

The Moray Council Council Office High Street Elgin IV30 1BX Tel: 0300 1234561 Email: development.control@moray.gov.uk

Applications cannot be validated until all the necessary documentation has been submitted and the required fee has been paid.

Thank you for completing this application form:

ONLINE REFERENCE 100602338-001

The online reference is the unique reference for your online form only. The Planning Authority will allocate an Application Number when your form is validated. Please quote this reference if you need to contact the planning Authority about this application.

Description of Proposal

Please describe accurately the work proposed: * (Max 500 characters)

Retrospective planning for boundary fence with amended drawings to the requirements of the transport managers comments in previous application

Has the work already been started and/ or completed? *

T No \leq Yes - Started \leq Yes - Completed

Applicant or Agent Details

Are you an applicant or an agent? * (An agent is an architect, consultant or someone else acting on behalf of the applicant in connection with this application)

T Applicant \leq Agent

Applicant Details						
Please enter Applicant details						
Title:	Mr	You must enter a Bu	uilding Name or Number, or both: *			
Other Title:		Building Name:				
First Name: *	Michael	Building Number:	94			
Last Name: *	Barron	Address 1 (Street): *	Springfield road			
Company/Organisation		Address 2:				
Telephone Number: *		Town/City: *	Elgin			
Extension Number:		Country: *	Scotland			
Mobile Number:		Postcode: *	lv306yu			
Fax Number:						
Email Address: *						
Site Address	Details					
Planning Authority:	Moray Council					
Full postal address of th	ne site (including postcode where available	e):				
Address 1:	94 SPRINGFIELD ROAD					
Address 2:						
Address 3:						
Address 4:						
Address 5:						
Town/City/Settlement:	ELGIN					
Post Code:	IV30 6YU					
Please identify/describe the location of the site or sites						
Northing	861385	Easting	321513			

Pre-Application Discussion					
Have you discussed your propos	sal with the planning author	rity? *	$T \text{ Yes} \leq \text{ No}$		
Pre-Application D	Discussion Det	ails Cont.			
In what format was the feedback	a aiven? *				
	one ≤ Letter	T Email			
Please provide a description of t agreement [note 1] is currently in	the feedback you were give	en and the name of the officer who prov tly discussing a processing agreement ith this application more efficiently.) * (r	with the planning authority, please		
information on applying for pe	rmission with updated drav	vings of previous application			
Title:	Mr	Other title:			
First Name:	Andrew	Last Name:	Miller		
Correspondence Reference Number:		Date (dd/mm/yyyy):	17/01/2022		
~ ~	•	ey stages involved in determining a plan les for the delivery of various stages of			
Trees					
Are there any trees on or adjace	ent to the application site? *		\leq Yes T No		
If yes, please mark on your draw any are to be cut back or felled.	vings any trees, known prot	tected trees and their canopy spread cl	ose to the proposal site and indicate if		
Access and Parki	ing				
Are you proposing a new or alter	red vehicle access to or fro	m a public road? *	\leq Yes T No		
		ition of any existing, altered or new accoaths and note if there will be any impa			
Planning Service	Employee/Ele	cted Member Interes	t		
Is the applicant, or the applicant elected member of the planning		member of staff within the planning ser	vice or an \leq Yes T No		
Certificates and N	Notices				
CERTIFICATE AND NOTICE UN PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) RI		TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT		
One Certificate must be complet Certificate B, Certificate C or Ce		th the application form. This is most use	ually Certificate A, Form 1,		
Are you/the applicant the sole ov	wner of ALL the land? *		T Yes \leq No		
s any of the land part of an agricultural holding? * \leq Yes T No					

Certificate Required

The following Land Ownership Certificate is required to complete this section of the proposal:

Certificate A

Land Ownership Certificate

Certificate and Notice under Regulation 15 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013

Certificate A

I hereby certify that -

- (1) No person other than myself/the applicant was an owner (Any person who, in respect of any part of the land, is the owner or is the lessee under a lease thereof of which not less than 7 years remain unexpired.) of any part of the land to which the application relates at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the accompanying application.
- (2) None of the land to which the application relates constitutes or forms part of an agricultural holding

Signed: Mr Michael Barron

On behalf of:

Date: 05/10/2022

T Please tick here to certify this Certificate. *

Checklist – Application for Householder Application

Please take a few moments to complete the following checklist in order to ensure that you have provided all the necessary information in support of your application. Failure to submit sufficient information with your application may result in your application being deemed invalid. The planning authority will not start processing your application until it is valid.

			T	_
~1	Have you provided a written door	ription of the development to which it relates?. *	T Yes :	< N/2
a)	have you provided a written descr	iption of the development to which it relates?.	1 165.	INC

- b) Have you provided the postal address of the land to which the development relates, or if the land in question T Yes \leq No has no postal address, a description of the location of the land? *
- c) Have you provided the name and address of the applicant and, where an agent is acting on behalf of the T Yes \leq No applicant, the name and address of that agent.? *
- d) Have you provided a location plan sufficient to identify the land to which it relates showing the situation of the T Yes \leq No land in relation to the locality and in particular in relation to neighbouring land? *. This should have a north point and be drawn to an identified scale.
- e) Have you provided a certificate of ownership? * T Yes \leq No
- f) Have you provided the fee payable under the Fees Regulations? * T Yes \leq No
- g) Have you provided any other plans as necessary? * T Yes \leq No

Continued on the next page

A copy of the other plans and drawings or information necessary to describe the proposals (two must be selected). *

You can attach these electronic documents later in the process.

- T Existing and Proposed elevations.
- ≤ Existing and proposed floor plans.
- ≤ Cross sections.
- T Site layout plan/Block plans (including access).
- ≤ Roof plan.
- ≤ Photographs and/or photomontages.

Additional Surveys – for example a tree survey or habitat survey may be needed. In some instances you \leq Yes T No may need to submit a survey about the structural condition of the existing house or outbuilding.

A Supporting Statement – you may wish to provide additional background information or justification for your Proposal. This can be helpful and you should provide this in a single statement. This can be combined with a Design Statement if required. *

You must submit a fee with your application. Your application will not be able to be validated until the appropriate fee has been Received by the planning authority.

Declare – For Householder Application


I, the applicant/agent certify that this is an application for planning permission as described in this form and the accompanying Plans/drawings and additional information.

Declaration Name: Mr Michael Barron

Declaration Date: 05/10/2022



ELEVATION FROM SPRINGFIELD ROAD 1-100




Rev A - Fence line at RHS amended, Fence height at LHS lowered. Jan '22

Retrospective Planning Application,
Fence Erected to side of House,
94 Springfield Road,
Elgin,
IV30 6YU.
Mr M. Barron



ELEVATION FROM SPRINGFIELD ROAD 1-100



Rev A - Fence line at RHS amended, Fence height at LHS lowered. Jan '22

Retrospective Planning Application,
Fence Erected to side of House,
94 Springfield Road,
Elgin,
IV30 6YU.
Mr M. Barron


My partner and I moved into our property on June 4th 2017. We decided in march 2018 to replace the existing 1.2 meter high boundary fence with a 1.8 meter high fence to the rear. This was due to several concerning issues. School kids littering our garden, throwing items of food at our dog and in some cases opening the gate and letting him out, this resulted in us not being able to let him into the garden during school hours when the school kids were out. The head teacher was contacted by myself on a number of occasions and is happy to be contacted with regards to the issues we discussed. Items from our garden such as plants and garden ornaments aswell as our garden bench going missing which lead to us installing CCTV directed at the front and rear of the property.

We also had many issues with people leaving the local pub and entering our garden aswell as the garden of the property to the front of our house, to relieve themselves.


By replacing the 1.2 meter boundary fence with a 1.8 meter high boundary fence this provided us with the much needed privacy and also a safe and secure environment for our nephew, dog and future children of our own.

At the time of doing so we were unaware of the need for planning permission as the fence height of 1.8 meters is the same scale as properties across the road from us and in the surrounding area local to us and around Elgin as a whole.

Included in this application is a revised drawing of the fence, we have addressed the points set out by the transportation manager, who in their report in our previous application acknowledged the need for privacy due to the high number of pedestrian and vehicle movement in the area. On receiving letters of notification of our previous planning application a number of our neighbors came to us with concerns as to what was going on, being aware of the difficulties we occurred four years ago. We also recently had a school kid enter our driveway, walk up to our door and get his friend to film him for a tik-tok video heavily kicking our front door whilst we were at work. We were alerted to this by our ring doorbell and was recorded on our front of house CCTV. None of these details about the issues we were having were noted in our initial planning application as our architect submitted the application without knowing the details. We are expecting our first child in january and we fear the removal of the fence would leave us with an unsafe garden to bring our child up in aswell as having a major affect on our nephews routine as this would involve him not being able to play in the garden aswel as our previous problems returning.



ELEVATION FROM SPRINGFIELD ROAD 1-100



Retrospective Planning Application,
Fence Erected to side of House,
94 Springfield Road,
Elgin,
IV30 6YU.
Mr M. Barron

Consultation Request Notification

Planning Authority Name	Moray Council
Response Date	21st October 2022
Planning Authority	22/01451/APP
Reference	
Nature of Proposal	Retrospective planning for boundary fence at
(Description)	Treatespective planning for boundary ferroe at
Site	94 Springfield Road
Oite	Elgin
	Moray
	IV30 6YU
	1700 010
Site Postcode	N/A
Site Gazetteer UPRN	000133029398
Proposal Location Easting	321513
Proposal Location Northing	861385
Area of application site (M²)	
Additional Comment	
Development Hierarchy	LOCAL
Level	
Supporting Documentation	https://publicaccess.moray.gov.uk/eplanning/ce
URL	ntralDistribution.do?caseType=Application&ke
	yVal=RJBI0TBGIY000
Previous Application	21/01030/APP
Date of Consultation	7th October 2022
Is this a re-consultation of	No
an existing application?	
Applicant Name	Mr Michael Barron
Applicant Organisation	
Name	
Applicant Address	94 Springfield road
	Elgin
	Scotland
	lv306yu
Agent Name	
Agent Organisation Name	
Agent Address	
Agent Phone Number	AL/A
Agent Email Address	N/A
Case Officer	Sheila Bernard
Case Officer Phone number	
Case Officer email address	sheila.bernard@moray.gov.uk
PA Response To	consultation.planning@moray.gov.uk

NOTE

If you do not respond by the response date, it will be assumed that you have no comment to make.

The statutory period allowed for a consultation response is 14 days. Due to scheduling

pressures if a definitive response is not received within 21 days this may well cause the two month determination period to be exceeded.

Data Protection - Moray Council is the data controller for this process. Information collected about you on this form will be used to process your Planning Application, and the Council has a duty to process your information fairly. Information we hold must be accurate, up to date, is kept only for as long as is necessary and is otherwise shared only where we are legally obliged to do so. You have a legal right to obtain details of the information that we hold about you. For full terms please visit http://www.moray.gov.uk/moray standard/page 121513.html

For full Data Protection policy, information and rights please see http://www.moray.gov.uk/moray.standard/page-119859.html

You can contact our Data Protection Officer at info@moray.gov.uk or 01343 562633 for more information.

Please respond using the attached form:-

MORAY COUNCIL

PLANNING CONSULTATION RESPONSE

From: Transportation Manager

Planning Application Ref. No: 22/01451/APP
Retrospective planning for boundary fence at 94 Springfield Road Elgin Moray IV30 6YU for Mr Michael Barron

I have	e the following comments to make on the application:-	
	9	Please
(a)	I OBJECT to the application for the reason(s) as stated below	
(b)	I have NO OBJECTIONS to the application and have no condition(s) and/or comment(s) to make on the proposal	
(c)	I have NO OBJECTIONS to the application subject to condition(s) and/or comment(s) about the proposal as set out below	x
(d)	Further information is required in order to consider the application as set out below	

This proposal relates to a previous retrospective planning application for the erection of a high boundary fence (21/01030/APP), and is effectively to now alter the erected boundaries in order to accommodate suitable pedestrian and vehicular visibility splays.

The submitted proposals show the lowering of the existing boundary fence adjacent to the vehicular access and adjacent to the existing remote footpath along the south east corner of the site; along with the setting back of the existing boundary fence located along the south west corner of the site; and thereby effectively re-instating the (key) visibility splays which were previously available prior to the high boundary being erected

Transportation therefore has no objections to the proposed alterations.

Condition(s)

 Within three months of the date of this decision notice the sections of the existing high boundary fence shall be set back/ reduced in height in accordance with submitted drawing number 1 Revision A.

Reason: To ensure acceptable development in the interests of road safety.

Further comment(s) to be passed to applicant

Planning consent does not carry with it the right to carry out works within the public road boundary.

No building materials/scaffolding/builder's skip shall obstruct the public road (including footpaths) without permission from the Roads Authority.

Contact: AG Date 25 October 2022

email address: transport.develop@moray.gov.uk

Consultee: TRANSPORTATION

Return response to	consultation.planning@moray.gov.uk

Please note that information about the application including consultation responses and representations (whether in support or objection) received on the proposal will be published on the Council's website at http://publicaccess.moray.gov.uk/eplanning/ (You can also use this site to track progress of the application and view details of any consultation responses and representations (whether in support or objection) received on the proposal). In order to comply with the Data Protection Act, personal information including paratrees, personal atelephone and email details will be removed prior to publication using "redaction" software to avoid (or mask) the display of such information. Where appropriate other "sensitive" information within documents will also be removed prior to publication online.

REPORT OF HANDLING

Ref No:	22/01451/APP	Officer:	Fiona Olsen			
Proposal Description/ Address	Retrospective permission for boundary for 6YU	tive permission for boundary fence at 94 Springfield Road Elgin Moray IV30				
Date:	06.01.2023	Typist Initials:	SS			

RECOMMENDATION		
Approve, without or with condition(s) listed below		
Refuse, subject to reason(s) listed below		Y
Legal Agreement required e.g. S,75		
Notification to Scottish Ministers/Historic Scotland		
	Departure	
Hearing requirements	Pre-determination	

CONSULTATIONS		
Consultee	Date Returned	Summary of Response
Transportation Manager	25/10/22	No Objections subject to a condition

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICY			
Policies	Dep	Any Comments (or refer to Observations below)	
PP1 Placemaking	Υ	See below	
DP1 Development Principles	Υ	See below	

REPRESENTATIONS	
Representations Received	NO
Total number of representations received	1
Names/Addresses of parties submitting representations	
Summary and Assessment of main issues raised by representations	
Issue:	
Comments (PO):	

OBSERVATIONS – ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSAL

Section 25 of the 1997 Act as amended requires applications to be determined in accordance with the development plan i.e. the adopted Moray Local Development Plan 2020 (MLDP) unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The main planning issues are considered below:

Proposal

The application seeks retrospective planning permission for a boundary fence which encloses an existing domestic garden.

The existing fence measures approx. 1.82m to the rear and side boundaries. The fence slopes down to a height of approx. 1.2m to the front boundary and is constructed in timber, stained in a dark brown.

The application proposes to reduce the height of the fence on part of the side boundary to 1.2m in order to ensure an appropriate pedestrian visibility splay is achieved at the property access.

Site

The site is located at 92 Springfield Road, New Elgin, Elgin and is an existing semi-detached property with gable end facing onto Springfield Road. The house fronts to a footpath to the west, with houses either side of the path. To the rear, the house backs on to a path and area of open space.

Site History

A previous application was submitted for retrospective permission for the fence in 2021 (21/01030/APP refers) and was subsequently refused. These proposals are slightly altered from the previous application in that the fence is proposed to be lowered at the eastern end of the side boundary to approx. 1.2m in height in order to provide a pedestrian visibility splay. These alterations to the fence height have not yet been undertaken.

Policy Assessment

Siting and Design (MLDP 2020 Policies DP1 & PP3)

This application is assessed against policies DP1 and PP3 of the Moray Local Development plan 2020. Policy DP1 requires that the scale, density and character of all development be appropriate to the surrounding area, be integrated into the surrounding landscape and not adversely impact upon neighbouring properties in terms of privacy, daylight or overbearing loss of amenity.

Policy PP1 requires that developments are designed to prevent crime, fear of crime and anti-social behaviour with good levels of natural surveillance and security using treatments such as low boundary walls. It also states that unbroken high boundary treatments such as wooden fencing and blank gables onto routes, open spaces and communal areas will not be acceptable.

The application seeks to retrospective permission for a 1.82m metre fence (to the rear and side boundaries) and 1.2m fence (to the front boundary) at an existing dwellinghouse. Although it is proposed to reduce the fence in height partially on the side boundary (to approx. 1.2m), the majority of the side boundary and entirety of the rear boundary is proposed to be retained at approx 1.82m in height.

The existing site and surrounding area are characterised by terraced or semi-detached dwellinghouses, set along an established building line in a uniform manner. These properties are enclosed by low rise boundary treatments, particularly where they bound pathways, which results in most housing having open aspect gardens (including to the side and rear boundaries). The high level boundary fence here (at approx. 1.82m) would fully enclose the side and rear garden in a manner not in keeping with the established open aspect character present along Springfield Road and surrounding streets. As a result, a high level boundary fence in this location would be out of keeping with the established character of low level boundary fencing in this area and would therefore be unacceptable in terms of policy DP1.

An area of open space lies to the rear of the property, with a number of neighbouring properties forming pedestrian access onto this area. These properties form a 'U' shape and are all defined by low level boundary fencing. This open aspect ensures provision of natural surveillance to the area of open space which reduces crime. Again the high level side boundary fence faces onto a footpath,

running along the edge of Springfield Road. Therefore the proposal for a high level boundary fence in this location would not comply with policy PP1 which does not permit unbroken high level boundary enclosures where they face onto routes, open spaces and communal areas.

Road Safety (DP1)

Policy DP1 requires all development to provide a safe and suitable access to the road network. The submitted plans show the lowering of the existing boundary fence adjacent to the vehicular access and adjacent to the existing remote footpath along the south east corner of the site; along with the setting back of the existing boundary fence located along the south west corner of the site; and thereby effectively re-instating the (key) visibility splays which were previously available prior to the high boundary being erected. The Transportation Section have been consulted and are satisfied with the amendments to the boundary fence proposed and would have no objections, subject to a condition requiring the required sections of fence to be lowered and set back, in accordance with the submitted drawings, within three months of any decision date. This however would not overcome the aforementioned objections and the application will be refused.

Conclusion

The existing high level boundary fence is out of keeping with the established open aspect character of the existing site and neighbouring housing and would therefore fail to comply with Policy DP1 (i) (a). The high level fencing would also partially enclose an area of open space to the rear of the property which requires natural surveillance in order to reduce crime and would therefore fail to comply with policy PP1 (ii).

OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT

None.

HISTORY				
Reference No.	Description	า		
	•	ve application for fen Moray IV30 6YU	ce erected to side of l	house at 94 Springfield
21/01030/APP	Decision	Refuse	Date Of Decision	08/10/21

ADVERT			
Advert Fee paid?	N/A		
Local Newspaper	Reason for Advert	Date of expiry	

DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTION	S (PGU)
Status	N/A

DOCUMENTS, ASSESSMENTS etc. * * Includes Environmental Statement, Appropriate Assessment, Design Statement, Design and Access Statement, RIA, TA, NIA, FRA etc				
Supporting information submitted with application?		NO		
Summary of main issues raised in each statement/assessment/report				
Document Name:				
Main Issues:				

S.75 AGREEMENT	
Application subject to S.75 Agreement	NO
Summary of terms of agreement:	
Location where terms or summary of terms can be inspected:	

Section 30 Relating to EIA			
Section 31	Requiring planning authority to provide information and restrict grant of planning permission	NO	
Section 32	Requiring planning authority to consider the imposition of planning conditions	NO	



MORAY COUNCIL TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997, as amended

REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

[Elgin City South]
Application for Planning Permission

TO



With reference to your application for planning permission under the above mentioned Act, the Council in exercise of their powers under the said Act, have decided to **REFUSE** your application for the following development:-

Retrospective permission for boundary fence at 94 Springfield Road Elgin Moray IV30 6YU

and for the reason(s) set out in the attached schedule.

Date of Notice: 12 January 2023



HEAD OF ECONOMIC GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

Economy, Environment and Finance Moray Council Council Office High Street ELGIN Moray IV30 1BX

(Page 1 of 3) Ref: 22/01451/APP

IMPORTANT YOUR ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO THE REASONS and NOTES BELOW

SCHEDULE OF REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL

By this Notice, Moray Council has REFUSED this proposal. The Council's reason(s) for this decision are as follows: -

- 1. The high level boundary fence is out of keeping with the established open aspect character of the existing site and neighbouring housing and would therefore fail to comply with Policy DP1 (i) (a).
- 2. The high level fencing would partially enclose an area of open space to the rear of the property which requires natural surveillance in order to reduce crime and would therefore fail to comply with policy PP1 (ii).

LIST OF PLANS AND DRAWINGS SHOWING THE DEVELOPMENT

The following plans and drawings form part of the decision:-

Reference Version	Title
	Location Plan
	Elevations

(Page 2 of 3) Ref: 22/01451/APP

NOTICE OF APPEAL TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997

If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months from the date of this notice. The notice of review should be addressed to The Clerk, Moray Council Local Review Body, Legal and Committee Services, Council Offices, High Street, Elgin IV30 1BX. This form is also available and can be submitted online or downloaded from www.eplanning.scotland.gov.uk

If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land in accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

(Page 3 of 3) **Ref: 22/01451/APP**