

Notice of Review: Planning Application 20/01658/APP -

Objection

Specifically looking at our property of Park View, you will see in our provided photographs just how close the proposed access bridge is from our back door. It is mere yards from our main entrance, kitchen window and bedroom window. The photographs that Mr Proctor provide are somewhat misleading and do not show the true proximity that this development is to our home.

You have stated 'the proposal has been demonstrated to have no impact upon residents amenities in terms of privacy, daylight or being overbearing'. We have recently, within the last 2 years, opened up our kitchen dining area to enjoy more time in this room, adding a breakfast bar to enjoy the views. There is proposed trees to be built to help with privacy, yet this will just obstruct our views.

You have stated that traffic increase will be insignificant. At present there are only walkers and horse riders using this route. Building a family home will of course bring more traffic; families visiting and Mr Proctor using his agricultural vehicles on a daily basis for example. In time, Mr Proctor will, I'm sure have his own family, who will eventually have their own vehicles. This will create a level of noise going up and down this road past our property. The consistent noise, lighting and views of these vehicles would be incredibly invasive and indeed, "overbearing" to our privacy and peace. We cannot emphasise enough how much this will impact the quiet life we plan to retire in.

We would like to whole-heartedly reiterate; this development would have significant impact on privacy and way of life. We built our property over 30 years ago in the country specifically to enjoy the peace and quiet country life brings. The proposal of Mr Proctors property would have a detrimental impact in our lives. At present date we have four young grandchildren who can enjoy the open grounds freely when they visit on a weekly basis. This would need to be stopped due to the vehicles so close to the property, it would no longer be safe.

I would like to note that we did have discussions with Mr Proctor regarding his access, but he was unable to negotiate with ourselves on alternate solutions. We stressed to Mr Proctor that the main issue we have with the development is the access route being so close to our home. Furthermore, we would also note that we have, ourselves, maintained this road, never Mr Proctor.

We understand that the farming business is very important however it has been stated that Mr Proctor has been trying to get planning permission now for 12 years. He sold one of his plots within this time frame, that was then put back on the market and was, for a number of years, why was this land not purchased back? It would clearly have provided a sound option for the development being in close proximity to the farm in question?

Mr Proctor has also provided photographs of other new build in the area. We find these to be irrelevant. We do not know the circumstances of these new builds and if they indeed had any impact on the occupiers at that time.

Although we do sympathise and understand the importance of the family business, these developments to aid in the running of the business cannot be to the detriment of others. It is unfair to expect us and those who share our living area to support a development that will invade our privacy and have hugely negative effect on the protected region that we live in.

We respectfully ask Mr Proctor and his family to explore other options and consider other areas of land that are not protected zones and do not impact the living of those who current reside there.





Proposed bridge



Close proximity of neighbouring properties