

LR 182

Having read through the document provided by Mrs. C. Howie, very carefully, we would like to make the following comments.

Page 1.

"Demolish existing commercial building and erect 2 dwelling houses on BROWNFIELD SITE".

My recollection of this description refers to the site being ex-industrial but the **GREATER** majority of the new property is going to be on the old garden of 67 Clifton Road.

Site address given as Chapel Lane, Lossiemouth.

The description given at the bottom of every page and on page 7 , figure 4 states -

CHAPEL STREET, LOSSSIEMOUTH.

Page 2.

Section 1.2 and 1.3.

Is this really relevant?

Section 1.4 bullet point 3 and 4

I refer you to the original comments made by us.

This application is on top of **16/01251/APP** and has basically changed nothing!

The ground floor level is still 10.00m.

The ridge height has only been reduced by some 475mm, albeit that the Dormers have been replaced by Velux.

The original application said, "Demolish existing shed and erect 2 dwelling houses on garage site." The amount of new build on the shed profile is only approximately 14%.

Basically:-

The properties could be described as storey and a half - not bungalows.

The properties will still overshadow and overlook Wyndale's windows.

The ground floor level still needs to reduce to 9.300m. [REDACTED] is 9.036m.

The properties still need to go at least 1 m closer to Chapel Lane preferably more.

The boundary stone wall needs to be increased by 600mm in STONE.

Does this appear to address ALL of the concerns?

Page 7 point 4.3.2

Even in the drawing submitted it still shows that all the rear windows of [REDACTED] are overlooked. The only one escaping is the [REDACTED] Bathroom because that is Frosted Glass!

Page 12 Section 5.2

Correct in one point. We do not object to development on the principle of **NIMBY**.

ALL WE ASK IS THAT THERE IS "GIVE AND TAKE" ON BOTH SIDES.

NAMELY:-

LOWER THE FLOOR LEVELS.

MOVE THE PROPERTY AT LEAST 2M CLOSER TO CHAPEL LANE.

This will also provide a safer bigger back garden environment for young families **WHILST** still providing sufficient room for vehicle maneuverers at the front. This is the "market" that has been suggested is needed in Lossiemouth.

Mike Wilkes pp Harry Lofts.