Complaints Monitoring Report Corporate Services

2016/17 Quarter 2 - July to September 2016

Total Complaints Received and Total Compla	Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed												
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17								
Total number of complaints received	5	18	13	9	6								
Total number of complaints closed	3	22	11	9	9								

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investiga	tive Stag	es as a p	ercentag	e of all o	omplain	s closed	l			
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	3	100%	16	73%	9	82%	6	67%	7	78%
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	0	0%	5	23%	2	18%	3	33%	1	11%
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / part	Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage													
	Q2 20	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		16/17	Q2 20	16/17				
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%				
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	0	0%	2	13%	3	33%	3	50%	4	57%				
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	0%	5	31%	2	22%	0	0%	1	14%				
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	1	33%	9	56%	4	44%	3	50%	2	29%				

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / stage	Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage													
	Q2 20	15/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17					
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%				
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	0	N/A	1	20%	1	50%	2	67%	1	100%				
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	0	N/A	2	40%	1	50%	1	33%	0	0%				
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	0	N/A	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage														
	Q2 20	Q2 2015/16		15/16	Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20)16/17				
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%				
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	N/A	1	100%	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	100%				
Number of Escalated complaints partially														
upheld	0	N/A	0	0%	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%				
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	0%	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%				

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage												
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17							
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	2	4	3	3.5	3.1							
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	N/A	18	21	15	20							
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	21	0	N/A	1							

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days													
	Q2 20	15/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20	16/17			
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%			
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	3	100%	15	94%	9	100%	5	83%	7	100%			
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	N/A	N/A	4	80%	0	0%	3	100%	1	100%			
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	100%			

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised													
	Q2 20	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		15/16	Q1 20	16/17	Q2 20	16/17			
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%			
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%			
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

Complaints Monitoring Report Development Services

2016/17 Quarter 2 - July to September 2016

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints C	Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed												
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/1													
Total number of complaints received	10	7	15	5	12								
Total number of complaints closed	12	7	15	5	10								

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed													
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20	16/17			
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%			
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	2	17%	1	14%	6	40%	2	40%	7	70%			
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	9	75%	6	86%	9	60%	3	60%	3	30%			
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage													
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20	16/17			
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%			
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%			
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	1	50%	0	0%	6	100%	2	100%	6	86%			

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage													
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20	16/17			
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%			
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%			
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%			
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	7	78%	6	100%	9	100%	3	100%	1	33%			

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	0%	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld	1	100%	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	1	100%	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage										
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17					
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	4.5	2	5.8	3.5	2.7					
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	12.6	18.7	13	27.7	13					
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage	Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20	16/17	
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	2	100%	1	100%	4	67%	2	100%	7	100%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	9	100%	5	83%	8	89%	2	67%	3	100%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	1	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
EXTENSIONS	number	%								
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

UPHE	LD OR PARTIALLY UP	HELD COMPLAINTS		
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
8579	Frontline Resolution Complaint Against Staff	Part upheld	Trading Standards Manager / Head of Development Services	Redress - Updated advice and guidance provided
8562	Investigation Stage Process/Procedure	Part upheld	Head of Development Services	Reimbursement- Expenses presented were paid as a good will gesture
8562	Investigation Stage Process/Procedure	Upheld (separate issue but part of the same complaint as above)	Head of Development Services	Reimbursement- Expenses presented were paid as a good will gesture

Complaints Monitoring Report Direct Services

2016/17 Quarter 2 - July to September 2016

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed										
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17										
Total number of complaints received	10	26	58	59	55					
Total number of complaints closed	10	37	52	56	56					

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed										
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2010	6/17
	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	7	70%	33	89%	50	96%	54	96%	54	96%
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	3	30%	4	11%	1	2%	1	2%	2	4%

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
FRONTLINE	Q2 201	5/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 201	Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		6/17
	number	%	% number %		number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	2	29%	4	12%	14	28%	19	35%	24	44%
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	0%	7	21%	2	4%	11	20%	1	2%
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	5	71%	22	67%	34	68%	24	44%	29	54%

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
INVESTIGATIVE	Q2 201	5/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2010	6/17
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	0	N/A
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	0	N/A
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	100%	1	100%	0	N/A

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
ESCALATED	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016	6/17
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	3	100%	2	50%	1	100%	1	100%	2	100%

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage										
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17					
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	4.6	4	2.5	4.1	3.2					
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	N/A	N/A	21	18	N/A					
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	17.7	12.3	7	17	21.5					

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days											
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	Q2 2015/16		Q3 201	Q3 2015/16		5/16	Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016	6/17	
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	6	86%	29	88%	48	96%	52	96%	51	94%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	1	100%	0	N/A	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	3	100%	3	75%	1	100%	1	100%	1	50%	

³ Frontline and 1 Escalated Investigative complaints were closed out with timescales Frontline:

- ID 8600 was one day late because of an error in specifying the due date.
- ID 8012 was two days over the original due date but had a five day extension and so was within the revised timescale.
- ID 8516 was seven days late. The complaint required a site inspection. Because of the complaint's complexity it should have been escalated to the investigative stage which would have provided a timescale of 20 days. Similar future complaints will be escalated. Escalated Investigative:
- ID 8475 was 7 days late. The original due date was extended by 10 working days and therefore was closed within the revised timescale.

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised											
EXTENSIONS	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17		
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	

UPHE	LD OR PARTIALLY UP	HELD COMPLAIN	rs	
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
8516	Lairs	Upheld	Lands and Parks Officer	redress:- A mound of earth was removed
8517	Lighting	Upheld	Head of Service	review/revise:- Review of planning for works to be undertaken and an apology was given
8530	Process/Procedure	Upheld	Head of Service	review/revise:- Agreed to service the cemetery road and to review parking.
8535	Recycling	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- apology given
8541	Process/Procedure	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- The bin was serviced and replaced by a larger bin
8544	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Waste Management Officer	review/revise:- A review of procedures was undertaken
8548	Household Collections	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	reinforcement:- A team talk will reinforce the requirement not to miss bins.
8565	Recycling	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- the bin was serviced
8569	Other	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- the bin was serviced

UPHE	UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS												
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken									
8571	Complaint Against Staff	Part upheld	Senior Engineer (West)	reinforcement:- Staff were advised about parking									
8573	Other	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	reinforcement:- procedures reinforced									
8578	Household Collections	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- the bins were serviced and an apology was given									
8584	Household Collections	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- the bin was serviced									
8590	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Waste Disposal Officer	redress:- Parking regulations were reinforced and repair costs of damage to be reimbursed									
8591	Signage	Upheld	Head of Service	reinforcement:- Reinforced to staff that temporary road signs should be displayed for the term of the temporary works.									
8600	Footpaths/pavements	Upheld	Head of Service	review/revise:- Review of planning for works to be undertaken and an apology was given									
8618	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Head of Service	redress:- An apology was given and the driver was reminded of parking regulations									
8643	Process/Procedure	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- the bin was serviced									

UPHE	LD OR PARTIALLY UP	HELD COMPLAIN	rs	
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
8648	Process/Procedure	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	redress:- the bin was serviced
8653	Other	Upheld	Monitoring Officer	redress:- The area was cleaned
8666	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Senior Engineer (Traffic)	reinforcement:- An apology was given and staff were reminded of the procedures when dealing with customers.
8685	Recycling	Upheld	Monitoring Officer	redress:- An apology was given
8686	Recycling	Upheld	Monitoring Officer	redress:- Rubbish was cleared from the site and a review of the siting of bins to be undertaken
8695	Recycling	Upheld	Waste Monitoring Assistant	redress:- The bin was serviced
8741	Household Collections	Upheld	Waste Monitoring Assistant	redress:- An apology was given

Complaints Monitoring Report Schools and Curriculum Development & Lifelong Learning Culture & Sport Services

2016/17 Quarter 2 - July to September 2016

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed												
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17											
Total number of complaints received	9	5	9	12	7							
Total number of complaints closed	8	5	8	7	10							

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed												
	Q2 20	15/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20	16/17		
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	2	25%	3	60%	3	38%	1	14%	2	20%		
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	6	75%	2	40%	5	62%	6	86%	8	80%		
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage												
	Q2 20	15/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17			
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%		
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%		
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	2	100%	3	100%	2	67%	0	0%	2	100%		

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17											
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of Investigative complaints partially											
upheld	4	67%	2	100%	4	80%	3	50%	3	38%	
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	1	17%	0	0%	1	20%	3	50%	5	62%	

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage												
	Q2 20	15/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17			
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Number of Escalated complaints partially												
upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage											
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17						
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	3.5	3.5	5.3	2	2						
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	21	18	15	14	33						
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						

^{*}NB: 33 days average largely due to school summer holidays and relevant school staff unavailable until end of summer break.

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days												
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20	16/17		
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number %		number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	2	100%	2	67%	2	67%	1	100%	2	100%		
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	4	67%	2	100%	5	100%	5	83%	2	25%		
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised												
	Q2 20	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		016/17		
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	N/A		
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	1	17%	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	17%	6	100%		

UPHE	LD OR PARTIALLY U	PHELD COMPLAIN	ITS	
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Action taken	
8549	Bullying	Part Upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Review/revise: Apology given for any anxiety caused in relative transition to secondary school. Current procedures reviewed with emphasis on data exchange and communication reinforced with all staff.
8572	Complaint Against Staff	Part Upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Reinforcement: Apologised for any negative impact experienced during incident; acknowledged procedures in place to support pupils with additional needs, however accept these needed reviewed and have subsequent been with a number improvements introduced.
8587	Other	Part Upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Reinforcement: Acknowledged complaint, accepted some areas for improvement required in educational content – these have been addressed with internal changes and investment in new educational resources.

Complaints Monitoring Report Integrated Children's Services

2016/17 Quarter 2 – July to September 2016

*include complaint 8403

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed												
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17												
Total number of complaints received	6	7	10	8	8							
Total number of complaints closed 6 7 7 4 6												

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed												
	Q2 20)15/16	Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17			
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	6	100%	7	100%	7	100%	4	100%	6	100%		
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage												
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17			
FRONTLINE	number	%										
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	0	N/A										
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	N/A										
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	0	N/A										

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage												
Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17												
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%										
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	2	33%	2	29%	1	14%	0	0%	3	50%		
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	2	33%	1	14%	3	43%	1	25%	1	17%		
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	2	33%	4	57%	3	43%	3	75%	2	33%		

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage												
	Q2 20	Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17				
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		

The average time in working days for a full re	The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage												
RESPONSE TIME Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17													
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	19	22	42	17	23								
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days												
	Q2 20	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		16/17	Q2 2016/17			
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	5	83%	4	57%	1	14%	1	25%	1	25%		
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised											
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17		
EXTENSIONS	number	%									
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	N/A	N/A									
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	1	17%	0	0%	2	29%	2	50%	1	17%	

UPHE	UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS											
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken								
8478	Complaint a Process/Procedure	Upheld	Head of Integrated Children's Services	Complaint regarding anti-social behaviour of children within Cala residential unit. As a consequence of the concerns raised an improvement plan is being developed. Complainant given apology								
8553	Complaint a Process/Procedure	Upheld	Head of Integrated Children's Services	Complaint regarding anti-social behaviour of children within Cala residential unit. As a consequence of the concerns raised an improvement plan is being developed. Complainant given apology								
8637	Complaint about Staff	Upheld	Head of Integrated Children's Services	Apology offered to complainant. Include request for future conversation safeguards via safebase								

UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS											
ID Type of Complaint Outcome Responsible Officer Action taken											
8543 Complaint about Staff Part Upheld Head of Integrated Children's Services Detween SW and complainant.											

Complaints Monitoring Report Housing and Property Services

2016/17 Quarter 2 - July to September 2016

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed												
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17												
Total number of complaints received	35	37	51	23	38							
Total number of complaints closed 33 41 49 26 36												

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number %		number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed - Frontline		36%	18	44%	37	76%	16	62%	20	56%
Number of complaints closed - Investigative		64%	23	56%	12	24%	10	38%	16	44%
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
FRONTLINE		%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Frontline complaints upheld		25%	14	78%	22	59%	7	44%	11	55%
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld		8%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	8 67%		4	22%	13	35%	9	56%	9	45%

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
INVESTIGATIVE		%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Investigative complaints upheld		24%	2	9%	3	25%	0	0%	5	31%
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld		0%	5	22%	1	8%	2	20%	2	13%
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld		76%	16	70%	8	67%	8	80%	9	56%

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
ESCALATED		%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Escalated complaints upheld		N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld		N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld		N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage										
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17					
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	2.6	3.2	4	3.4	2.9					
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	26.3	19.1	18.8	18.4	16.1					
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 20)16/17
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES		%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	12	100%	17	94%	33	89%	15	94%	20	100%
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative		29%	13	57%	9	75%	7	70%	14	88%
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated		N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised										
	Q2 2015/16		Q3 2015/16		Q4 2015/16		Q1 2016/17		Q2 2016/17	
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%

UPHE	UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS									
			Responsible							
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Officer	Action taken						
8545	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Senior Housing	Redress – Work has now been completed to the satisfaction of the						
	Repairs/Capital/Planned		Projects Officer	Customer.						
	Maintenance									
8546	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Senior Building	Reinforcement – Alternative transportation arrangements have been made						
	Complaint Against		Maintenance	in light of this complaint and the customer is happy that the complaint had						
	Staff		Manager	been addressed to their satisfaction						

UPHE	LD OR PARTIALLY UP	HELD COMPLAINTS		
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
8550	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Business	Redress – Two issues reported – a repair and a payment due have now both
	Repairs/Capital/Planned		Manager	been redressed to the customer's satisfaction. An issue with the on-line
	Maintenance			repair request form has been reported to ICT.
8554	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Area Housing	Reinforcement – Apology given for any frustration caused. The customer did
	Other		Manager West	not wish to take the matter any further. Staff reminded to check up-lift
				bookings before issuing letters.
8560	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Business	Redress – Carpet now cleaned and tenant happy with the response to the
	Repairs/Capital/Planned		Manager	complaint.
	Maintenance			
8580	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Heating &	Redress – An apology was offered for the operative's behaviour. Chimney
	Repairs/Capital/Planned		Electrical	has now been surveyed as have the fire place doors. The tenant was
	Maintenance		Manager	satisfied that the complaint had been dealt with to their satisfaction
8617	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Heating &	Reinforcement – An apology was made to the tenant and the operative
	Repairs/Capital/Planned		Electrical	received direction from management on future conduct and was issued the
	Maintenance		Manager	employee guide and advised that their behaviour will be monitored.
8641	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Building Services	Reinforcement – Informal warning given to operative for using a mobile
	Complaint Against Staff		Manager	phone while driving followed by toolbox talk on safe driving and mobile
				phone use and advised that their behaviour will be monitored
8651	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Business	Redress – Problem with a garage roof repair has been diagnosed and sorted.
	Repairs/Capital/Planned		Manager	
	Maintenance			
8696	Frontline Resolution	Upheld	Business	Redress – Temporary lighting provided until permanent solution involving
	Repairs/Capital/Planned		Manager	SSE is identified and put in place. Customer happy with the response.
	Maintenance			

UPHE	LD OR PARTIALLY UP	HELD COMPLAINTS		
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
8710	Frontline Resolution Repairs/Capital/Planned Maintenance	Upheld	Business Manager	Reinforcement – Original problem of window not shutting has been resolved but has highlighted a number of communication issues which have been logged and will be taken forward.
8468	Investigation Stage Housing Disputes	Part upheld	Head of Housing & Property	Redress – Apology given for any confusion caused by the letter sent regarding rent arrears
8495	Investigation Stage Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Asset Manager	Reinforcement – Officer on duty reminded of customer care standards and apology given to tenant
8614	Investigation Stage Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Head of Housing & Property	Reinforcement – Member of staff reminded of customer care standards and apology given to tenant
8644	Investigation Stage Allocations	Upheld	Head of Housing & Property	Reinforcement – Apology given and acknowledgement that decisions made when dealing with the case were not considerate of the complainant's position. Manager has been asked to talk to the staff involved to ensure they understand the full impact of their actions.
8673	Investigation Stage Complaint Against Staff	Part upheld	Head of Housing & Property	Reinforcement – Apology given and member of staff reminded of customer care standards
8697	Investigation Stage Repairs/Capital/Planned Maintenance	Upheld	Heating & Electrical Manager	Redress – Work has been completed on the ceiling and arrangements made for the carpet to be cleaned (at time of writing this was still to be done)
8705	Investigation Stage Repairs/Capital/Planned Maintenance	Upheld	Head of Housing & Property	Redress – Apology given for any misunderstanding