutting plan. Roysvale Park is valuable green space within the community and should not be considered for any development of a new secondary school, when there is a perfectly viable and much more suitable site to the East of the town that has plenty of scope not only to meet the current needs but for future growth and expansion to meet the ever-increasing needs of the area as well.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? I see no benefit, other than an incompetent council possibly saving some money short-term (and no doubt having to spend more in future as a direct consequence!).	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? 1. The site does not have sufficient scope for future development and expansion, given the rate at which the council appears to be approving housing developments in the area and the predicted trends. This has already been proven to be the case with other new schools within the county, schools that are already overcrowded and undersized, despite the council claiming this would not be the case when they were built... For once, learn from your mistakes!	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development

		<p>2. The site is already in an area of extremely high traffic congestion at school start & finish times, this can only get worse and will not be alleviated by the provision of a few bus parking spaces! Take all the traffic currently moving around Forres Academy at those peak times and add it to the existing traffic in the Roysvale / Applegrove area and it's plainly obvious to anyone (except those within Moray Council, it would seem) that you have a recipe for disaster, gridlock and chaos. Do we need to have children injured before this council will accept this as an issue?</p> <p>3. Loss of a green space enjoyed by the public.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>1. The alternative site to the East of Forres has a clear, wide, straight access route via the old A96 along the front of Forbeshill, it is a "blank canvas" site with plenty of scope for future expansion, scope to design-in dedicated drop-off and pick-up zones for both buses and cars (which can be separated from the A96 itself for safety).</p> <p>All that is required is a little bit of rational thought and the acceptance that - for once - tightening your belts and spending a bit more today would save potentially massive amounts of public money in the future - but that entails councillors actually thinking what is right for the community, not adopting the usual blinkered view of the limit of their own term in office!</p> <p>2. There is a potential additional benefit to those living in the East end of the town, that demand may lead to the building or creation of some form of retail outlet (newspaper shop / small Spar type shop) at that end of the town, providing a much-needed service in an area with no facilities at this time.</p>	
166	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p>
		There is just not enough room at the Roysvale site. Where will the car park go? or the all weather sports facilities?	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Central location.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Extra pressure on an already overstretched infrastructure Close proximity to Primary school raises safety/bullying concerns for primary aged children	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		There is obviously a huge saving to be made by taking the Roysvale option, however, I think this calls into question the objectivity of Moray Council. Perhaps the Scottish Government need to have the final say.	
167	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to</p>
		I do not think it is a suitable site. It is a beautiful space in the heart of the town, with no space around for a new school to grow and adapt. Also, this is a flood plain. Not suitable for building.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I think it is a rushed ill advised decision made purely as a cost saving	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

			have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
168	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		I think Roysvale Park has been chosen because it's the cheapest option.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The only good reason for building at Roysvale is that it's central.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		By building at Roysvale, it's going to cause major disruption to pupils at Applegrove Primary. Noise, dust, construction traffic and it'll take away green space. Access to the park for use of the general public will be limited. I saw that if the building needs to be expanded then they would need to take down Applegrove and relocate it, the relocation site being the earmarked site at Lochyhill. Lochyhill is a much better location for the new Academy. It has plenty room to expand in the future. I'm sure pupils could be bussed out to Lochyhill fairly easily. I think the council need to listen to the public and consider everything not just the price.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Green Space and Common Good Land are very valuable in the centre of town and cities. More needs to be done to protect these public outdoor spaces.	
169	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		The school should not be built here, this needs to be kept as green space for community use	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I do not see any benefits for the school to be built here it should be at Lochyhill	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes it encroaches on the primary school at Applegrove. Also a flood risk	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

170	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Should be kept as common good land	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No non at all	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes the land is always flooding any building work could cause more damage. The infrastructure needs seriously updating. Increased traffic.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
171	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Go full ahead with it, central location, take over the Applegrove site and build a new primary on the old site of the Academy.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Fully central to town, ideal for the only high school serving Forres and the surrounding areas.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		None, take over the green field of Applegrove, once the new high school is built, build a new primary on the old Forres Academy site, forget the lochyhill site for a new primary.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		It's the future of Forres youth, plenty has been spent on the local primary schools. Most of the NIMBYs haven't used the park and green space in all the time they have lived there. They don't see the rural side that feeds the Academy, they only see the town side of pupils. What's in the MOD plans for the future of Kinloss? RAF Kinloss used to put in hundreds of kids, this may increase.	
172	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any
		This is the wrong decision by Moray Council. Applegrove primary school children use and need the playing field all the time and to take this away from them is the wrong decision. Children need open and safe areas to play and intergrate with each other during games and sports. The site is too small for the new Forres Academy with no room for expansion in the future apart from taking over the primary school. Disruption during the building phase with construction traffic and school traffic causing concern for the safety of pedestrians and school children. Forres need to keep its green spaces in the town and not just give it up to development.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Agree that a central location for the new Academy would be ideal however this decision should have been made before building all the houses at Knockomie where a new site for the school should have been allocated and the house builder to contribute to the cost.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		Traffic congestion during construction phase. Loss of green space for town residents Loss of natural drainage and associated flooding. Safety of children during construction having a building site located between the primary school and Academy. New Academy will not blend in with existing buildings in this area. Proposed site is too small with no space for future extension.	additional traffic generated as a result of the development
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Consideration should be given now by the council regarding the future of Anderson Primary school. This is a very old building with associated high maintenance and utilities cost. Anderson's should be sold to a private property developer for conversion into flats. Money from this sale would be used to build an extension to Applegrove primary school. This extension would be a lot smaller than the proposed Academy and will allow the primary school children to continue and have safe access to the playing field.	
173	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Utter Nonsense! Such a short sighted choice....very disappointing and unbelievably naive..?	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		No, absolutely none! How can this water logged piece of land that is locked in with existing properties and small road systems actually be considered!??	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Yes!...loss of green space and expansion area for Applegrove primary when required. The surrounding road layouts are completely unsuitable for such heavy traffic that will only increase with time.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Why on earth would you pay for holding land at Lochyhill for future primary school when surely makes sense to utilise it now with the ability to expand!?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
174	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		Pp...	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Respondent skipped this question	
175	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The school should not be built there	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use

	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Yes traffic problems children at Applegrove school not having their greenspace. Roysvale park taken away from local residents when the majority are elderly.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
176	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. The proposed bus drop off adjacent to Sanquhar Road could be utilised outside school hours as parking for access to Roysvale Park and surrounding area. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets. If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the consultation process these should be submitted to the Council and will be considered through the complaints process.
		I cannot agree with the proposal for several reasons. The proposals are more of a sales brochure than a balanced argument for general consideration. They only mention the positives and lack obligation and transparency. They noticeably avoid mentioning potential conflicts, identifiable disadvantages and issues still in need of serious consideration by the people of Forres. We are told that the "majority" of the Roysvale CGL will be returned to public use, however, there is no guaranteed undertaking to do this and the "majority" is undefined. Therefore, the lack of clarity and commitment creates a serious doubt on what the final size, condition and status of the park will be. Traffic flow may well be alleviated by taking a slice of Roysvale CGL. However, in the bigger picture of a new academy, this single location will only alleviate a very minor part of the overall traffic problem created by any new building. Therefore, this in no way offsets the loss of green space and recreational facilities. Instead, we will have a polluted public park which at peak times could well have a serious child safety consideration. The area of the construction site is estimated to be out of use for 42 months, this is likely a very conservative estimate and it will indeed take somewhat longer. There is no consideration of the structural effects both on the surface and the subsurface. There is a convincing argument, based on historical models, that the land used for the construction site will not be recoverable to the same state. The potential for flooding is unquestionable, the suggestion of laying of new drainage within the park is a very localised view and goes nowhere near mitigating the potential for flooding to the wider Forres area, especially downstream. There is a very good reason why parks and greens are considered an "Inalienable Property". The beneficial effect they have on mental wellbeing, physical health and general life pressures is unquestionable. To reduce or repurpose them is to essentially go against everything they were originally set aside to do and their rewards will be irreplaceable.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are very few benefits that I can see	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		<p>The use of Common Good Land may be seen as the cheapest solution and is offset by the promise that the remaining CGL will be returned, along with new facilities, in a condition suitable for public and pupil wellbeing. I question if there will be the incentive, financial resources and motivation at the end of the project to fully comply with this statement. Fundamentally, reading this proposal and researching other pertinent material, has cast serious doubt on the openness and credibility of the process and gives the impression that this may well be nothing other than a smoke screen.</p> <p>Trust. At the beginning of this public engagement process I maintained an open mind, willing to accept any well-reasoned and practical solution that was to the overall benefit of the population of Forres. Sadly, this would appear to have been one sided and decisions have already been made regardless of the views of the majority and the facts placed in front of the Council officers. Moreover, whatever the outcome of this apparent box-ticking exercise, it will likely be ignored in similar fashion to previous surveys. How can the population of Forres have confidence that a credible and democratic decision on the use and future of Common Good Land will be made.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I would like to know who will be held accountable when the costs overrun (inevitable), when traffic congestion slows the local roads to an almost standstill (inevitable), when flooding causes the closure of the school and disrupts the local area (probable), when surrounding buildings including schools, businesses and homes are damaged by the construction process (probable) and the mental wellbeing of everyone, including the pupils, caused by the loss of green space is seriously demeaned (certain).	
177	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.</p> <p>If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the consultation process these should be submitted to the Council and will be considered through the complaints process.</p>
		<p>Roysvale park is obviously only being chosen as the council is plundering common good land, rather than procuring more suitable land (Lochyhill) thereby making it the cheapest option.</p> <p>There are no genuinely valid reasons for using Roysvale park rather than Lochyhill and many, many supporting Lochyhill. I completely oppose the decision to destroy Roysvale park. The consultaion has been no more that a 'tick-box exercise' for show! The result (Lochyhill) has been ignored. To my mind this is a criminal conspiracy.</p>	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Traffic chaos for year to come and mis-appropriation (theft) of common good land.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The reputation of Moray Council is well desrved.	
178	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		Strongly against the repurposing of Roysvale	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None of the benefits listed can be addressed without there being a better alternative.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		<p>I have concerns that the proposals are short sighted and don't holistically address the needs of pupils or the locality.</p> <p>The loss of green space, inadequate capacity for future growth and future costs are all negatives.</p>	<p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets. The consultation is seeking the views of the community in respect of the proposals in order to inform the decision making process. A report will be submitted to committee on the outcome of the consultation at which time a decision will be sought on the proposals.</p>
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>This consultation has been poorly considered and ignores local voices. The site designs have not been completed under guidance from trusted parties that currently utilise the space. Local infrastructure projects driven from the outside rarely meet public acceptance</p>	
179	Q1	<p>What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?</p> <p>Poor choice of site, no room for expansion, loss of green space in town and bringing more traffic into an already congested area.</p> <p>A very short sighted choice by Moray council and I hope this site isn't chosen.</p>	
	Q2	<p>Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?</p> <p>The only benefit I can see is the short term cost saving of land purchase by TMC, this will be far outweighed by the long term cost implications when the school is shown to be overcrowded, therefore not fit for purpose. The new port at Ardersier has a projected employment capacity of 3000 personnel, they have to live somewhere and Forres is an easily commutable distance. The increase in families coming into the area will boost the school pupil numbers considerably.</p>	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets. If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the mismanagement of funds these should be submitted to the Council and will be considered through the complaints process.</p>
	Q3	<p>Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?</p> <p>Drainage issues already prevail in the area surrounding Roysvale and Applegrove, the cost to upgrade this and the surrounding infrastructure is in the millions of £, I have looked at this in depth with a local civil engineer with substantial knowledge of the area. TMC state that this would be worked out during the build process, absolutely laughable and more short sightedness from them. No proper plans as to the size of the school either?! Two storey? Three storey building? The chaos arising from a major construction programme in the centre of Forres with already congested roads is flabbergasting to say the least, one day of works near the new lights at grantown road/orchard road intersection has that part of town in almost gridlock, and this is just an inkling of what could be ahead for years of construction.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>The public vote that favoured the Lochyhill site was just a paper exercise to show the council is following process, they have made their mind up due to financial restraints that are of their own making, the mismanagement of funds in the previous years of Moray council is reprehensible and they should be held to account for this.</p> <p>Our children and the future pupils at Forres academy shouldn't be given a 'just make do' school for the short term but should be given a state of the art school with room for expansion, this is unattainable at the Roysvale/Applegrove proposal</p>	
180	Q1	<p>What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?</p> <p>I don't think it's right to build at Roysvale. It would ruin the green space assuming they would fell trees in the area as well.</p>	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		The location being central is the only reason. I believe there are better locations though. Putting it at Roysvale may be easier/cheaper but long term there would be issues.	regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required.
		As above. I think there are better locations available. The cost may be higher but long term another site would suit better. Keep Roysvale and once the new school is built replace the old one with Astro turf pitch, skatepark, basketball courts etc.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Don't rush this through.	
181	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Not enough space for new academy . Will change and ruin the green space .	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Only benefit is close to existing playing field & swimming pool .	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Parking, traffic congestion , taking away a green space	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
			A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
182	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		Prefer the site at Lochyhill. Traffic already congested in this area. Field at Roysvale very poorly drained. Also use of green space in the town. Will become too small in time	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Saving a bit of money will probably end up costing the town a lot more in time!!	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The town will be even more congested with traffic - already a nightmare Nr Applegrove. Taking away valuable green space in the town not a good idea.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Thought the vote was in preference of Lochyhill the 1st time?	
		Why ask for people's opinions if not prepared to listen.	
183	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		It should stay as common use land. As it is.	use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		There are no benefits to building on this land at all. The surrounding structure of roads does not support a large building or the expected traffic congestion that would obviously become a danger to the high volume of pedestrian school children.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No room for future expansion of a secondary school.. Danger to life due to increased traffic & pedestrian numbers.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		It makes sense for secondary pupils to attend a school just outwith our town. So build our new secondary school at Lochyhill & allow room for the future needs of our growing community. It's ridiculous to suggest otherwise. Especially when the suggestion is, should the primary schools need extension in the future then the New Primary would go out at Lochyhill. That's absurd. Under 12 yr olds making their way out there, as opposed to our 12-18 yr old who are much more capable. Never mind safer.	
184	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		It should be built at Lochyhill.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I don't see any benefits except it's in walking distance . However this wouldn't matter at lochyhill any as most areas would be outwith 2 miles so buses would be provided.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		SEVERE Congestion for traffic. Already bad enough, from Knockomie to Fire station along Grantown road is complete grid lock all school mornings. Grid lock is because of turn off to orchard road. This would be avoided by splitting the Academy traffic to the Lochyhill direction. Flooding. Removal of applegrove playground. Kids in general, day to day. Removal of public service . No alternative for Applegrove playing field.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Should be built at Lochyhill. All reasoning in previous responses and fact that previous public survey was ignored is not good.	
185	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
		I find it disappointing that the Roysvale site has been chosen despite a large proportion of the community expressing a preference for Lochyhill. There will be no room for future expansion, and it would take green space from Applegrove Primary, as well as affecting the land and surrounding area at Roysvale. Having driven my daughter to school at Applegrove every day for 7 years, the traffic and congestion was already far from ideal. The flooding of the playground and Roysvale park in bad weather is an exisiting issue. I do not live in the vicinity but can see why residents are opposed to the disruption and future complications that would arise from using this site.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	

		<p>I can see why people would be keen to retain a centralised school, but whichever option is chosen, children will have to travel.</p> <p>There is no need to create a "hub" with Applegrove, - this has never been a consideration until now and has not had any negative effect to date.</p> <p>I feel that in outlining the "benefits" it's a case of clutching at straws to create a seemingly positive argument.</p>	
	Q3	<p>Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?</p>	
		<p>I am concerned that this is a decision made in a hurry due to the recent issues with Forres Academy. The truth is that the Academy should never have been allowed to reach this state of disrepair, and now trying to paper over the cracks won't fix the problem. The children of Forres deserve a long term solution that will actually work and allow them proper facilities for years to come.</p> <p>There is also the impact on Applegrove to consider. This school is rare in that it has green space that others don't, and it shouldn't be lost when there are other options available.</p> <p>The roads infrastructure in the town is simply not able to cope with the current volume of traffic. Measures that have been made to improve things e.g. traffic lights at Orchard Road junction, simply haven't done that and have actually made congestion worse.</p> <p>It's also worth noting that with the amount of houses built in recent years and the lack of infrastructure to support them, the back road from Balnageith to the A96 via Mundole has become an arterial route (and dangerous due to the fact it's a single track road) and it simply isn't fit for this. People use this road as an alternative to fighting their way through Forres at busy times of the day.</p> <p>Add to this the logistics of construction for a number of years. There is no upgrade that can be done to current routes within forres that would support the current situation. Adding to this is simply ludicrous.</p>	
	Q4	<p>Do you have any additional comments?</p>	
		<p>Siting the new Academy on the main arterial route would make travel by bus/car/taxi much easier.</p> <p>Many children already walk a distance to school, it just might be that some children will have a bit further to go, or will have to change their route. Others will have less distance to travel than they do now. It's swings and roundabouts. This would be worth it for a building fit for purpose.</p> <p>I have also seen comments regarding a detrimental effect to the High Street - personally I fail to see how, given that there are few shops on the High Street the children would actually use at lunch time, and those that really wanted to could still make their way there.</p> <p>I don't think it would be a bad thing for the children to be on the outskirts of town - maybe more could be done to encourage them to use school catering facilities. This would keep them safer during school hours as well.</p> <p>What I find most concerning, however, is that this has never really been open to consultation, as decisions seem to be based on cost rather than long term benefit to the local children and their families, and these decisions were already made prior to the public being "consulted".</p> <p>Please listen to the people of Forres with a view to doing what is best for the town as a whole.</p>	
186	Q1	<p>What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?</p>	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p>
		<p>Moray Council's decision to prefer the Roysvale/Applegrove site to Lochyhill is foolish, reckless and unjustifiable. Lochyhill site would provide an opportunity to build (and in future expand) a new Forres Academy without causing so many Forres residents to suffer years of construction noise and</p>	<p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views</p>

		traffic gridlock, or indeed stealing a very large part of Roysvale Park, which is an invaluable Common Good community resource for so many people.	regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		None, compared to the Lochyhill option, which, for example, has ground water level of six feet down, compared to Roysvale's two feet, which makes frequent flooding almost inevitable	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		See my answers to 1 and 2 above.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The result of the previous survey was undemocratically ignored by Moray Council, who seem apparently obsessed with choosing Roysvale. The previous survey made clear that there was a small but significant majority in favour of Lochyhill. Will Moray Council ignore the result, when it is revealed in November, of this survey too? If so, when Roysvale construction problems multiply and worsen, Moray Council will be to blame.	
187	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I personally think Roysvale Park was the wrong choice. The majority of votes in the initial consultation were for Lochyhill. All the language in any documentation seemed to always lean towards Roysvale as the choice from the start but what faith should we have in consultations if the majority of the people's choice is ignored in favour of going for the cheaper and easier option?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		The only two benefits I can see are that it is the 'cheaper' option and it keeps the Academy in the town. I'm not convinced in the long run it would work out cheaper given the cost it would take to fix some of the issues of the current site.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, I still haven't seen any satisfactory detail to suggest the flooding will be successfully mitigated. I have major concerns about the impact with an already high water table of increasing more storm water flow. This could have potentially significant impacts on other nearby drainage which is also at capacity. I have seen on many local streets that the drains have not be cleared successfully and with climate change this could have consequences elsewhere. I also have concerns about the impact to pupils and residents during the construction phase. Although its stated the disruption will be at a minimum there will still be a significant impact in terms of noise, air pollution and visibility. I worry about the environmental impact too of the loss of green space. Even though you say it will be restored or new trees planted there will still be a huge loss of greenspace and I haven't seen much to suggest anything will happen with the site of the current Academy either. There is also a danger that the school becomes too small very quickly. If for example there is an unexpected increase in population due to new business in the area - what would happen then? There's nowhere to go. Will Applegrove be taken over and a new primary school at Lochyhill gets built anyway. What's stopping the Council then expanding into the rest of the park at a later date? Why not just go with a secondary at Lochyhill now and it gives more options for future rather than the short sightedness of the current suggestion. Lochyhill would have been ideal for an out	

		of town campus that could encapsulate sports etc and still be accessible by public transport, have room for expansion. I think some people were worried about lack of footfall in town but the school children mostly go to the large supermarkets. I don't see how this would really impact local businesses and it might actually create opportunity for sites out that side of town. Siting at Lochyhill also proves less of an issue for traffic build up and parking problems which arise around the current Academy. Despite the large car park behind the school people will inevitably go for the easiest option and quite often will park along the nearby narrow streets, this can cause issues for emergency services vehicles. Starting from scratch on a new site means you've got more scope to make it better.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I employ the Council to strongly reconsider siting the new school at Roysvale. The majority public vote from the initial consultation was largely ignored. You still have time to do the right thing. Neil MacLennan thankfully saw some sense but too many councillors have just ignored the wishes of the majority. I'm not convinced personally that many of the issues raised by the Forres New Academy Forum have been answered satisfactorily either.	
188	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		Roysvale Park is a green space enjoyed by a wide selection of Forres community including Forres Academy pupils. In times such as these, we are all aware of a changing climate with flood risk an ever present threat with the nearby Mossett already responsible in the past for serious flood damage.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		A cheaper proposal may well become a more expensive one.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		We owe it to our young to give Forres something on which we place a futuristic building well within the town's reach.	
189	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		<p>Rosvale Park should be left as a green space for the town It is used regularly for sports, recreation and leisure</p> <p>It is totally unsuitable for a school or to be built on</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Low lying and risk of flooding 2. Traffic congestion is already an issue at junctions to orchard rd and along orchard rd 3. This part of town is the historic residential heart of the town - it's stunning architecture and green space and quite simply there build in the park would destroy it's character and charm of what makes the town beautiful and of interest for families <p>DONT build here!!!!</p>	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		It is a terrible idea to build the new school here!	

	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Risk of flooding Traffic congestion Access	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please think again!	
190	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Not happy, as a 63 year old I have walked,ran played summer league football at Roysvale, it's one of the few green spaces left in the town.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Disruption for the mostly elderly neighbours, too close to Applegrove primary	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No.	
191	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development
		I want to see the common ground as is remain. The expansion of the Academy in the future is best in a bigger area. The congestion when building in the Roysvale Pk would be very disruptive.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Children nearer town centre. If enough resources ie, choices of food, where made available at the site which is further away, this would be good.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As above, disruptive, busy, too many buildings.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I want the green beauty of the Park and trees to be maintained, a quiet peaceful area of Forres for visitors and residents.	
192	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Leave it as a green space as it has been for many years. The obvious facts that it floods due to being such a low lying area should immediately prevent the foolish building of a school. Green spaces in our town should continue as they area, mental health reasons being fire most of importance.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Why not have temporary school use of buildings at Forres enterprise park whilst the old academy is removed and a new one built in it's place?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Common good land should be left alone , we need our town green spaces as they are	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Re Building on the existing site is a much better idea than removing our vitalgreen space	
193	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		I think it is a disgraceful decision. That park was for the benefit of the local community. More and more green spaces are being built on. Thing Moray Council have a lot to answer for.	use of the common good asset.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		Yes, there will be more traffic on Sanquhar Rd, Orchard Rd with parents dropping their kids off. These streets are not wide enough or quiet enough for such traffic. Loss of green space, used for sports activities.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		It's a 'fait accompli'- regardless of what people really want Moray Council will press on regardless.	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
194	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Against.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Short sighted. Forres is an expanding town. On the outskirts there is scope to expand. In the centre it would eat up valuable green space.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Would the common good land remain identifiable even with a school there or would it simple merge into council resources (as happened in the central belt after 1970s reform)?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
195	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		This area has very water table it is also a play area for Applegrove pupils	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		There are no potential potential benefits in taking away a play area for Applegrove pupils	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		Yes, the area is designated as common good land but Moray Council in its infinite wisdom is hell bent riding roughshod over everybody's wishes	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Moray council going for the worst option possible but what's new	
196	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		I am no nimby but have been personally been affected by flooding within bank lane. For fairly obvious reasons it is almost a certainty that any development will add to this issue given that the sewers are already too small to accommodate existing heavy rainfall. Every flood event also discharges foul drainage material everywhere - maybe not ideal for a school area... The road at the existing primary school entrance is already extremely busy at school drop off periods so it goes without saying that this will make that worse. I'm not particularly bothered about that but any new accesses or gradient changes again would impact where the flood water end up and potentially the access road itself may be impassable during a school day. To me it makes no sense whatsoever to build something new on a low lying area already prone to flooding.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Only benefit would be the possibility that the existing drainage issues might be resolved as a result of the proposal, either by having to replace the constrained pipes beforehand or shortly after the new school floods and the Council is forced to do something about it to save future repair costs.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Bank lane is a private road but it's a well used through route to the high street. It's road surface is potholed and a real mess so adding any additional pedestrian (or vehicle) use may potentially increase the risk of a trip or fall which presumably may be considered the liability of the residents of bank lane.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		If you are going to do it please spend the money with scottish water to upgrade the constrained sewers first otherwise this would be likely to increase the chances of my property flooding (adding to an already constant high level of stress) but that aside will only cost the council much more in the long run if the new infrastructure is also damaged. To me it just seems inconceivable that an otherwise risk adverse and cash strapped council would even develop this land.	
197	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Not happy to take the land .	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Can't see any except convenience for locals who will use the school	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		More traffic along Orchard Road and other local roads .	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
198	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the
		I don't think it is the right place for a school of this size. There will be no room for expansion if the population of Forres continues to rise. It will remove a fantastic green space used	

		for recreation of all sorts. There has always been a flooding issue with this field, surely this will become a problem.	proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? None	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Flooding has always been a problem on this field. A large building will exacerbate this. Access from Orchard Road at the side of a Primary School is surely unsafe, Applegrove School parking in the morning and afternoon is already a problem. I'm in Taking away a green space that is used by the whole community. The height and size of the building is not appropriate on this site.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments? Lochy Hill or the empty spaces at the Enterprise Park would be better. Much more room for outdoor spaces. More room for parking/buses. Easy to make a safe cycle path to the site. Room for expansion if required as the population of Forres continues to grow.	
199	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I don't think the common land should be used for the school. A school means that most of that land is lost to common usage. Access to facilities on school grounds is not common usage for me as there inevitably and rightly would need to be restrictions on top of loss of access to land under buildings etc.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? A school needs to be built somewhere and close to the existing site may benefit in terms of the school moving resources to a new site.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals? Mainly the principal of loss of common land as mentioned in (1). The site is known to suffer from water pooling after heavy rain.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
200	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park? I think this is the wrong place for the new Academy. The site is too small and would not allow future expansion of the school. The loss of this area to the town would be disappointing to the groups who use this area for recreation. All the new houses with young people are elsewhere in the town so not in the right place. I think it should be in the Grantown Road area.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals? No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		Draining of the area could be very expensive. Loss of common good land would be disappointing.	returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		If the reason for choosing Roysvale is because it being cheaper I think the common good fund should be compensated with the amount of the cost of an alternative site e.g. £4 million.	
201	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Disgraceful	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
202	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		Leave as it is.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Construction traffic	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
203	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		We lived in Nelson Road adjacent to Applegrove Primary School where our 3 now adult children were educated. They then attended Forres Academy. As Primary school pupils they played regularly on the grounds proposed for the rebuild. With the loss of this amenity there will be a loss forever of a healthy space to encourage young minds to enjoy and use the invaluable space at Roysvale Park. We strongly reject the proposal to build the replacement Forres Academy	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		This is not the best place to build a replacement for Forres Academy . A much better site would surely be the land at Sanquhar currently used as playing fields for Forres Acsdemy . This is my positive suggestion for an alternative position for the new build	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Loss of amenity by building on Roysvale Park .Compromise to the view and green field resource currently enjoyed by all adjacent properties on Sanquhar Road.These properties were built long before the present Forres Academy.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please return to consider the Present Academy Playing Field site and retain the Roysvale Park as an amateur football park which can easily be used as an athletic park	

204	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		To effectively remove green space from the usage of children in the Forres area is exceptionally short sighted where the town should be looking to expand and plan for the future and retain its green space areas of which there are now very few.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I appreciate the proposal being in the town centre is helpful for many re travel but the loss of green space is not a positive move forward for the town of Forres.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Being a resident of Forres it is well known to all that drainage re Roysvale Park is a significant issue and is the introduction to significantly more traffic at a proximity to an existing primary school. The loss of green space is also very short sighted where there is an alternative with much more room to build and expand.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	<p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p> <p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		None noted	
205	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		Keep the green space before we have none left	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits build out of town	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Poor drainage , heavy traffic in area , effect on funeral directors and residents , ruin the area, loss of Applegrove play area	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Look to the future build out of town and make it big enough for our children	
206	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres</p>
		My greatest objection is to the idea of selling off anything that is deemed to be part of the "common good " property of a community. My second objection is to the plan to use the land, once sold, for building. If this were to happen the community would be deprived of the freedom to access the land as a green space.	

		Such spaces are becoming increasingly important in modern urban areas.	<p>Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The potential benefits of the plan are not any greater than if the land was not removed from the Common Good and if the planned building development was to take place elsewhere.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The impact on the primary school and on the local area are too great to continue with the development in this location. The alternative locations are better suited to the future of education in the town. The only downside is one of cost - and that extra expense is not insurmountable.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
207	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		1. A green area in the town will be lost forever. This is a lung which cannot be replaced. 2. Forres is growing and in a short time this site will be too small. There is little space playing fields. 3. The roads around are not adequate for the increase in bus and car traffic. 4. This a short term solution and in a few years father cost of buying land for a green field site will have increased considerably.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		In the short term it will cost less than a green field site.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		It is morally wrong to take land given as parkland for this use	
208	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p>
		<p>I saddens me that you are considering turning a green space within the town into concrete. Forres is a town within a wonderful and wide-reaching natural area, where plenty of space and options away from the central town area exists. Please don't ruin one of the remaining green areas - they are important to preserve. The plans you have are irreversible, once you've concreted over the green space, its gone forever. Once its gone forever, no-one will be able to benefit from it. None of those who live around the park will have the facility any longer, to walk in, to run in, to sit and relax in, to unwind in, to be calm in, to help their wellbeing in, to enjoy, to just 'be' in, to think in or to socialise in. What will our town become when it has no more green spaces? When will this stop? What will we say to our young people about our decisions to provide them with a school in the future but eradicating a green space in the town in the process. One that they will never be able to enjoy. It would be an ill-judged, short-sighted decision and one that we would likely feel shame about.</p> <p>Utilising green space out of town is still using green space, but a different type of green space. A type that will likely impact far less people directly</p>	

	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Yes - there are greater benefits by targeting an out of town site	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes - documented in section 1. This is a poor and irreversible decision and one that will do the town harm going forward, both during construction and after. Green spaces in towns are a key part of making a town a wonderful place. Removing those opportunities to be wonderful leaves us with a different town and an altogether worse one	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please don't ruin Forres by building on a park	
209	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Common good land should stay with the people of Forres and council should not take any of it	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		My family uses this CGL Park for playing with kids, dogs and playing football socially on Sundays. We love the tree line in front of it and we don't want to lose it.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Council is taking away the playground from our kids and on top of that part of their park. It's disgusting to propose taking all that green space from our kids.	
210	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Save the park.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Can't see any benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
211	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I think that this is the wrong place for such a development.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Forres Common Good funds should be used for the benefit of Forres townsfolk, after consultation.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
212	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Common Good Land should not be used for the purpose of building a school. A bus drop off point will be constructed on the park when there is a perfectly good tarred hard standing a to the rear of the existing school, all the students are required to do is walk. I strongly disagree with any felling of trees either hard wood or soft. Trees in and around the park a greenspace for the town have been there for many years.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.

		The park should remain untouched and kept for the community that the council are determined to ignore.	<p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition there will be ground improvement works to improve the drainage and condition of Roysvale Park.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There will be very few if any benefits if the school were built at Applegrove/Roysvale. Ultimately the school I suspect will not be fit for purpose in a very short time. The surrounding infrastructure will not cope with the school being sited where planned and will be plagued with problems, despite what any potential contractor states.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Where will school staff park their cars. Currently there are around 80 cars parked at the rear of the current academy. I certainly hope that the car parks adjacent and along Orchard will not be used by staff as this will only deny shoppers of parking areas, something the town shops do not need. Many attempts have been made to overcome flooding in the park which have all failed. I doubt very much it will be overcome properly this time. Any contractor will tell you that developing new systems (drainage on new sites) is always preferred to the option of trying to integrate new with old and guessing how they will make it work. What impact is the school and all that it attracts going to have on surrounding residents and their properties, traffic movement along Orchard Road will most certainly be an issue.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Build the school at Lochyhill where a building fit for purpose, along with its sports areas and the correct level of infrastructure can be built. Along with the added acreage to develop further when needed.	
213	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p>
		It's a ridiculous ideal. It's too small. There's much better places further out that won't take away the small amount of green land we still have in forres and taking away the grass for applegrove school that my kids love playing on!	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		None. It is a terrible idea 100%	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Everything. It's too small, too close to houses, taking away the grass that is used almost every single day for sport by kids and sport groups.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Use an area further away from the centre of town. There's not enough space for a decent sized school plus grass/gardens/sports pitches. There's plenty of better ideas than the ridiculous idea of placing it on roysvale.	
214	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is</p>
		Terrible. Would ruin the surrounding area.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Would ruin the surrounding area. Would lead to more traffic.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

			necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
215	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		Very much against	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Loss of amenity	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
216	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		I totally disagree with any works taking place at roysvale park whether temporary or permanent. Unless it's too improve the ancient, poor quality drainage nearby then I cannot see any reason why a council would wish to alter and perhaps destroy this lovely strip of green space And this is just a small part of the bigger picture as a school is planned to be built here if the council gets their way.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I don't think there are any benefits just unnecessary noise disruption, traffic issues and ultimately a giant school blotting the landscape of a beautiful part of the town	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Traffic issues, noise disruption, the loss of green space which is meant to be a positive for peoples health, lack of transparency from the council. No concern for residents who live near the site and ones who have paid a premium to move and reside in a beautiful part of moray.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		This whole plan is to save money when an alternative site was preferred by the majority of the public. It's very poor on the councils behalf and not exactly acting in faith with democracy	
217	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be
		Roy'svale Park is currently and has been for a very long time, a well used amenity for recreation and a green space breaking up a dense area of housing.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I see no actual benefits of the proposals.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Green spaces are a required part of town planning. Removing a pre-existing and well used amenity seems counter productive and to the disadvantage of pre-existing adjacent population. Traffic congestion could be an issue.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		A school built in Inspiring, uncluttered, countryside is likely to be inspirational and uplifting for young people and their teachers. The pupils will be able to remain on the premises and its grounds, throughout the school day, giving more opportunity for extra social activities, school clubs and societies, sports, healthy food at lunch time for all and potential for gently supervised socialisation. The school could be the center for the town's youngsters rather than making it easy for disassociating themselves from their school surroundings during the lunch breaks. A provision based on pride. A cohesive, healthy and inspirational place for quality education applying equally to the teaching staff.	<p>returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
218	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p>
		I'm against this , I see this area being used for exercise for the children, running , football etc	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I don't see any benefit of the proposals	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The Scottish government has already given the the school children "free" bus passes , you're now taking their sports facilities	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		We are now offering overweight people injections to reduce obesity, at the same time reducing their access to sports	
219	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p>
		I believe that the loss of any common green area, in this case Roysvale Park, without the creation of an equal or better proposal to benefit the community is short-sighted and will negatively impact the physical and mental health of Forres community and surrounding areas.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		At this point in time I am unaware of any potential benefits of the proposals	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The loss of free green space in a community.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
220	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition there will be ground improvement works to improve the drainage and condition of Roysvale Park.</p>
		I strongly object to the proposed development at the playing fields at Roysvale park, especially the destruction of trees so that a bus drop off park can be constructed. This park has been used and enjoyed by countless generations of Forres folk, not only footballers but people walking their dogs, children playing games etc, etc. this land is common good and should not be developed.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		In my view there is no benefits to the Forres folk.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, there is a current issue of flooding in this area which any development would exacerbate.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Yes build the new academy at Lochyhill.	

221	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		<p>Please see below what I see as the pro's and con's of the Roysvale/ Applegrove site. On weighing up these I do not believe the Roysvale site should be used for the development of the new school. Downside of Applegrove Site- Prone to flooding, development won't provide increased drainage capacity but the water that normally gathers there will be pushed elsewhere, potentially causing new flooding to residents or the remaining Roysvale Park. · Potentially the school will be too small very soon, that has been the case at the new Elgin Academy and Elgin High School. Developments such as Ardersier Port, part of the Green Free Port, will bring greater employment and an even greater demand for housing around Forres. The proposed Applegrove site has no space for further expansion other than into Applegrove. · Loss of green space for Applegrove pupils to learn and play in. The following link is one of many on the benefits of green spaces in primary schools. Thinking Green: Why Schools Should Have Natural Spaces – Ledger (yale.edu) · Loss of town centre green space. The following link is one of many on the benefits of green spaces in towns. More green space for our towns and cities - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) · School design, shape, size all determined by the size and shape of the site meaning these constraints determine what the school layout would be like, not what best suits the needs of the students. · Disruption, nuisance and risk posed by construction traffic to Applegrove pupils during construction works. · Disruption and nuisance to residents during construction works. · Loss of a portion of Common Good land to the development and the precedent set with Moray Council that they can use Forres Common Good land to solve issues if it makes for a simpler solution for them. Recently Moray Council have used Common Good funds to pay for services such as grass cutting. This is a first, historically it has been allocated to things such as Forres Bonfire, Forres Christmas Lights etc. If the council can again use Forres Common Good assets to provide them with easier solutions they may continue to erode this asset when it is to their benefit, and not necessarily the benefit of Forres.</p>	<p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		<p>Creates a 'hub' with Applegrove Primary School. - The council however have said that, should the academy need to expand in the future then the academy would take over the primary school site and the primary school would move to Lochyhill. This would remove any kind of 'hub' so why is it such an important reason when deciding on where to site the new academy if it can so easily be dropped in the future? Considering that Applegrove has been 5 minutes' walk from Forres Academy for the past 50 years, if a 'hub' approach is so vital to education it could easily have been implemented previously. Why do the primary and secondary buildings need to be within the same fence to gain the benefits a 'hub' apparently brings?</p> <p>· Central location makes it simpler to reach for greater proportion of all pupils. – My wife's family live in Ellon, Aberdeenshire so I have watched with some interest as their new school was developed. The new academy in Ellon was built in 2015, a 1.2 mile walk from the town centre. It is on the south side of the town centre, across the river Ythan, and most houses in Ellon are north of the town centre so in reality the distance from home to academy for most pupils is more than 1.2 miles. The Ellon school role is currently 1072 pupils, Forres sits at 830 pupils so slightly smaller. The new school has been a real success and looks and feels like it fosters</p>	

		<p>learning. Set in open space it is more like a modern university campus than a secondary school.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Central location makes building usable for other groups – Using Ellon Academy as an example, it is a 'community campus'. For the £36M it cost to build the Ellon school they were able to get a swimming pool, games halls, activity rooms and outdoor courts which the community can book and use. <p>At the moment the Forres school is expected to cost £80M without a swimming pool, things must have a got a lot more expensive in ten years I suppose. The Lochyhill site is on the route of the Forres to Elgin and Forres to Kinloss bus routes so would be easily accessible via public transport as well as walking and cycling.</p>	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prone to flooding, development won't provide increased drainage capacity but the water that normally gathers there will be pushed elsewhere, potentially causing new flooding to residents or the remaining Roysvale Park. - Potentially the school will be too small very soon, that has been the case at the new Elgin Academy and Elgin High School. - Developments such as Ardersier Port, part of the Green Free Port, will bring greater employment and an even greater demand for housing around Forres. - The proposed Applegrove site has no space for further expansion other than into Applegrove. - Loss of green space for Applegrove pupils to learn and play in. The following link is one of many on the benefits of green spaces in primary schools. Thinking Green: Why Schools Should Have Natural Spaces – Ledger (yale.edu) - Loss of town centre green space. The following link is one of many on the benefits of green spaces in towns. More green space for our towns and cities - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) - School design, shape, size all determined by the size and shape of the site meaning these constraints determine what the school layout would be like, not what best suits the needs of the students. - Disruption, nuisance and risk posed by construction traffic to Applegrove pupils during construction works. - Disruption and nuisance to residents during construction works. - Loss of a portion of Common Good land to the development and the precedent set with Moray Council that they can use Forres Common Good land to solve issues if it makes for a simpler solution for them. - Recently Moray Council have used Common Good funds to pay for services such as grass cutting. This is a first, historically it has been allocated to things such as Forres Bonfire, Forres Christmas Lights etc. If the council can again use Forres Common Good assets to provide them with easier solutions they may continue to erode this asset when it is to their benefit, and not necessarily the benefit of Forres. 	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>I do not believe the council has given sufficient consideration, or investigation, into the flood risk that will be increased by additional flows entering the existign combined sewer system. I do not believe a proposed soultion involving below ground attenuation tanks, when the ground water is already as high as it is, has been properly investigated.</p> <p>I do not believe the council have given sufficient consideration to using the existing Academy car park and Plasmon fields as an option. The Mosset Burn could be moved across to the bottom of the existing slope and all the space where it currently runs freed up for development. The flood risk on that site is far less following the flood aleviation works on the</p>	

		<p>Mosset Burn.</p> <p>I do not believe sufficient consideration has been given to the visual impact of the proposed building at the Applegrove site, it will tower over its neighbours.</p>	
222	Q1	<p>What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?</p>	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		<p>The High School and Academy in Elgin are both regarded as too small, even though they were only recently constructed with little space available for expansion. This was never a good idea.</p> <p>The proposed construction of the new Forres Academy on the Roysvale Park just reinforces the same argument. Where as there is more than sufficient space available at Lochyhill.</p> <p>Why build ourselves our children's and the town's future into corner when the answer is at Lochyhill.</p>	
	Q2	<p>Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?</p>	
		<p>The proposed saving by building at Roysvale will be quickly consumed and more, by the future need to replace Applegrove Primary.</p>	
	Q3	<p>Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?</p>	
		<p>Building on a town centre residential site will always prove more difficult and more costly than originally budgeted for.</p>	
	Q4	<p>Do you have any additional comments?</p>	
		<p>Roysvale has been a green play area for the town's residents and school children since at least the turn of the last century. Construction of such a large project within a busy residential area is neither safe nor wise. I believe the expected construction time is over 2 years. That's 2 years of heavy construction traffic mixed with very young children and their families. If even one child is injured who on the Council is going to stand up and say it was with it to save money. Money that will have to be spent a short time later replacing Applegrove Primary because the the new Academy isn't big enough. Lochyhill is still the answer to the proposed site for the new Academy. Plus siting the School close to the Enterprise Park could spark an interest and connection between both of them. Do we not want to encourage our students to take an interest in Maths, Science and Technology. Don't you think siting your new learning centre next door to that very centre of Technology and Science might be a great incentive.</p>	
223	Q1	<p>What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?</p>	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>If the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required.</p>
		<p>I do not want to see the erosion of common good land to provide a bus lane /parking spaces when this can be made available on council owned land left over from the demolishing of the old Forres Academy. Removing trees and green space which are a part of the heritage of Forres is not something I support.</p>	
	Q2	<p>Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?</p>	
	Q3	<p>Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?</p>	

		<p>Negative impact on the wellbeing of the surrounding community (veterans, assisting living, etc) who will live very close to a building site maybe for the rest of their live.</p> <p>The timelines for temporary use are unrealistic.</p> <p>The space needed for building equipment looks to small for scale of the build.</p> <p>Road safety and added congestion.</p> <p>Lack of factual evidence to back up proposals like a breakdown of costs.</p>	<p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I am concerned that temporary encroachment on Roysvale will lead to permanent encroachment as I have not seen anything relating to what the new Forres Academy will look like or what needs to happen in terms of flood alleviation/drainage, survey information etc.	
224	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I completely disagree with the Moray Councils proposal to appropriate the Common Good Land that is Roysvale park when there is another suitable site for their needs. Roysvale Park has been a nexus of community interaction for nearly 150 years. It is situated in the middle of a densely populated area of the Royal Medieval Burgh of Forres and is used daily by local residents many of whom are elderly, and the wider community for activities such as walking, cycling, track sports and football. It provides a meeting place to gather and this was highlighted as of considerable importance during the pandemic. It is at the lowest point of the town with a high-water table at 2 feet down. It is a flood plain and the surrounding streets have a combined Victorian drainage system which frequently overflows during periods of heavy rainfall. Homes and businesses flood in the surrounding location with some homes having to continually pump out water from under their homes. The CGL green space that is Roysvale Park is therefore an essential soak away green space in addition to being crucial to community cohesion.	<p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I see no benefits whatsoever for the appropriation of CGL resulting in the removal of green space from a community for a number of years which will undoubtedly alter the community spirit which exists around Roysvale Park. I do not believe that the Moray Councils suggested mitigation measures will work. There is a long well documented history of flooding in this area and drains are constantly overwhelmed. The hard surface for construction materials will create surface water run off and the creation of a bus lane will cause further issues. Damage to the complex root system of the mature trees in the Roysvale Park will further compound this problem and homes will flood which have never flooded before.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		<p>I have several issues and concerns arising from the proposals</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Disruption to the activities of daily living to the densely populated area around the Roysvale Park. Elderly who could manage a daily walk around the park to meet people will no longer be able to do so and may not be able to go further afield. Moray Council's suggestion that the park will still be available is unrealistic and poses many hazards. 2. Safety of young and old around the hard surface set down area. 3. Impact on physical and mental health as a result of the removal of the green space area for a number of years. 4. Congestion around the construction hard surface area as 2 schools pupils try to continue their daily activities as construction vehicles manouvre. 5.The permanent alteration and disfigurement of the historic Roysvale Park following such major disruption. 6. The surrender of the historic Roysvale Park status to the of being merely a thoroughfare for school related traffic of buses, cars and pupils between the car park and the new academy. 7.Damage to mature trees root systems. 	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>The diagrams at the Public Meeting on CGL at the Forres Academy on Monday 21st October 2024 did not have any dimensions. It is impossible to imagine that the proposed set down space for construction will be remotely adequate for that purpose. The answers to queries regarding the proposal were inconsistent. It is impossible for the public to have confidence in these proposals when the Moray Council do not know what the outcomes are to be themselves. It is foolhardy in the extreme to suggest that the park will remain open for community use and can be used for school activities for the academy and Applegrove Primary. Moray Council are dangerously misleading the public.</p>	
225	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		<p>I do not support any of the proposed actions of Moray Council with respect to the disposal of the inalienable Common Good Land of the Roysvale Park. My view is that if even a small portion of the Common Good Land is surrendered then this would be the first step in a chain reaction that would ultimately result in the wholesale destruction and ruination of an established residential area in the centre of a beautiful town that is a medieval burgh. ArgumentFor nearly 150 years, the Roysvale Park has been a centre for Forres recreation and sporting events. It is the oldest established green space in Forres and continues to be enjoyed on a daily basis by a whole cross section of the community. It is the locus for a diverse range of activities including track and field sports, football, cycling, jogging and dog walking. For local residents it offers a meeting place and opportunities for social cohesion and is in effect a community hub. It is a valued green space in an urban environment and the importance of green space is widely recognized. This was highlighted during the pandemic that proved the worth of green spaces for mental and physical well-being. Any attempt to alter the nature or character of the Roysvale Park will alter the dynamic irreversibly and result in a conspicuous change in this facility.I am not at all persuaded that locating a bus drop off lane along one side of the park will enhance its well-defined present function. This action would represent a permanent change and the loss of a substantial section of the Roysvale Park. The construction process itself will result in the immediate loss of the function of the Roysvale Park. Furthermore, the construction site, outlined on the map provided by the Moray Council, for construction vehicles and equipment is likely to be an underestimate of the</p>	

		<p>area required. This will result in a greater loss of this green space and further compromise the utility of the Roysvale Park for the community. This area will be out of commission for a minimum period of 32 months and will thereafter require fencing off for a further 12 months to ensure re-establishment of grass.</p>
		<p>I understand that new below-ground attenuation tanks are scheduled to be placed in the Roysvale Park as a mitigation strategy to help manage stormwater discharges from the site but Moray Council have made no mention of this with respect to the proposal. I am left wondering where these tanks will be situated or indeed if this idea has been jettisoned. It should be noted that the below-ground attenuation tanks placed previously on the Applegrove site have already been proven to be a dismal failure. The Common Good Land of the Roysvale Park represents a vital component in the mitigation of flooding. It is clear that this beneficial effect is only partial as flooding occurs in the area on a regular basis at times of high rainfall. The loss of the Common Good Land of the Roysvale Park due to hard surfacing of the grass to enable the deposition and storage of construction material and vehicles will result in an increase in surface water as the soak away function will be compromised. This will be compounded by building the new academy on the adjacent Applegrove Primary School playing field as this will result in a permanent loss of soak away for rainwater. Surrounding properties and the road infrastructure will be affected by flooding and it should be noted that this already occurs at the present time. The construction of a new academy will as a direct consequence exacerbate flooding.</p> <p>By acquiescing to the surrender of the Common Good Land of Roysvale Park I firmly believe that further changes will take place over time that will result in further desecration of the valued green space. I also believe that there will be a shift in public perception such that the Roysvale Park would no longer be a space for the whole community but rather the sole preserve of the pupils and staff of the proposed academy.</p> <p>I think it an artificial exercise to debate the disposal of Common Good Land in isolation of the undoubted consequences that will arise if Moray Council succeed in their bid to obtain the use of this valued green space that serves the whole community. The disposal of the Common Good Land and the consequences are intimately linked and must therefore be considered as a whole.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?
		I can see no potential benefits whatsoever.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?

	<p>Many and they are legion. I will outline the issues and concerns below:1. Flooding2. Traffic flow and congestion3. Environmental pollution 4. Noise pollution 5. Impact on children with reference to their educational journey and quality of life6. Public Health issues7. Loss of a valued community asset with loss of green space8. Loss of a Primary School playing field9. Damage to local properties due to the construction process10. The quality of life of residents in the area – many who are elderly with physical and mental health issuesI am almost embarrassed on behalf of Moray Council to provide further details relating to the above as any number of the concerns would in any normal context be red flags that would halt the proposal. These points have already been made to Moray Council and the responsible officers extensively. I was heartened, however, that at the recent Common Good Land Consultation meeting that three of the elected Forres Councillors who were present confirmed to the community that they were all individually responsible for their decisions made in the chamber and are accountable for their actions. It is only right and proper for this to be the case and I am sure that all elected Councillors will be of the same opinion. With great power comes great responsibility and of course, accountability, particularly when adverse events occur. As a former Consultant Physician I was only too well aware of issues of responsibility and accountability with reference to my professional conduct and I am reassured that the elected Councillors were able to confirm to the community when asked directly that they too are responsible for their decisions.</p>	
Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
	<p>The provision of a new secondary school for this area is an exciting prospect and represents a golden opportunity to provide stellar educational opportunities to the young people of Forres. I am convinced, however, that an undercurrent of compromise is at play and this will undermine these opportunities. For the record I speak as a former Consultant Physician who was an Honorary Senior Lecturer at Aberdeen University with extensive teaching experience of medical students and junior doctors. I was also an examiner for The Royal College of Physicians.</p> <p>The available space on the Applegrove playing field site will by necessity require the construction of a three-storey structure. It is more usual however for modern schools to be single or at most two storeys high. The control, supervision and routing of children changing classrooms between learning periods over three levels would be a major undertaking. The noise, movement and churn could be very disruptive to the calm of the school environment.</p> <p>I am surprised and dismayed that a new swimming pool is not part of the design of the New Forres Academy. I do not think that the present swimming pool which is over fifty years old is fit for purpose and I am aware that Lossiemouth High School had this new facility as an integral part of the design. I am deeply concerned that the pupils of Forres Academy are already subject to compromise.</p> <p>For the record, I am not against the construction of a New Academy with the provision of outstanding educational facilities. How could it be otherwise as I have an Honours degree in Biology, a degree in Medicine and am a Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians. I say this to quell any argument that I am a nimby naysayer as I am opposed to the proposed location of the New Academy. I am, however, against constructing a new academy in the wrong site with attendant problems that will compromise the education of our</p>	

		children and be an unmitigated disaster for the whole community. It would seem that Moray Council are content to drive forward the wholesale destruction of an established residential area in order to shoehorn into a constrained space a three storey structure that is at odds with usual school designs in other parts of the country and compromise the educational opportunities of our children for many years to come. In this race to the bottom Moray Council are at risk of completely wasting a golden opportunity. This is what I object to.	
226	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
		I object. Further shrinkage of green areas in an already busy area is short sighted and unhealthy for society.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes. Destruction of more green space and beautiful mature trees.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
227	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		It is common good land, You must be joking if it still is an option Please read what common good land means , you are out of order. I strongly object to the school being built here. The Enterprise Park, is the place for it.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		As above	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Flooding Parking A builders site for years to come.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The Enterprise Park is the only sensible for the new school	
228	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Roysvale Park is totally unsuitable. There is no room for expansion, area is subject to flooding, loss of amenities for local residents., loss of privacy and light for War Veterans cottages and Roysvale Place houses. Narrow streets surround the area and many local residents would have no privacy or access to their homes for 4 years whilst building is ongoing	

	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		There are no benefits to the proposals and the town needs greespaces	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		If this proposal goes ahead Applegrove pupils will lose their sports area, it will be extremely dangerous for the young pupils to access the school for several years and the noise will be a constant problem	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Employ professional people to look for suitable site which will accommodate all the facilities expected of a modern academy with room for expansion and with dedicated sports areas.	
229	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		I am objecting to any temporary or permanent use of this common good land as this is land which is for the use of the people of Forres. This would prevent it from being used by the people of Forres for whom it was intended. The people of Forres will be unable to use the land while the school is being built. Roysvale Park is common good land given more than 100 years ago to the people of Forres and I do not support the proposals in any way.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are none.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
230	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		I do not agree to the temporary change of use and subsequent loss of common good land at roysvale park.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I see no benefit to the community on the loss of this land to become a bus drop off zone.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		In conjunction with the loss of green space on Applegrove playing field for the potential new site of Forres Academy this constitutes a very significant loss of green space for public amenity. In addition this green space is important to soak away excess rain water which will be exacerbated once the land has been developed for the school. Roysvale has had drainage works carried out before but it still becomes waterlogged in certain areas. It is the lowest lying land in this area of town and the water table is very close to the surface. In the meantime when construction is taking place there will be an increase in drainage issue increasing the likelihood of flooding to surrounding properties. During the building of a bus drop off point in an already congested zone will limit parking for residents and parents who are picking up their children. Creating more congestion	

		<p>and increasing the risks for the children coming to school and going home. Sanquhar road is already heavily congested at drop off and pick up and additional construction traffic will increase the risk of an accident. What consideration has been given to supporting parents dropping and pick up their children.</p> <p>Loss of amenity playground land for Applegrove Primary is detrimental to the development of the children who will be affected.</p> <p>Although access to the play area is preserved it is via a narrow path and is surrounded by construction areas which will be noisy and not conducive to children's play. It does not seem a very safe option for young children and families to access.</p> <p>32 months at least of construction on the playing fields will have detrimental effects on children's education and wellbeing as well as negatively affecting the residents of the area.</p> <p>Concreting over large areas of green space for construction vehicles will add to the flooding issues that Roysvale already suffer meaning pupils cannot use the green space in times when the ground is waterlogged. Limiting the access for a further year after construction is finished for reseeding raises its inaccessibility from 32 months to 44 months.</p> <p>There appears from the plans that the west side of the construction area will have limited access. This will negatively impact the residents as well as bus drop offs for Forres Academy.</p> <p>As Applegrove would lose its playing field it will need to use a much smaller Roysvale park for PE activities. Access to this will be somewhat obstructed by construction traffic and works. They will have to compete with the academy lessons for access during and post construction limiting possible activities for both schools.</p> <p>As the schools will in the future be using the land more frequently this will limit public access to use the land for their own activities during the daytime when school would need to use it.</p> <p>Access to the site is unclear from the plans and potentially increases risk in terms of traffic management and congestion.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Common good land is land gifted to the people of Forres for the benefit of the people of Forres. I do not see this use as benefiting the community but will have a detrimental impact to the health, well-being and education of the young people of Forres for a significant 4-5 years of construction phase and which will impact them for many years beyond their schooling.	
231	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.</p>
		I object strongly to any loss of land of the Roysvale park for any purpose whatsoever. All of this land belongs to the people and town of Forres and should remain so.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are no benefits in losing the use of the land that has been given to the town, whether it be as an area for turning buses or as a building yard while constructing a school.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		Concerned that the land is being appropriated,in stages..firstly for a bus turning area which may or not be big enough, secondly a using a large part of it for 2 years as a building site ,which will mean that local people,walkers,dogs ,summer football leagues,lads having a kick around with a ball,kids throwing frisbees etc will be deprived of an area that has been left to the people of Forres.Concerned that ,as a town,Forres could be losing permanently a valuable town centre green space .Concerned that this development will encourage more vehicular traffic round the green space,concerned that there will eventually be "mission creep "... we just need a couple of metres here ,and a couple there and so on.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The Roysvale park is a green area that any town would be proud to have in its centre. It should be kept intact in its entirety both for the use and enjoyment of the present and future population of Forres.	
232	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		There should be no change to the state of the park which is an asset for the whole community. Leave it alone This was given for the town to enjoy. Open spaces are at a premium. Health needs it.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I can't see how these proposals are giving any benefits just losing something we've enjoyed . You can't create space just take it away. It would be adding to the crowding of the area	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I certainly do. This is taking away bit by bit a lovely green space and encouraging more and more traffic into the town instead of taking a long term view and leaving a recreational space in our middle Where will parking be. This has totally not been thought through and is just an easy out. This land belongs to the town.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		This disruption is so bad for everyone I'm sure there will be unexpected consequences and there's no going back once it's gone	
233	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I consider the site to be totally unsuitable. Roysvale Park is a great asset for Forres and its loss to the town and its residents would be very sad. Green spaces in every village,town and city are to be valued ,and , where they exist ,e.g. Roysvale, they should be protected.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I can see no benefits to the proposals whatsoever.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Apart from the loss of Common Good land,I have two more concerns . The first of those is the drainage problem .Various attempts have been made to rectify this with limited success . I am also extremely concerned about the traffic implications .I drove along Orchard Road at the end of the school day yesterday and to say it was chaotic is putting it mildly . If it is like that with two schools in the vicinity I shudder to think how much worse it would be with three . Have any of our local councillors driven along that road at that time?	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		I have always believed that we live in a democracy . The fact that our councillors chose to ignore the result of a recent survey makes me wonder if this is still the case .	
234	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		<p>Roysvale Park is an important part of the community, and taking away part of it (even temporarily), will set a bad precedent. The park is used for a lot of local activities, and losing that space for over 3 years will have a big impact. Even after the construction is done, it will take another 12 months for the grass to fully grow back, which is a huge chunk of time.</p> <p>The plan says the land will return to its original state, but construction work could permanently affect the park. The land might not be the same after being used as a construction site, and it might never fully return to how it was before.</p>	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		The plans say there will be benefits like a bus drop-off area for the new school , but these seem small compared to the disruption caused. The park is a key part of the community.The benefits don't justify taking away valuable public space.Trees might also have to be removed or replaced, which would harm the park's environment.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<p>The plans talk about taking out or replacing some of the park's mature trees. This could hurt local wildlife and take away from the natural beauty of the park. It's also not clear how the construction will affect the park's overall environment, so there's a real risk of long-lasting damage.</p> <p>For the 3 years (plus an extra 12 months for grass regrowth), the park will be partly closed off for construction. That means people will lose access to parts of the park they normally use for walking, playing, or relaxing. With the compound area and the fencing, it could end up feeling like a construction site for way too long. This is a huge loss for the community.</p> <p>It doesn't feel like there's been enough discussion with local people about these changes. If the council wants to take land from the common good, they should listen to local concerns more carefully and make sure the community's voice is heard in the decision-making.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>Removing the few green spaces within the Forres residential area gradually (and arguably irreversibly) reduces the standard of living for residents.</p> <p>Even though the proposal is "temporary," we're talking about a disruption lasting over 4 years.</p> <p>Some references:</p> <p>"Why we need Green Spaces" - Natural History Museum: https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/why-we-need-green-spaces-in-cities.html</p> <p>"A Brief Guide to the Benefits of Urban Green Spaces" - University of Leeds: https://leaf.leeds.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/86/2015/10/LEAF_benefits_of_urban_green_space_2015_upd.pdf</p>	

		"The Importance of Green Spaces in an Increasingly Gray World" - Sustainable Earth: https://sustainable-earth.org/green-spaces/	
235	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Building a new school on this site is totally inappropriate. It ignores the wishes of the vast majority of locals, particularly the residents of the houses nearest to the area. It denudes the town centre of a green space, Community space, which is used by Applegrove Primary and residents of Forres. The site is far too small for the needs of a Secondary School in the 21st Century. Forres Academy has enough to deal with in order to once again be a school with a fine reputation, (as an ex FA teacher, I am acutely aware of the needs of the youngsters and staff) without continuing its work in a place that is inappropriate and lacking the landscaped surroundings for rest, recreation and sport, which would enhance the pupils' learning experience.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
		There are absolutely no potential benefits of the proposal. The decision to build here is a lazy solution with cost cutting in mind - no surprises there! Senior students may look favourably on the proposal, since it keeps their lunchtime trip to the shops viable.....junk food within easy reach.	If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the consultation process these should be submitted to the Council and will be considered through the complaints process.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		My main concern is that The Council appears to have already decided to ignore the opinions and wishes of the local community and the needs of its youngsters.....particularly "The Forres Four" on the Council!! Not known for honest and transparent government, the Council's reputation is now at rock bottom. Would that there were at least a few honourable members to shame the rest of these "representatives".	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Ha! Why? So that the Council can state that "there were a number of consultations". No doubt a generic, anodyne, response, will be sent to all.. "After lengthy discussions and consultations....." "Your views are important..." ...Aye.	
236	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the
		The proposal to build a new school in Roysvale Park is totally shortsighted and wrong. Sacrificing the common good land is also not acceptable even if it is "alienable" asset!	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Apart from it being a much-needed new school - there are no potential benefits to the proposal.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		With the population of the school catchment are only going to increase and there is no scope for expansion of the school in the future. The increased traffic in and around the school which will also include Applegrove Primary School traffic will cause a danger and unacceptable congestion in the centre of town. Depriving the centre of town of a valuable and rare green, community space is unacceptable.	public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Listen to the community and respect the survey results.	
237	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I think the whole scheme is ill judged. The new school should be on a new site. There are serious drainage issues, strong concerns about mixing younger and older children and this bus stop uses common good land which moray council have no right to commandeer.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are none. Clearly the new academy proposal did not factor in sufficient transport considerations as this afterthought reveals. On a new site, proper planning and future proofing could take place.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes There would be too much congestion of traffic in an already crowded area of the town where flash flooding has caused additional serious issues in recent years. This is fundamentally unsafe for young children and/or teenagers. Not to mention residents some of whom nearby are elderly.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The council should rethink the proposal in its entirety. This is the wrong solution - it is short sighted and penny pinching especially this late attempted grab of common good land.	
238	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Shrinking common good land, physically and financially.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		Can't see any.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Proposed use of common good land is in order to facilitate the building of a new academy. The new Academy is in the wrong place - loss of common good land and Applegrove playing field. Are Applegrove and Academy children to share the same football pitch size piece of grass that will be left?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Please reconsider the location of the new Academy, be bold and progressive, build a new 3-17 yrs. campus out of town on a spacious site just as has happened, successfully, in Aberdeenshire. Are teachers and staff really going to park cars off site and walk to the new site - more likely the streets closest to the North of the site will be used, human nature!	

239	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I would rather it is not happening near to me; but on the other hand it will make Burdshaugh where I live a much quieter road, which is a good thing.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		I don't believe it will save the Council money in the long run. Trying to resolve flooding problems is okay, but water will have it's way no matter what is done to try to prevent it. So if it was a financial reason that you have decided it should be on Roysvale Park, then in years to come I think that will be shown to be a foolish reason.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
240	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Roysvale Park is a public park used for football, runners, dog walkers and the general public. A considerable amount of money has already been spent in an effort to tackle the poor drainage in the area with I must say with little success and future development would not be advisable.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The roads around the area are not suitable for the increase in traffic and convenient parking in the area would pose a considerable issue with congestion in Sanquhar Road, Orchard Road and adjacent streets.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
241	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Roysvale Park should not be used and kept for it current use. The alternative site should be used due to long standing issue with the ground and may lead to more expense to make the site suitable for a new build in addition to the disruption it will cause to local residents and pupils.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		No potential benefits can be gained using the proposed site.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As discussed in item 1 the disruption to local residents and pupils.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Greater consideration and consultation should have been made along with the total disregard of a majority of residents voted against using this site.	
242	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		I do not want Roysvale park to be used in any way in relation to a new school	use of the common good asset. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I see only negatives	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Part of Roysvale Park will be lost forever and much more ruined during the proposed building phase.Surely this cannot be allowed as the Park is common good land. There will be years of noise and congestion during the build The site will not allow for expansion for a growing population (there may be many more families following the Ardeseir port project). The Park floods so is a poor choice and there are netter locations without these issues eg Locyhill	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
243	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future useA planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
		It is almost impossible to isolate the Common Good Land from the bigger picture of the new academy site. I realise that Roysvale/Applegrove is the 'preferred' site and there is still a final decision to be made and the subject of the survey is the CGL only. The public are being asked for their opinions with still so many unanswered questions. They are being advised that the park including the swing park will be available for public use during the construction works apart from a 12m strip being allocated for the proposed bus lane and an area for contractors compound, site establishment and construction parking adjacent to the swing park. The area for the compound and parking seems small in area and to create the 12m bus lane a further strip into the park would be required for construction. Along with the path that is to run in front of the existing pavilion. To construct these safely further areas would need to be sectioned off so the available ground for public use will be further limited and bit continually open for public use. There is no information of how the schools both Academy and Applegrove pupils would use the remaining park area during construction, will these still be available for public use or will areas be cordoned off for primary school pupils only?	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		To improve the existing drainage of the park would certainly be beneficial. However not if this causes problems elsewhere and removes the natural flood plain properties	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The layout of the proposed bus lane and its location next to the swing park and with a drop in the levels from the road to the park are concerning. It seems to be shown as a one way system with buses entering at swimming pool end and exiting at the swing park. I understand that a tree survey will be undertaken which will assist in establishing the position of existing trees and confirming if there will be losses or replacements required. Certainly would like to retain as much green space and existing natural features and habitat as possible and with the	

		loss of Applegrove playing fields this has to be one of the priorities.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The public feel a lack of confidence that after the last consultation which the majority preferred the other site, we are now being asked specifically for the views on CGL only this time and fear that their opinions will not be fully listened to. The drop in session illustrated plans but they didn't give specific details or sizes on the permanent and temporary uses. Also the reinstatement of the park post construction and what it will look like and how it will be managed and be able to be used by public.	
244	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The area floods easily and it will cost a lot for drainage. If Forres keeps growing at the current rate will the new building cope with the increased pupil numbers?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I cannot see any benefits to this area especially as the majority of pupils seem to be from the new houses up the Grantown road and if they keep spreading there will be plenty land on which to build a new school. That would also ease the traffic flow through the town which at the moment is awful at school times. The new traffic lights on St Catharine's road do not help.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
245	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets. The consultation was advertised through the councils social media, adverts were placed in the Forres Gazette, Northern Scot and Press and Journal newspapers. In addition, posters advertising the consultation were displayed in Forres Library and Forres Community Centre and local community council contacted. If you have concerns and evidence supporting your comments regarding the consultation these should be submitted to the
		In relation to Roysvale Park being used as a bus stop I think it is very wrong. Roysvale Park is a fantastic green space of common good land which should be protected for the enjoyment of future generations. It is special because it's centrally located within an urban town. Once built on then it's gone! Common good land like this should not be utilised by Moray Council in this way. Please respect the general wishes of the good people of Forres. As I understand the outcome of the last public survey was that the proposed new Academy should not be built at Roysvale/Applegrove. It was suggested by so many that it was far better and of common sense to have the academy built at Lochyhill. But as usual with surveys they are totally ignored as others have their own agenda and don't see the bigger picture. The survey appeared to be a complete waste of money and just appeared to be a tick box exercise by the council.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		In my opinion there are no potential benefits of the proposal of using Roysvale park as a bus stop and siting the new academy within the Applegrove and Roysvale area.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		<p>My main issues/concerns are:</p> <p>There will be a huge disruption during the building phase with increased noise, air pollution, restricted movements and more vehicle congestion especially to the local residents. The building phase will be very messy and like most public projects will probably take longer to complete.</p> <p>There will also be rubbish from the building site as we regularly see on Mannachie Road and the surrounding areas from Springfield's house building site.</p> <p>Sanquhar Road is also the main access route to the Sanquhar estate which includes Woodside Drive and Loch View, which is currently fairly restrictive in its present state especially during the school run periods.</p> <p>Roysvale, Applegrove and Orchard Road are constantly flooded when we have prolonged and heavy downpours. Applegrove has had work done to prevent flooding - this seems a waste of money as the field stills floods.</p>	Council and will be considered through the complaints process.
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>The area is already cluttered, too confined and will only get worse with your plans and the increase in future of car ownership. Cars are constantly parked at Roysvale from 8.40am and then again from 3pm, there is never any space on Orchard Road either, if there is a funeral at Tom Ross's funeral home then it is absolute chaos on Orchard Road. If the building phase at Roysvale does commence then how will this impact emergency services through the area or any possible High Street road closure should it occur? The council completely chose to ignore the last public survey in which the public wanted the new academy to be located on the edge of town at Lochyhill. No matter where the academy is sited parents will still drive their children to school as they regularly do now and over very short walkable distances! I have seen many new schools throughout UK now located on the edge of town. It is workable and affords plenty of space to properly plan the infra structure with limited constraints which you'd have at Roysvale. I recognise building at Lochyhill would regrettably be on green space however it has never stopped Moray Council from approving the major housing schemes, business parks etc on the edges of Forres and Elgin. So that argument does not stand! Putting the new school on the edge of town will be a much better use of space rather than cramming everything into Applegrove and Roysvale. Even the area between the academy and the Legion will be tidier with less rubbish around! It would seem that this survey is required by law as the council want to use common good land, but this survey has not been widely advertised. It has taken some time to actually find the "hidden" survey. This survey should have a direct link from the home page of the website or be a lot more visible. Maybe the council did not want the folk of Forres to find it and their reply would be that the residents of Forres were not even bothered to take part? It's bad enough that Moray Council has appeared to have raided the common good fund behind our back, they now want to give land away - albeit to themselves! Please for once listen and properly engage with the sensible and clever people of Forres. Don't treat them as just some insignificant council tax payer who doesn't care or can't think for them self.</p>	
246	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		<p>I don't think Roysvale is a suitable location for a new school.</p> <p>it would cause a loss of too much green space.</p> <p>At the present time, with one school, the traffic on Orchard road and Sanquhar Road is VERY HEAVY.</p> <p>There needs to be much more parking space which would result in a loss of even more green space.</p>	<p>use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I can't see any benefits.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
247	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		Under no circumstances should the common good land at Roysvale park be used for a construction yard temporary or not. A permanent 12 meter wide bus lane / car park the full length of Roysvale Park, should not be constructed on this historic green space in the heart of Forres. To even consider this incredibly shortsighted proposal not to mention the laziest possible course of action and furthermore that lay people elected to serve the local community think this is a good idea leaves me despairing for the future of Forres.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are none. Removing green space, decimating mature trees, increasing the likelihood of more flooding and increasing traffic pollution in this already congested area I do not consider as beneficial.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Please read my previous answers: no one with an ounce of common sense would think that decreasing green space and replacing it with concrete is a good idea.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		As a fully paid up council tax payer I and the rest of the local community have been treated with contempt by Moray council. Any plans that have been shared are lacking any detail or accurate dimensions, no design details have been forthcoming. The presentation and drawing provided for the community consultation in Forres Academy was frankly pathetic and appeared to have be prepared by primary school children.	
248	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p>
		Disagree very strongly with the loss of Common Good ground at Roysvale	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		This is the wrong place to site the Academy. Any potential benefits of siting the Academy in & around Roysvale are out-weighted by the adverse effects.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The potential for creating an exciting school on the East side of the town is huge & far outweigh the alleged benefits of	

		having the primary & secondary schools on the same campus.	
249	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		<p>It is hard to avoid concluding that the proposal to use part of Roysvale Park for a bus drop-off zone shows that the site for the new school selected by the Council is too small.</p> <p>If there is insufficient room for the bus drop-off zone on the Applegrove site, could it be located elsewhere on the dispersed campus, such as on the site currently occupied by Forres Academy ?</p> <p>In our view, the loss of common good land at Roysvale to tarmac and vehicular traffic need not and should not be contemplated unless there really is no alternative.</p>	<p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		<p>Any perceived benefit in terms of convenience is, in our opinion, outweighed by the detrimental loss of green parkland.</p> <p>We wonder why there is a need to sacrifice common good land for a bus drop-off zone when an adjacent brown field site already exists.</p>	<p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.</p>
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<p>The proposal to create a bus drop-off zone on common good land at Roysvale provides more evidence that the site selected by the Council for the new school is far from ideal. We feel that the Lochyills option - which was the preferred choice of the majority of those who responded to the last consultation - would offer solutions to some of the significant challenges posed at Applegrove / Roysvale, such as flooding, traffic congestion, site size and future-proofing – and it would not involve the use of common good land.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>We urge the Council not to repeat the outcome of the previous consultation process, whereby the majority of responders in favour of Lochyills were ignored. This had already eroded confidence in the process and there seems little point in participating in future if the views expressed by a majority of responders continue to be dismissed.</p> <p>We wish to stress that our comments are not simply a question of NIBYism. We both attended Forres Academy - as did our children - and we enjoy living close to both the Academy and Primary School. In fact we remain of the view that the new school ought to be located on the existing site where, given some leeway in terms of the brief, options could include a major refurb, a phased new school or a new school on the Manachie playing fields site.</p>	
250	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		<p>I think it is madness. This is a much used , valued green space in the centre of Forres. The area proposed for the new Forres Academy is far too small and there will be no room for future expansion without losing CG land . Sanquhar Road is already congested at the start and end of each school day. The addition of construction traffic will make it worse. The idea of CG land being used for a bus lane is ridiculous.</p>	<p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately

		The benefits of the new school being in a central location are limited. It seems more sensible to use a brand new site with better access and room for expansion .	submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I did wonder why the site of the playing field out the Grantown road opposite Thornhill had not been considered.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Applegrove pupils should expect better working conditions over the next 3years of potential construction. It is a real shame that they will lose their playing field too which has been enjoyed by many generations of pupils.	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
251	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	
		Thought the park was common good land and to use it as a building site even temporary wouldn't have thought legal.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
252	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		Roysvale park should not be used to accommodate an unnecessary bus layby. This will result in a loss of valuable green space and encourage more traffic to the area. All of which can be easily avoided.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are no benefits of turning valuable green space into a coach park.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		yes, the loss of green space and encouraging even more traffic to the area along streets that already cannot cope is a major concern particularly when other more considered, sympathetic and longer term options are available. This proposal is most certainly not in the interests of the common good.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The proposals are unbelievably short sighted and most definitely not in the common good.	
253	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
		Common Good land should be used for the benefit of the people of Forres. Roysvale Park is a well used open green space that has recently benefitted from enhanced paths that support active travel around it. Aside from the Grant Park there are limited areas of green open space in Forres and my view is this should be protected for the people of Forres to enjoy and being used as a temporary construction site and then losing a portion of this to a bus /drop off lane is not in the interests of the common good.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Improved drainage to the park would be of benefit to the Common Good	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

		<p>Overall concerns from the proposal regarding the change of use, however specific concerns relating to each area of proposal as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Temporary Construction site / contractors compound <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - limited access to this green space for local residents and sports clubs to this CGL for just under 3 years, e.g. Forres Harriers, Forres Mechanics, local dog walkers - During the construction period this would be an unwelcome space for users due to building works/ noise - potential long term damage to the common good land 2. Bus/ Drop Off Area <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Permanent loss of green space of common good land - This turns an area of CGL, in close proximity to a primary school into an unnecessary hazardous area with increased traffic - Loss of well established trees although these may be replaced 3. Footpath and Drainage (management proposals) <p>There is currently no need for additional paths on the Common Good land as existing paths are sufficient and well used</p> 	<p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
254	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
		<p>In addition to my earlier comments I would like to state the following: During the public drop in session Mr Hall stated that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -part of the building will have 3 storeys. This is extremely invasive of the private houses in the vicinity, especially the houses at Roysvale. -there will be traffic calming measures put in place on access roads to the school to reduce risks of accidents. This traffic is already far in excess to what a residential area should have to suffer. There are long queues already in the approach areas, now even more so since the traffic lights were installed at the St Catherine Road's junction. Residents will suffer more from pollution from exhaust pipes from stationary vehicles. -the flooding risk will be dealt with, possibly by pumping water into the Mosset. This would simply move flooding risks further down the river. Also it would not address the blockage of drains in the area and the accompanying stink the residents already suffer. Has this measure been costed? -outside areas for pupils during break time could be provided by making the remaining Roysvale Park accessible for this purpose. This would deny local residents the use of Roysvale Park totally, in addition to the area reserved for bus drop-off etc. -successful school campuses have been built in a number of towns in Schotland. I have personal experiences of two such campuses, Thurso and Dalkeith. Both are located at the outskirts of the towns, in open areas, nowhere near to private housing as the Forres Academy campus would be located. Lossiemouth Academy, too is located at the outskirts of the town. <p>On the whole, the large residential area where the school is to be built would suffer detrimentally. The open, green area with trees and the residential character would disappear. How does that match up with environmentally friendly town planning?</p>	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	

	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
255	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application. As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.
		I strongly object to the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park. I live directly in the vicinity of the build which will affect me greatly. I fully understand and appreciate that a new school is required, however I do not feel that this is an appropriate area for development. Local Authorities have a duty to take into account the interests of all the residents in this area. The people of Forres were asked for their opinion on the 2 options for this school build. Lochy hills was chosen NOT Roysvale, this being the case, and councillors deciding to opt for the site anyway. How are all of people's interests being taken into account? How can the people of Forres be asked to consult on the change of use on an area that was already rejected?	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		School would be more central, closer to swimming pool.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		My issues and concerns are: You have already sought opinion from people of Forres as to which site they preferred, Lochy hills was chosen, not Roysvale. You now wish to consult with them around the common good land on that site, which would allow this build to go ahead, they already gave an opinion and rejected this site. So why is this happening? You are increasing pressure in an already flood risk area, I know you say that the drainage system will be supported, however SEPA advise that flood risk areas should not be built upon if it can be helped, so how is this happening when there is / was another area available for build? You are increasing traffic and congestion in the area. Parking is already limited in this area. this will also increase the level of noise during and after the build. This build has the potential to require expansion very quickly which may result in building of another school at Lochy hills in the future. This is going to cost more money, so why not build on other site that encompasses all of this in an area that will probably be developed anyway? I am concerned that this proposed development will cause - Lose view from my home - Loss of more privacy - Building out of character with area - Loss of an area that is used daily by my family, a place where we can meet others and chat, walk dogs and children can play safely. - Potentially increase antisocial behaviour - Loss of area that is used frequently and regularly by community groups. - Loss of more green spaces that support mental health and well being. Forres already is a winner of Britain in Bloom because of its beautiful parks and gardens, which attract people locally and out with to them which also supports trade / tourism. - Loss in promotion of natural wildlife - Increase in carbon foot print and overdeveloped area - Potential damage to where I live / property during construction stage	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		<p>Yes, I have two questions</p> <p>1- Why, having lived in this area for 25 years, have I been subjected to flooding? Helping my neighbours who's homes have been flooded. Always having to move our vehicles when there is heavy rainfall. Why would you put more pressure on an area identified already as at risk, when SEPA suggest areas of flooding should not be built on? Also if it is so easy to sort out and support the drainage to allow for this build, then why have I and others been suffering all this time, and the drainage issues not addressed before, what is the justification for this?</p> <p>2- You plan to build directly behind War Veteran Housing, what support or risk assessments have you carried out for these people who have PTSD, or any other Mental Health or disability issues? I have not seen or heard any correspondence from you on this? You do not know what triggers these people have, nor how it impacts on their daily abilities. You are proposing putting these individuals under increased stress and anxiety for up to 36 months, how would noise for example affect them? Were these homes built because of where they were at the time, peaceful, quiet area? These proposals are already causing anxiety for this group of people who in all fairness are people we should be supporting, and allowing to be at peace. Again I ask the question, how is this build and change of common good land beneficial to all?</p>	
256	Q1	<p>What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?</p>	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p>
		<p>I am not in favour of the proposal.</p> <p>Roysvale was gifted to the people of Forres and is a well used green space.</p> <p>Our green spaces should be preserved , their importance is vital to our health - both physical and mental.</p> <p>Every day the space is used by members of the public walking, on their own or with dogs. Various teams play sport there.</p> <p>There are wide safe paths which are good for buggies, prams, cycles and mobility scooters. Indeed there has been a public right of way across the park since at least 1868.</p>	<p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p>
	Q2	<p>Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?</p>	<p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		<p>I can see no benefits and the information presented on what use the council aim to make of the park is vague - no clarity on the proposed bus drop off zone. Entry and exit points seem unsafe .</p> <p>No measurements indicating exactly what the area will be to facilitate building works .</p> <p>How will lorries etc access the area?</p> <p>Too many questions with vague or no answers.</p> <p>Post building the park will have to be used as a playing field for both schools and I cannot see how that could possibly work with continued public access- for safety the area will require to be fenced.</p> <p>I am not confident that the use of Roysvale post building will be as the council describe . The park will inevitably be lost to the public.</p>	<p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.</p>
	Q3	<p>Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?</p>	

		Common good land should not be appropriated for Council use. The whole scheme is very wrong and most certainly not in the spirit of the gift of the land to Forres people. See above for concerns. It is also concerning that these forms are going to Learning Estates. Can we be assured that we will be listened to?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
257	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		The use of Roysvale Park seems a choice of bureaucratic and political tick boxing as opposed to a logical understanding of the local populace, and indeed of large scale construction projects themselves. I have no doubt the theory of building on Roysvale may make sense, but the realities of utilising the designated space for construction and logistics runs a very real danger of having such significant scope creep and impracticalities that a larger percentage of the wider Forresian population will be affected, to a much greater extent, and for a lot longer time than the proposal schematics. Having worked on similar projects, it is not feasible that the development of Roysvale will have anything other than a huge impact on the local area, and unfortunately the biggest impact will be on the Primary school children themselves. Given the high percentage chance of scope creep and budget issues within Moray/Highland council, the worst case scenario is an entire Primary school generation being denied access to vital common good land.	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		Having a new High School will be of great benefit in itself. But not on Common Good land	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I have a significant issue that a large enough percentage of the elected council have enough experience in planning, construction, infrastructure and logistics to make such a significant decision as has been made regarding Common Good land. I wholeheartedly believe the traffic and construction footfall will have a long lasting detrimental effect to personnel, property and reputation within Roysvale. I believe it will result in an excise of pupils from Applegrove as well as increased departure of local homeowners from Roysvale, dropping house prices, frustrating those who use the wider area and generally being seen a hugely negative investment for the local area.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I suspect the next phase of any sign off to Roysvale will be an appeal, and the net result of the decision to build on Roysvale will be delayed implementation of a much needed High School. I think the reputation the elected Council is held has taken a significant blow and in particular the manner in which some of the public representatives have behaved themselves at meetings has only exacerbated this perception.	
258	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		<p>I wholeheartedly OBJECT to the use of the Roysvale common good land for the proposals put forward by TMC. I object to both the short term construction compound and the longer term fixed removal of part of Roysvales green space for road/bus/car drop off point etc</p> <p>As it stands there are alternative options which do not require taking away this precious and much valued common good green space. There is absolutely no requirement to take part of it to create a bus/road/drop off/carpark. There are plans for a car park at current Academy site and I understand teachers/pupils will walk from this across roysvale to the applegrove site. There is no reason why the buses can't also continue to park in the current drop off zone.</p> <p>I am against the use of our common good land which is a beautiful green space with mature trees. It sits in the centre of a town in a populated area and many enjoy using this space for walking, sports, play and community connection. We are now well aware of the links between green space and mental and physical health and well being and to even consider taking part of this space and cutting down trees is unbelievable. The area left will be hugely reduced in size (in addition to the applegrove playing fieldd being taken away) and with how many less mature trees? From a community health and wellbeing andd green perspective I object. I understand this smaller green space, will then be common good land used by both an academy full of pupils and an entire primary school as the only green space for sports/PE etc? how can this be justified?</p> <p>It sits right next to a primary school and its completely inappropriate to suggest current plans given the already precarious road crossing on the sanquhar road side of the school and the blind corner of nelson road. Adding pulling out buses is a risky idea.</p> <p>Why does the TMC think it is appropriate to put a construction compound for 3-4 years on common good land right next to a primary school? whilst at the same time removing their green playing field space? this is not in the interest or for the common good of the community. There is No benefit.</p>	<p>use of the common good asset.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p> <p>The consultation document also details that once the consultation closes all representations received will be considered and a report provided to committee on the outcome of the consultation at which time a decision will be sought on the proposals.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		There are no benefits to removing green space and changing use of common good land into an unnecessary bus drop off area / car park space for a poorly placed high school. There is no benefit to the community to use this valuedd green space for a construction compound (just because the space for the high school is too small to have its own compound on its land) there is no benefit to cutting ddown mature trees. There is no benefit of increasing traffic flow to a common good land park right next to a very busy road/primary school.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Concerns about how will my objection be taken forward? Will it be listened to?I understand there will be a report created based on these responses? hopefully this report will NOT be completed by someone who is trying to push through the use of common goodland for TMC Applegrove/Academy site (the site which the majority of the Forres community did NOT vote for) ?	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
259	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of

		<p>I strongly disagree with these proposals to build a bus/car park on Roysvale common good land. I am also totally against putting a construction compound on the park for three plus years. Construction traffic will be dangerous in a residential area with two schools being accessed already. We need to keep our heritage and green spaces in Forres, particularly in an area of dense housing. I have children and grandchildren who have, and are enjoying the facilities provided naturally by Roysvale Park. These parks are precious spaces and should be retained for future generations.</p> <p>The reason for destroying Roysvale is to make the new academy site On Applegrove playing field viable. There is no need to do any of this, when you have a better site at Lochyhill.</p>	<p>use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	<p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		I see no potential benefits arising from these proposals.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, the promises to return part of the Park for common good will not be honoured as the park will be the only green space for the new academy to use, so will be unusable by the public during school hours.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The site is too small to accommodate a school of this size, let alone share it with a large primary and the community. Give the Forres Academy kids the school they deserve on the edge of town like other towns in Moray. Moray Council have failed them for too long.	
260	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p>
		I think it's the best solution for the town.	<p>Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Being in the town centre will be of benefit to local businesses, leisure facilities and primary schools. It will be commutable to more learners than the other option.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		No	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No	
261	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p>
		That it should not be developed	<p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Benefits are outweighed by the risks and issues	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Traffic, noise, loss of amenity and public open space, impact on drainage, loss of view and outlook in established residential area, over development	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	

		Will they even listen?	<p>playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p> <p>As detailed in the consultation document, Section 104 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires the Council to consult local communities prior to taking a decision on the disposal or change of use of Common Good assets.</p>
262	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document the proposed bus drop off area also incorporates a footpath and cycleway.</p>
		<p>I do not think that any part of the common good land should be used for a bus lane.</p> <p>It is a valuable green space which must be kept for the people of Forres. (We are already possibly losing a further large green space if the proposed site for the new Forres Academy goes ahead)</p> <p>Why does the bus lane have to be built on this land? There is a huge car park and bus parking area already in place behind the current Forres Academy.</p>	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		<p>I do not see any benefit from stealing part of the Common Good Land which was gifted to the people of Forres. It is such a well used space all year round with lovely mature trees surrounding it.</p>	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<p>I completely disagree with using any part of the Common Good Land.</p> <p>This green space is for the people of Forres to enjoy and there is no need for a bus lane to be situated on it.</p> <p>Why does it need to be built here? Presumably staff members and parents dropping children for school will still use the current car park, so why not keep the bus drop off there as well. This would also mean it was a safe distance away from the primary school. A huge number of primary pupils walk and cycle across Roysvale on their way to school - building a bus lane would have a huge impact on the safety of these children, not just while in construction but also while the bus lane were in use.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
263	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>Positive response to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land has been noted and will be included in the report to committee.</p>
		<p>Completely agree with the proposals - the only thing that doesn't work is the parking for the teachers being on the old site of the academy - a lot of teachers will not go into school empty handed and it's quite a walk having to carry a lot of things especially in horrid weather.</p>	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		<p>Keeping the academy central for the town is the best option, upgrading Roysvale would be if it the town - it isn't fit for purpose at the moment so any improvement is an improvement and the area could be utilised a lot better.</p>	

	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As above - just the proposed parking for staff of the school, it isn't very convenient.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
264	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I'm not sure that Roysvale Park is the right place to site a new school because there is no capacity to enlarge the school or its estate at a later date if so required. Having a 12m drop-off zone (including the cycle lane etc) means that the football pitch and running lanes are immediately adjacent to the drop off zone - this clearly reflects the limited outdoor space that will be created by the encroachment of the parking area. If this alienable land is to be taken from the Forres Common Good Fund, how will the fund be compensated for the loss of land and for the loss of amenity? Is the Council proposing to reimburse the fund the full commercial rate for the land lost?	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. If there are any payments received in relation to the use of the common good land this would be paid into the common good fund for Forres ASG
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		I'm struggling to see the benefits of the proposal given the constraints of the site and the traffic that will continue to back up on St Catherine's Road, Grantown Road, Mannachie Road, and adjacent streets by siting the new academy at Roysvale.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes - the impact of the construction of the school and its subsequent operation will have a negative impact on the sheltered housing complex immediately adjacent to the site. The rubbish generated by pupils at lunch time is likely to continue to get worse, attracting seagulls and other vermin to the site and into the centre of Forres.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Forres Academy clearly needs to be rebuilt as it is no longer fit for purpose and our young people deserve better. Unfortunately, I do not think that the site at Roysvale will allow the academy to develop and thrive in the way that staff and pupils would want. I am also concerned about the drainage of this low-lying area and am yet to be convinced that the proposals will solve this fundamental problem.	
265	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Allowing even temporary use of Common Good land, Roysvale park, really sets a very dangerous precedent..not just for Roysvale ,but for any other Common good land in Forres,for a gradual salami slicinga little bit more needed for this worthy idea, and a little bit more needed for the buses than was thought necessary at the outset...and so on. The use of part of the park use would be to further an idea that was rejected by the majority of people who took part in the previous (position of school survey).	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport
		The only benefits may be to the Council who seem unable to accept the previous outcome of the survey re.the site of the new school and will probably not accept the outcome of this survey should it show that the majority of respondents are not in favour of using the Roysvale park as a building site. Benefits to the many users of the park ? In having a large part of the park out of action for 2/3 years,in all the	

		<p>subsequent upheaval,noise, traffic etc.. the hope that all will be well afterwards?</p> <p>There is no benefit in denying public access to an area that is so greatly used by so many of the Forresians for whose enjoyment and use it was expressly left in trust.</p>	<p>assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<p>Roysvale Park ,in its entirety,is a fantastic green area,one of Forres's lungs in the centre of town as in the centre of the body.Removing even part of it temporarily would have the same effect as on the body...it would impact very severely the mental health and well-being of the countless people of Forres who make use of it daily...from footballers to dog walkers,families playing with children,joggers,children throwing frisbees.etc. ..the list is endless..And all of it is being used constantly for the very reason that it was given to the people of Forres.Towns need and are constantly encouraged to develop their central green areas for the mental health of their citizens...this is a backward step as far as that is concerned.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>Part of the Park to be used as a building site...who knows what environmental harm ,and harm to wildlife might proceed from this. There will be ongoing pollutions. ..of noise, of smell,a visually unpleasing sight for 2/3 years..And although promises will be be given that all will be well afterwards ,in fact better it is claimed,these promises cannot foresee the ecological damage that may occur and may take years to mitigate.Even a 2/3 year use of the Roysvale park for building site uses could well not only alter the land but change its value historically.</p> <p>It does seem odd that the findings of this survey are not being gathered by a third party who have no interest in the outcome. This will be queried in the future.</p>	
266	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.</p>
		This would be extremely damaging to the green space location on Roysvale which we should be protecting at all costs.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No, I can't see any benefits to this proposal. It's very short sighted of Moray Council!	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<p>.Loss of green space .Noise & disruption during the build .Negative impact on local housing Please listen to the local people of Forres. Roysvale is NOT the preferred option.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		No.	

267	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p>
		Please do not build on this land. As Forres grows larger with mundane estates where houses are crammed together our green spaces in town should be protected at ALL costs. Also, any newhousing will be built on the Elgin side of Forres between the business park and the town. This location would make more sense AND allow for better premises to be built without the constraints of the Roysvale site.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits other than a short term view on potential savings.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Please do not build on this land. As Forres grows larger with mundane estates where houses are crammed together our green spaces in town should be protected at ALL costs. Also, any newhousing will be built on the Elgin side of Forres between the business park and the town. This location would make more sense AND allow for better premises to be built without the constraints of the Roysvale site. Also, the site out of town would aid transportation and reduce speeding coaches and parents through that part of town.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		It would be nice if Moray Council actually listened to AND actioned the views of the Forres community.	
268	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p>
		I think that it will help with traffic and parking in the area.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Having the new Academy in the centre of town will help pupils who live in poorer households that do not have a car. They will have the opportunity to attend after school clubs and get home without being reliant on a bus. It will also support active travel more.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		I am concerned about drainage and surface water flooding on that area of town. The current sewage system is not coping with the number of buildings feeding in to it and often overflows. This needs addressing with the council or the government ensuring the Scottish water improve the infrastructure even if the Academy is not built here.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		What will happen to the swings on Roysvale Park during the building phase?Info about that is conflicting as the area appears to be covered by a space for a construction compound.	
269	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	<p>Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.</p> <p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park,</p>
		I think the current proposal is a financially driven one rather than what is best for the local community and the long term requirements for the new school. Roysvale area will not allow for future expansion, is likely to cause a lot of disruption to the immediate locality, we lose green space in the town centre and longer term I believe there may be issues with flooding. I see this development over spending and not providing adequately for the needs of our children.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No	

	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use
		As above	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
270	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation the common good is a fund of money and assets, formerly owned by a burgh and now owned and administered by the Moray Council.
		Roysvale Park is owned by the people of Forres and not by Moray Council. We value our green spaces and wish to keep them as they are, available for use by the populace.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		I can see no advantage on using this site for a new build school. The fact that the Lochyhill site is on the outskirts of the town is hardly a new concept and sets no precedent. There are in fact advantages to siting the new build in this location.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		It is our land and was always intended to give us a green space for public use and recreation. Moray Council has no right to steamroller over the democratic process and arbitrarily assume ownership of the land for their own purposes.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		I think the benefits of locating the new build on this area are far outweighed by the disadvantages of losing such a prime, common good fund owned, property. We love and appreciate our green areas and consider we, and not Moray Council, own them. LEAVE THEM ALONE PLEASE.	
271	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I do not view the proposals as a positive change for the area. Loss of any green space is a serious concern.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use. A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		I see no benefits to the proposals. The area of Roysvale will be out of use to the community for a number of years. And then there will be terrible traffic issues by bringing buses into the mix.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		As above. A generation will miss out on using Roysvale for community football. If a bus lane is added and common good land taken away, will that just be the tip of the ice burg? It's a very dangerous precedent to set. Common good land isn't there to assist with the cost of building a new school, that's for the council to manage. Common good land is for the good of the people of the town, forever.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		As above	
272	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. As outlined in the consultation document, if the proposal is taken forward, all of the well established trees will be retained or replaced as required. In addition the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public
		I strongly oppose proposals to the change of Common Good assets of Roysvale Park. I contend that benefits stated by TMC are disputable and not in the interests of the local community. There is no guarantee that improved drainage will be enhanced. SEPA and Scottish Water reports are not yet known. Traffic flow will not be improved on an already gridlocked road infrastructure. There is no room to enlarge and improve the surrounding roads to deal with increased traffic flows. A dedicated bus drop off will only increase the traffic congestion Sanquhar Road and Orchard Road. TMC has offered conflicting information as to how the public	

		schools can use the Roysvale Park with contradictory views as to whether the Roysvale Park grass area will need to be fenced off for Applegrove pupils to safely use the area.	<p>use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		Those supporting the Roysvale/ Applegrove site focus on the centrality benefit but this is no longer relevant as Mr Hall stated on the 14 May 2024 that if the new Forres Academy requires to be expanded in the future at the Roysvale site, the academy could extend into the current Applegrove Primary School site and a primary school would need to be built at Lochyhill. This was in response to Graham Leadbitter, the current MP, highlighting that the investment at Ardersier with potential of 3000 jobs would see a migration of young families to the Moray Firth area which would require the new academy to expand in response.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		<p>The process to change the use of CGL will delay the commencement of building construction and could be a lengthy and costly process. TMC appears to be going down a route that is inefficient, resource- intensive and will result in unwelcome delays.</p> <p>The reduction of green space negatively impacts all. Councillor van der Horn recently reported in The Forres Gazette that "Access to green spaces and clean air alleviates stress and encourages physical activity while environmental degradation leads to health issues and higher health costs." This is ironical as Councillor van der Horn is supporting the building on Roysvale/Applegrove green spaces. (The two are interlinked as without change of use of CGL there can be no building of a new school.) Nor does he express any concerns about the potential loss of mature trees on both sites which as a green councillor one would expect.</p> <p>Two public consultations have raised more questions than answers and the replies that concerns will "be mitigated" does not reassure the public who already do not feel that their views have been listened to since the outcome of the May survey favoured Lochyhill as the preferred site. Information to the public continues to be sketchy and inconsistent.</p> <p>SEPA recommends avoiding building up ground levels on or near a flood plain. TMC is proposing not only building on an area which is prone to flooding but they are also proposing elevating the new school building which will increase the risk of flooding to surrounding properties.</p>	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		<p>It is an obligation that change of CGL is for the benefit of the citizens of the burgh. This cannot be achieved by the change of use of Roysvale Park. Dog walkers will lose the much used green space, the joggers lose their running track, the public lose their space to walk and chat with residents nearby, the many football teams who play regularly lose their venue, the veterans in the cottages behind the park lose their view and access to the park. The park is a meeting place for multiple groups within our community and protecting and preserving this precious green space is what we owe to future generations.</p> <p>Losing precious green space to accommodate a bus drop off lane seems to me to be a huge price to pay and is disrespecting the history and heritage of the burgh. After all</p>	

		the walk from the existing bus and car car park at the Forres Academy to the Applegrove site is merely 200 metres.	
273	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		Taking away any green space / common good land in the centre of a town is an utterly nonsensical idea. There is an obesity crisis in Scotland and the rest of the Uk. Mental illness cases are increasing monthly. Green open spaces in towns are proven to be beneficial to the physical and well being of children and adults. So why propose to permanently take some of that space away when there an alternative available.	The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
		I don't see any benefits in using common good land in order to facilitate the building of a new school that would be hemmed in on all four sides by roads, a primary school, and common good land that is used by all ages for recreation and sport. The proposed footprint for the new school building is right up to the boundary on three sides leaving no room for expansion in the future. Why build a new state of the art school in a place that allows no room for expansion and no areas for outdoor sports activities.	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/ mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes. There would be an unacceptable loss of community green space to build a drop-off bus zone on Sanquhar Road. This green space would be lost forever if a bus lane were to be constructed. Sanquhar Road is currently heavily congested in the morning and afternoons with parents taking primary school children to and from Applegrove School by car and the buses travelling to and from Forres Academy. Surely the logical thing would be for buses to continue to use the car park behind the current Academy building or to select another site for the new school and take the high school traffic away from the centre of town.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Stopping access to the public to any common good land that is used all year round , or permanently removing some of that common good land for a bus lane benefits no one in the long run. Roysvale Park, which is situated in the central area of tow is not a big area and the permanent loss of any of it is a poor decision, especially in view of the alternative large open site for a new school that is available a short distance away.	
274	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.
		I have major concerns about the proposals related to: Taking land that is common good when other suitable land is available (e.g. the car park of the existing Academy is underused - and parking could be elsewhere) The timescale which is far too long - almost 4 years - for the scale and size of project and too long to be denied access to that part of the park The level of disturbance on a relatively quiet road (Sanquhar Road) which is also in a quiet area. Sanquhar Road is currently only busy during drop and pick up times for the school	As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use.
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment which would involve an assessment
		As no alternative is offered there are no benefits to be considered against such an alternative.	

	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	of the capacity/operation of the existing network and will identify any areas where intervention/mitigation is necessary in order to address any additional traffic generated as a result of the development.
		See response to question 1.	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		The proposals are not in keeping with the intent of land for common good and, therefore, the proposal should be reconsidered.	
275	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset. The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy. As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.
		Major construction such as this should not be carried out in a residential area. Roysvale Park is for public use & should not be allowed to be swallowed up by moray council for their own means. Residents will have to live with years of noise pollution, facility disruption to water, power possibly, possible Internet disruption in a society where many people work now from home. Disturbing ground works could increase risk of vermin & will have pose a severe risk of flooding local homes by taking away the grassy natural drainage. It's a disgrace that any school complex is being squashed into a green space that provides vital outdoor escapism from bricks & stone multi story buildings. The school will divert all sunshine away from the local residents surrounding it, creating a blot on the current pleasant landscape.	
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		No benefits. School children in Forres deserve a modern school in a large open plan area, with plenty of land to develop outdoor & indoor sports facilities & activity areas. Moray Council lacks forward thinking & is not honest with the public because it cannot see past it's own motives & agendas it lacks vision & will be money driven rather than putting people first. Plus any man-made drainage in the area that they may offer as a carrot will not suffice, it will simply push the water somewhere else.	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		Yes, the park is next door to houses, as soon as they start digging into it they will create underground vibrations that will disturb an already waterlogged bed in a boggy area which may start the underground surface to fall apart which has potential to create subsidence causing residents homes to crack or sink, causing serious structural damage which has a knock on effect for house insurance cost to escalate especially if flooding also becomes an issue, as a home owner I can barely afford the yearly insurance as it is now & that's without having claimed on it. I also worry about older people having to face the past few years of their lives listening & being disturbed by construction works. My thoughts also go to pets, many of whom will lose their park to run in, and will also face noise disturbance. A field would be a better site away from the town altogether for room to expand the school & have other facilities join it in future	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		Moray Council should not be allowed to take over common good land that they say they will reinstate afterwards. It will run into problems & they will end up finding solutions that will mean the park having to be used as increased space for the new school & it will never get reinstated. Once the work starts they won't turn back and it will just be another disaster in the making & it will be the children & the local residents that will suffer the most.	
276	Q1	What are your views on the proposals in relation to Roysvale Park?	Thank you for your representation to the Statutory Consultation in respect of the proposed change of use of the common good asset.

		Roysvale Park should be left as it is for football for old and young for after school and weekends	<p>The consultation relates specifically to the proposed short term disposal and change of use of Common Good Land and is not seeking views regarding the preferred site for the new Forres Academy.</p> <p>As outlined in the consultation document, the proposal has been developed to minimise the area of common good land being used during the construction period so that the park, swing park, footpath and playing fields can still be used by the public, and on completion the majority will be returned for public use with improved drainage to enhance community use of the green space/ playing fields for future use</p> <p>A planning application will need to be separately submitted for consideration which would consider the design, layout, noise and transport assessment. Any planning application will have to have regard to relevant policies on flooding and SEPA is a statutory consultee for planning applications whose comments would be fed into the design and the application.</p>
	Q2	Do you have any views on the potential benefits of the proposals?	
		It is too waterlogged for any Buildings. Why should Applegrove children be done out of their play parks	
	Q3	Do you have any issues or concerns arising from the proposals?	
		The roads ar not suitable for such heavy traffic. You waste money putting pavements in then ripping them up. No wonder you have no money	
	Q4	Do you have any additional comments?	
		the young children shouldn't have to go out to Lochyhill not everyone has cars to take them there. Not suitable on such a busy road	